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Disclaimer

The purpose of this document is to provide information about actual and forecast constraints on United Energy’s distribution network and details of these constraints, where they are expected to arise within the forward planning period. This document is not intended to be used for other purposes, such as making decisions to invest in generation, transmission or distribution capacity.

Whilst care was taken in the preparation of the information in this document, and it is provided in good faith, United Energy accepts no responsibility or liability for any loss or damage that may be incurred by any person acting in reliance on this information or assumptions drawn from it.

This Distribution Annual Planning Report (DAPR) has been prepared in accordance with the National Electricity Rules (NER), in particular Schedule 5.8, as well as the Victorian Electricity Distribution Code.

This document contains certain predictions, estimates and statements that reflect various assumptions concerning, amongst other things, economic growth and load growth forecasts that, by their nature, may or may not prove to be correct. This document also contains statements about United Energy’s plans. These plans may change from time to time without notice and should therefore be confirmed with United Energy before any action is taken based on this document.

United Energy advises that anyone proposing to use the information in this document should verify its reliability, accuracy and completeness before committing to any course of action. United Energy makes no warranties or representations as to the document’s reliability, accuracy and completeness and United Energy specifically disclaims any liability or responsibility for any errors or omissions.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Executive Summary ........................................................................................................ 7  
   1.1 Public forum and consultation ............................................................................. 8  
   1.2 Overview of network constraints ....................................................................... 8  

2 Background ...................................................................................................................... 10  
   2.1 Who we are ......................................................................................................... 10  
   2.2 The five Victorian distributors ............................................................................ 11  
   2.3 Delivering electricity to customers ....................................................................... 12  
   2.4 Operating environment and asset statistics ......................................................... 14  

3 Factors impacting network ............................................................................................. 16  
   3.1 Demand ............................................................................................................... 16  
   3.2 Fault levels .......................................................................................................... 17  
   3.3 Voltage levels ...................................................................................................... 17  
   3.4 System security ................................................................................................... 18  
   3.5 Quality of supply ................................................................................................. 18  
   3.6 Asset condition .................................................................................................... 18  

4 Network planning standards for augmentations ............................................................ 20  
   4.1 Approaches to planning standards ....................................................................... 20  
   4.2 Application of the probabilistic approach to planning ......................................... 20  

5 Forecasting demand ....................................................................................................... 22  
   5.1 Maximum demand forecasts ................................................................................ 22  
   5.2 Zone substations .................................................................................................. 22  
      5.2.1 Historical demand .......................................................................................... 22  
      5.2.2 Forecast demand .......................................................................................... 23  
      5.2.3 Definitions for zone substation forecast tables ........................................... 23  
   5.3 Sub-transmission loops ......................................................................................... 24  
      5.3.1 Historical demand ....................................................................................... 24  
      5.3.2 Forecast demand ......................................................................................... 24  
      5.3.3 Definitions for sub-transmission loop forecast tables .................................. 24  
   5.4 Primary distribution feeders ................................................................................ 25  
      5.4.1 Forecast demand .......................................................................................... 25  

6 Approach to risk assessment ......................................................................................... 26  
   6.1 Energy-at-risk ....................................................................................................... 26  
   6.2 Interpreting energy-at-risk .................................................................................. 26  
   6.3 Value of customer reliability (VCR) ..................................................................... 27  
   6.4 Plant unavailability .............................................................................................. 29  
   6.5 Value of expected energy-at-risk ........................................................................ 30  

7 Zone substations review ................................................................................................. 31  
   7.1 Zone substations with forecast limitations overview ........................................... 31  
   7.2 Zone substations with forecast system limitations .............................................. 32  
      7.2.1 Bentleigh (BT) zone substation ..................................................................... 32  
      7.2.2 Bulleen (BU) zone substation ...................................................................... 34  
      7.2.3 Clarinda (CDA) zone substation ................................................................. 35  
      7.2.4 Caulfield (CFD) zone substation ................................................................. 36  

United Energy Distribution Annual Planning Report – December 2018
7.2.5 Carrum (CRM) zone substation ........................................... 38
7.2.6 Doncaster (DC) zone substation ....................................... 39
7.2.7 Dromana (DMA) zone substation ..................................... 41
7.2.8 Elsternwick (EL) zone substation .................................... 42
7.2.9 East Malvern (EM) zone substation ................................. 44
7.2.10 Frankston South (FSH) zone substation ......................... 46
7.2.11 Frankston (FTN) zone substation .................................. 47
7.2.12 Glen Waverley (GW) zone substation ............................. 49
7.2.13 Hastings (HGS) zone substation ................................. 50
7.2.14 Gardiner (K) zone substation ................................. 52
7.2.15 Keysborough (KBH) zone substation ....................... 53
7.2.16 Langwarrin (LWN) zone substation ........................... 55
7.2.17 Mordialloc (MC) zone substation .............................. 56
7.2.18 Mulgrave (MGE) zone substation .............................. 58
7.2.19 Moorabbin (MR) zone substation ............................. 59
7.2.20 Mornington (MTN) zone substation .......................... 60
7.2.21 North Brighton (NB) zone substation ....................... 62
7.2.22 Ormond (OR) zone substation .................................. 64
7.2.23 Sorrento (STO) zone substation ............................... 65
7.3 Proposed new zone substations .................................. 67

8 Sub-transmission loops review ........................................... 68
8.1 Sub-transmission loops with forecast limitations overview .......... 68
8.2 Sub-transmission lines with forecast system limitations .......... 69
8.2.1 ERTS-LD-MGE-ERTS ............................................. 69
8.2.2 HTS-BR-KBH-M-MC-HTS ....................................... 70
8.2.3 HTS-MR-BT-NB-HTS ........................................... 72
8.2.4 RTS-CL-K-ERTS ................................................ 73
8.2.5 TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS .................................... 75
8.3 Proposed new sub-transmission lines ................................ 80
8.3.1 HGS–RBD sub-transmission lines ................................ 80

9 Primary distribution feeder and substation reviews .................. 81
9.1 Overview of primary distribution feeders with forecast overload .... 81
9.2 Primary distribution feeders with forecast overload ............... 82
9.2.1 DVY34 ............................................................ 82
9.2.2 EW 14 ............................................................ 83
9.2.3 M 11 .............................................................. 83
9.2.4 M 32 .............................................................. 83
9.2.5 OE 4 .............................................................. 84
9.2.6 NP 34 .............................................................. 84
9.2.7 FSH 31 ............................................................ 85
9.2.8 MGE 12 .......................................................... 85
9.3 Distribution substation and LV circuit limitations ................ 85

10 Joint Planning ........................................................................ 87

11 Changes to analysis since last year ...................................... 88
11.1 Maximum demand forecast .............................................. 88
11.2 Value of Customer Reliability (VCR) .................................. 89
11.3 Timing of proposed network augmentations ....................... 89
11.4 Timing of proposed asset retirements / replacements and deratings .......... 89
11.5 Feedback on United Energy’s 2017 DAPR ..................................................... 91

12 Asset Management ......................................................................................... 92
12.1 Asset management system ......................................................................... 92
12.2 Asset Management Policy and Strategies .................................................... 94
12.3 Asset Class Strategies and Plans ................................................................. 94
12.4 Asset Management Plan and COWP ........................................................... 94
12.5 Contact for further information .................................................................. 95

13 Asset Management methodology ................................................................. 96
13.1 Distribution Assets ..................................................................................... 96
13.2 Zone Substation Assets ............................................................................. 97
13.3 Investment planning and portfolio optimisation ........................................ 98

14 Asset Retirements and Deratings ................................................................ 100
14.1 Individual asset retirements / replacements ............................................... 101
  14.1.1 Transformer Retirements / Replacements ............................................. 101
  14.1.2 Switchgear Retirements / Replacements ............................................. 114
14.2 Grouped asset retirements / replacements ................................................ 120
  14.2.1 Poles .................................................................................................. 120
  14.2.2 Pole top structures ............................................................................ 121
  14.2.3 HV fuses ............................................................................................ 121
  14.2.4 Distribution Switchgear ..................................................................... 121
  14.2.5 Pole mounted HV line capacitors ....................................................... 123
  14.2.6 Overhead conductor ......................................................................... 124
  14.2.7 Underground cables ......................................................................... 124
  14.2.8 Low voltage services ........................................................................ 125
  14.2.9 Distribution Transformers .................................................................. 126
  14.2.10 Surge Arresters ............................................................................... 126
  14.2.11 66kV Transformer bushings ............................................................... 127
  14.2.12 Protection Relays .............................................................................. 127
  14.2.13 D.C. Systems .................................................................................... 129
14.3 Summary of planned asset deratings .......................................................... 131
14.4 Committed projects ................................................................................... 131

15 Regulatory tests ............................................................................................. 132
15.1 Current regulatory tests ............................................................................... 132
15.2 Lower Mornington Peninsula Supply Area RIT-D ..................................... 133
15.3 Notting Hill Supply Area RIT-D ................................................................. 134
15.4 Future regulatory investment tests .............................................................. 136
15.5 Excluded projects ....................................................................................... 136

16 Network Performance ................................................................................... 138
16.1 Reliability measures and performance ...................................................... 138
  16.1.1 Corrective reliability action undertaken or planned ............................ 139
16.2 Rapid Earth Fault Current Limiters (REFCLs) .......................................... 140
16.3 Power Quality Standards and Measures .................................................. 141
  16.3.1 Voltage ............................................................................................... 142
  16.3.2 Harmonics .......................................................................................... 143
16.4 Power Quality performance ....................................................................... 143
  16.4.1 Steady state voltage .......................................................................... 143
16.4.2 Voltage unbalance ................................................................. 145
16.4.3 Voltage harmonic distortion ............................................... 146
16.4.4 Flicker .............................................................................. 147
16.4.5 Voltage sags ..................................................................... 148
16.4.6 Power quality corrective actions and initiatives .................... 150
16.5 Distribution Losses ................................................................. 152

17 Embedded generation and demand management ....................... 153
17.1 Embedded generation ............................................................. 153
  17.1.1 Connection of Embedded Generation (EG) units .................. 153
  17.1.2 Key issues from applications to connect EG units in the past year ........................................ 154
  17.1.3 Quantitative summary of connection application to connect EG units .................................. 154
17.2 Demand Management Activities ............................................. 155
  17.2.1 Network Support Agreements in the past years ..................... 155
  17.2.2 Demand Management Innovation Allowance initiatives in 2018 ........................................ 156
17.3 Actions taken to promote non-network solutions in the past year ........................................ 163
17.4 Plans for future non-network solutions ..................................... 164
17.5 Demand side engagement strategy and register ....................... 164

18 Information Technology and communication systems .............. 166
18.1 Security Program ................................................................. 166
18.2 Currency ............................................................................. 166
18.3 Compliance ........................................................................ 167
18.4 Infrastructure ..................................................................... 168
18.5 Customer Enablement .......................................................... 168
18.6 Other communication system investments ................................ 169

Appendix A Network Maps ............................................................... 172

Appendix B Maps with forecast system limitations ...................... 174
  B.1. Zone-substation and sub-transmission limitations (Augmentation) ........................................ 174
  B.2. Distribution Feeder Limitations in northern part of network (Augmentation) ............................. 175
  B.3. Distribution Feeder Limitations in southern part of network (Augmentation) ............................ 176
  B.4. Zone-substation transformer and switchgear limitations (Replacement) ................................. 177

Appendix C Maximum demands – zone substations ....................... 179

Appendix D Maximum demands – sub-transmission lines ................ 182

Appendix E Glossary and abbreviations ........................................ 185
  E.1. Glossary ............................................................................. 185
  E.2. Zone substations ............................................................... 186
  E.3. Terminal stations ............................................................... 187
1 Executive Summary

The Distribution Annual Planning Report (DAPR) provides an overview of the current and future changes that United Energy proposes to undertake on its network. It covers information relating to 2018 as well as the forward planning period of 2019 to 2023.

United Energy is a regulated Victorian electricity distribution business. United Energy distributes electricity to more than 675,000 customers across east and south east Melbourne and the Mornington Peninsula, where the vast majority of the customers are residential. The network consists of 215,600 poles and over 13,000 kilometres of wires. Electricity is received via 78 sub transmission lines at 47 zone substations, where it is transformed from sub-transmission voltages to distribution voltages.

The report sets out the following information:

- forecasts, including capacity and load forecasts, at the zone substation, sub-transmission and primary distribution feeder level;
- system limitations, which includes limitations resulting from the forecast load exceeding capacity following the outage, or retirements and de-ratings of assets;
- projects that have been, or will be, assessed under the regulatory investment test; and
- other high level summary information to provide context to United Energy’s planning processes and activities.

The DAPR provides a high-level description of the balance that United Energy will take into account between capacity, demand and replacement of its assets at each zone substation, sub-transmission lines and primary distribution feeders over the forecast period. Transmission-distribution connection assets are addressed in a separate report.\(^1\)

Data presented in this report may indicate an emerging major constraint, where more detailed analysis of risks and options for remedial action by United Energy are required.

The DAPR also provides preliminary information on potential opportunities to prospective proponents of non-network solutions at zone substations, sub-transmission lines and primary distribution feeders where remedial action may be required. The DAPR also provides preliminary information on constrained distribution substations and low voltage circuits as part of our consultation obligations under the DMIS\(^2\). Information is also provided in excel format through the DAPR Systems Limitations Template which accompanies this report. Providing this information to the

---


\(^2\) DMIS – Demand Management Incentive Scheme
market facilitates the efficient development of the network to best meet the needs of customers.

The DAPR is aligned with the requirements of clauses 5.13.2(b) and (c) of the National Electricity Rules (NER) and contains the detailed information set out in Schedule 5.8 of the NER. In addition, the DAPR contains information consistent with the requirements of section 3.5 of the Electricity Distribution Code, as published by the Essential Services Commission of Victoria.

1.1 Public forum and consultation

United Energy intends to hold a public forum to discuss this DAPR in early 2019. All interested stakeholders are welcome to attend, including interested parties on United Energy’s demand-side engagement register, and local councils.

United Energy invites written submissions from interested parties to offer alternative proposals to defer or avoid the proposed works associated with network constraints. All submissions should address the technical characteristics of non-network options provided in this DAPR and include information listed in the United Energy demand-side engagement strategy.

We also welcome feedback or suggestions for improvement on the structure or content presented in this year’s DAPR or Systems Limitations Template.

All written submissions or enquiries should be directed to planning@ue.com.au.

Alternatively, United Energy’s postal address for enquiries and submissions is:

United Energy
Attention: Manager Network Planning and Strategy
PO Box 449
Mt Waverley VIC 3149

1.2 Overview of network constraints

The network constraints identified in this DAPR are listed below. Maps showing the location of the limitations can be found in Appendix B. Further details on each limitation can be found throughout this report, and in the attached Systems Limitations Template and UE network limitation Google Earth map.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limitation No.</th>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Project type</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Doncaster (DC) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mornington (MTN) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Keysborough (KBH) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DVY-34 (Dandenong Valley) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EW-14 (Elwood) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M-11 (Mentone) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M-32 (Mentone) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>OE-4 (Oakleigh East) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NP-34 (Noble Park) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>FSH-31 (Frankston South) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MGE-12 (Mulgrave) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cheltenham (CM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Surrey Hills (SH) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ormond (OR) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Elwood (EW) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Sandringham (SR) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gardiner (K) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sandringham (SR) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bentleigh (BT) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mordialloc (MC) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Heatherton (HT) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Background

This chapter sets out background information on United Energy Distribution Pty Ltd (United Energy) and how it fits into the electricity supply chain.

2.1 Who we are

United Energy is a regulated Distribution Network Service Provider (DNSP) within Victoria. United Energy owns the poles and wires which supply electricity to homes and businesses.

A high level picture of the electricity supply chain is shown in the diagram below.

**Figure 2.1 The electricity supply chain**

![Electricity Supply Chain Diagram]

The distribution of electricity is one of four main stages in the supply of electricity to customers. The four main stages are:

- **Generation:** generation companies produce electricity from sources such as coal, wind or sun, and then compete to sell it in the wholesale National Electricity Market (NEM). The market is overseen by the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO), through the co-ordination of the interconnected electricity systems of Victoria, New South Wales, South Australia, Queensland, Tasmania and the Australian Capital Territory.

- **Transmission:** the transmission network transports electricity from generators at high voltage to five Victorian distribution networks. Victoria’s transmission network also connects with the grids of New South Wales, Tasmania and South Australia.

- **Distribution:** distributors such as CitiPower, Powercor and United Energy convert electricity from the transmission network into lower voltages and deliver it to Victorian homes and businesses. The major focus of distribution companies is developing and maintaining their networks to ensure a reliable supply of electricity is delivered to customers to the required quality of supply standards.
• **Retail**: the retail sector of the electricity market sells electricity and manages customer accounts. Retail companies issue customers’ electricity bills, a portion of which includes regulated tariffs payable to transmission and distribution companies for transporting electricity along their respective networks.

### 2.2 The five Victorian distributors

In the distribution stage of the supply chain, there are five businesses operating in Victoria. Each business owns and operates the electricity distribution network. United Energy is one of those distribution businesses.

The United Energy network provides electricity to customers in Melbourne's south east and the Mornington Peninsula. United Energy’s service area is largely urban and semi-rural, and although geographically small (about one percent of Victoria's land area), it accounts for around one-quarter of Victoria's population and one-fifth of Victoria's electricity maximum demand. In particular, the service area consists of:

- the northern part which is a leafy developed urban area in metropolitan Melbourne, bounded by the AusNet Electricity Services and CitiPower service areas and Port Phillip Bay. The area includes predominantly residential and commercial centres such as Box Hill, Caulfield, Doncaster and Glen Waverley, and light industrial centres such as Braeside, Clayton, Heatherton, Mulgrave and Scoresby;
- the central part is a mix of developed and undeveloped land and includes the industrial and commercial centre of Dandenong; and
- the southern part in which Frankston denotes the southern rim of the Melbourne metropolitan area and is the gateway to the Mornington Peninsula. Frankston is one of the largest retail areas outside the Melbourne CBD. The Mornington Peninsula is a 720 square kilometre boot-shaped promontory separating two contrasting bays: Port Phillip and Western Port. The Mornington Peninsula is surrounded by the sea on three sides, with coastal boundaries of over 190 kilometres.

The coverage of United Energy is shown in the figure below.
Figure 2.2 United Energy distribution area

In Victoria, each DNSP has responsibility for planning the augmentation of their distribution network and the associated transmission connection assets. In order to continue to provide efficient, secure and reliable supply to its customers, United Energy must plan augmentation of the network to match network capacity to customer demand. The need for augmentation is largely driven by customer peak demand growth and geographic shifts of demand due to urban redevelopment.

2.3 Delivering electricity to customers

Power that is produced by generators is transmitted over the high voltage transmission network and is changed to a lower voltage before it can be used in the home or industry. This occurs in several stages, which are simplified below.
Firstly, the voltage of the electricity that is delivered to terminal stations is reduced by transformers. Typically in Victoria, most of the transmission lines operate at voltages of 500,000 volts (500 kilovolts, kV) or 220,000 volts (220kV). The transformer at the terminal station reduces the electricity voltage to 66kV. The United Energy network is supplied from the connection assets within the terminal stations.

Second, United Energy distributes the electricity on the sub-transmission system which is made up of large concrete or wooden power poles and powerlines, or sometimes underground powerlines. The sub-transmission system transports electricity to United Energy’s zone substations at 66kV and 22kV.

Third, at the zone substation the electricity voltage is converted from 66kV to 22kV, 11kV or 6.6kV. Electricity at this voltage can then be distributed on smaller, lighter power poles.

Fourth, high voltage distribution lines (or distribution feeders) transfer the electricity from the zone substations to United Energy’s distribution substations.

Fifth, electricity is transformed to 400 / 230 volts at the distribution substations for supply to customers.

Finally, electricity is conveyed along the low voltage distribution lines to homes and businesses.
2.4 Operating environment and asset statistics

United Energy delivers electricity to approximately 675,000 homes and businesses in a 1,472 square kilometre area, or around 450 customers per square kilometre.

United Energy’s customer base is 90 per cent residential (by number) across its urban and semi-rural service area.

The United Energy electricity network comprises a sub-transmission network which consists of predominately overhead lines which operate at 66kV with some at 22kV and a distribution network that operates at voltages of 22kV, 11kV with some at 6.6kV. The overall network consists of approximately 75 per cent overhead lines and 25 per cent underground cables.

The sub-transmission network is supplied from a number of terminal stations which typically operate at a voltage of 220kV or greater. This transmission network, including the terminal stations supplying United Energy, is owned and operated by AusNet Services.

The majority of the sub-transmission network nominally operates at 66kV and is generally configured in loops or in mesh to maximise reliability. The sub-transmission network operates at 22kV on some lines from the Malvern terminal station.

The sub-transmission network supplies electricity to zone substations which then transform (step down) the voltage suitable for the distribution to the surrounding area.

The distribution network consists of both overhead and underground lines connected to substations, switchgear, and other equipment to provide effective protection and control. The majority of the high voltage distribution system nominally operates at 22kV and 11kV, there are notable exceptions:

- the high voltage distribution feeders from the Surrey Hills zone substation operate at 6.6kV;
- the high voltage distribution feeders at West Doncaster zone substation are at voltages of 11kV and 6.6kV; and
- a small Single Wire Earth Return (SWER) system supplying customers in the Mornington Peninsula, which operates at 12.7kV.

Distribution feeders are operated in a radial mode from their respective zone substation supply points. In urban areas, distribution feeders generally have inter-feeder tie points which can be reconfigured to provide for load transfers and other operational contingencies.

The final supply to small consumers is provided through the low voltage distribution systems that nominally operate at 230 (single-phase) or 400 (three-phase) volts. These voltages are derived from “distribution substations” which are located throughout the distribution network. The majority of the low voltage network is overhead however reticulations to new residential housing estates are typically underground. The low
voltage reticulation including service arrangements completes the final connections to the low voltage consumer points of supply.

At 31 December 2017, the United Energy network comprises approximately:

**Table 2.1 United Energy network statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak coincident demand (summer 2017/18)</td>
<td>1,911 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record peak coincident demand (summer 2008/09)</td>
<td>2,084 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poles</td>
<td>215,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead lines</td>
<td>10,046 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground cables</td>
<td>3,297 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-transmission lines</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone substation transformers</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution feeders</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution substations</td>
<td>13,047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix A provides a map which show the location of United Energy’s zone-substation assets and the connected terminal stations on a geographic basis.
3 Factors impacting network

This chapter sets out the factors that may have a material impact on the United Energy network, including:

- **demand**: changes in electricity demand causing thermal capacity constraints, such as that caused from population growth resulting in new residential customers connecting to the network, or new or changed business requirements for electricity;
- **fault levels**: changes in fault (short-circuit) levels resulting in fault level rupture constraints, such as that caused by embedded generation being connected to the network;
- **voltage levels**: changes in steady state voltage levels resulting in voltage regulation constraints, such as lower voltages caused by long distances between the customer load and the voltage regulating equipment, or higher voltages caused by solar photovoltaic (PV) installations;
- **other power system security requirements**: changes that may impact system security for the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) such as the connection of embedded generation, or changes in protection systems;
- **quality of supply**: changes in quality of supply such as that caused by the connection of new customer equipment. United Energy may carry out system studies on a case-by-case basis as part of the new customer connection process; and
- **asset condition**: changes in asset condition over time, such as deterioration caused by ageing, which may lead to unreliable assets.

These factors are discussed in more detail below.

3.1 Demand

Changes in maximum demand on the network are driven by a range of factors. For example, this may include:

- **population growth**: increases in the number of residential customers connecting to the network;
- **economic growth**: changes in the demand from small, medium and large businesses and large industrial customers;
- **retail prices**: changes in the price of electricity impacts the use of electricity;
- **weather**: the effect of ambient temperature on demand largely due to temperature sensitive loads such as air-conditioners and heaters; and
- **customer equipment and embedded generators**: the equipment that sits behind the customer meter including televisions, pool pumps, electric vehicles, solar panels, wind turbines, batteries, etc.

Forecasting for demand is discussed later in this document.
3.2 Fault levels

A fault is an event where an abnormally high current is developed as a result of a short-circuit somewhere in the network. A fault may involve one or more line phases and ground, or may occur between line phases only. In a ground/earth fault, charge flows into the earth or along a neutral or earth-return wire.

United Energy estimates the prospective fault current to ensure it is within allowable limits of the electrical equipment installed, and to select and set the protective devices that can detect a fault condition. Devices such as circuit breakers, automatic circuit reclosers, sectionalisers, and fuses can act to interrupt the fault current to protect the electrical plant, and avoid significant and sustained outages as a result of plant damage.

Fault levels are influenced by a number of factors including:

- generation of all sizes;
- impedance of transmission and distribution network equipment;
- load including motors; and
- voltage.

The following fault level limits apply to United Energy:

Table 3.1 Fault level limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Fault limit (kilo Amps, kA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66kV</td>
<td>21.9 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22kV</td>
<td>13.1 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11kV</td>
<td>18.4 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1kV</td>
<td>50 kA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where fault levels are forecast to exceed the allowable fault level limits listed above, then fault level mitigation projects are initiated. This may involve, for example, introducing extra impedance into the network or separating network components that contribute to the fault such as opening the bus-tie circuit breakers at constrained zone substations to divide the fault current path.

3.3 Voltage levels

Voltage levels are important for the operation of all electrical equipment, including home appliances with electric motors or compressors such as washing machines and refrigerators, or farming and other industrial equipment. These appliances are manufactured to operate within certain voltage threshold ranges.

Electricity distributors are obligated to maintain customer voltages within specified thresholds, and these are further discussed in section 16. Similarly, manufacturers can
only supply such appliances and equipment that operate within the Australian Standards. Supply voltage at levels outside these limits could affect the performance or cause damage to the equipment as well as industry processes.

Voltage levels are affected by a number of factors including:

- generation of electricity into the network including distributed generation such as household solar photovoltaic (PV) generators;
- impedance of transmission and distribution network equipment;
- load; and
- capacitors in the network.

United Energy manages the voltage drops caused by the long distance between the customer and the supplying substations with voltage regulating equipment and capacitor banks.

In recent times, uptake of solar PV generators are increasingly causing fluctuations in voltage levels in localised areas. United Energy is monitoring the voltages in these areas with higher voltage levels caused by solar generation are now having to be monitored and managed.

3.4 System security

AEMO is responsible for managing the overall system security of the power grid. Embedded generation and protection systems within the distribution network influence the overall stability of the grid. United Energy undertakes joint planning with AEMO to ensure that the United Energy distribution network is planned and operated, and the loads and generators connected within it, maintain the security of the power grid.

3.5 Quality of supply

Where embedded generators or large industrial customers are seeking to connect to the network and the type of load is likely to result in changes to the quality of supply to other network users, United Energy may carry out system studies on a case-by-case basis as part of the new customer connection process.

3.6 Asset condition

The age profile of United Energy’s distribution network reflects the large investment that took place in the electricity networks in Victoria with much of the area electrified post-World War. Assets on the United Energy network were first installed in Melbourne in the early part of 1900s although it wasn’t until the late 1930s that network assets were being installed in large numbers. From the late 1950s the network started growing rapidly, with a large number of new customer connections driven by the economic growth in the post-war decades.

During the latter part of last century the capacity of the network continued to grow as air conditioners, new developments, computers and other household appliances drove
significant demand growth across the network. Much of this area is now urbanised. The present implication is that an increasing number of assets are approaching their end-of-life and require replacement over the current and forward looking planning periods.

The growing proportion of aged assets reflects the uneven historical development of the network, particularly in the 1960s and 1970s. The relationship between asset age and the probability of asset failure is well known. Assets typically have a long period of serviceable life with a low failure rate, followed by a period of deterioration leading to increasing failure.

The failure characteristics will differ across asset categories. However, the generally accepted principle is that asset failure rates typically accelerate as assets approach their end of life; the rate of which can vary from asset to asset, and is affected by various factors including operating conditions and the environment. If an increasing proportion of assets are approaching the time period where the failure rate starts to increase, the risk of asset failures across the network increases.

As a prudent network company, United Energy anticipates and manages this risk via a wide range of tools and techniques to assess the condition of network assets. This information is used to drive a range of further activities including more frequent maintenance, asset replacement or alternative mitigation activities based on the results. This program aims to ensure that the asset remains safe and functional, whilst maximising asset life and focussing on a condition-based approach.

United Energy’s strategy is to maintain reliability and network safety efficiently by complementing asset replacement with other strategies. For further details on United Energy’s asset management approach and replacement projects, please refer to chapters 12, 13 and 14.
4 Network planning standards for augmentations

This chapter sets out the process by which United Energy identifies demand-driven constraints in its network.

4.1 Approaches to planning standards

In general there are two different approaches to network planning.

Deterministic planning standards: this approach calls for zero interruptions to customer supply following any single outage of a network element, such as a transformer. In this standard any failure or outage of individual network elements (known as the “N-1” condition) can be tolerated without customer impact due to sufficient resilience being built into the distribution network. A strict use of this approach may lead to inefficient network investment as resilience is built into the network irrespective of the cost of the likely interruption to the network customers, or the use of alternative options.

Probabilistic planning approach: the deterministic N-1 criterion is relaxed under this approach, and simulation studies are undertaken to assess the amount of energy that would not be supplied if an element of the network is out of service. As such, the consideration of energy not served will likely lead to the deferral of projects that would otherwise be undertaken using a deterministic approach. This is because:

- under a probabilistic approach, there are conditions under which all the load cannot be supplied with a network element out of service (hence the N-1 criterion is not met); however
- the actual load-at-risk may be very small when considering the probability of a forced outage of a particular element of the network.

In addition, the probabilistic approach assesses load-at-risk under system normal conditions (known as the “N” condition). This is where all assets are operating but load exceeds the total capacity. Contingency load transfers or a non-network solution may be used to mitigate load-at-risk in the interim period until an augmentation is completed.

4.2 Application of the probabilistic approach to planning

United Energy adopts a probabilistic approach to planning its zone substation, sub-transmission and primary distribution feeder asset augmentations.

The probabilistic planning approach involves estimating the probability of an outage occurring within the peak loading season, and weighting the costs of such an occurrence by its probability, to assess:

- the expected cost that will be incurred if no action is taken to address an emerging constraint, and therefore
• whether it is economic to augment the network capacity to reduce expected supply interruptions.

The quantity and value of energy-at-risk (which is discussed in section 6) is a critical parameter in assessing a prospective network investment or other action in response to an emerging constraint. Probabilistic network planning aims to ensure that an economic balance is struck between:

• the cost of providing additional network capacity to remove constraints; and
• the cost of having some exposure to loading levels beyond the network’s capability.

In other words, recognising that very extreme loading conditions may occur for only a few hours in each year, it may be uneconomic to provide additional capacity to cover the possibility that an outage of an item of network plant may occur under conditions of extreme loading. The probabilistic approach requires expenditure to be justified with reference to the expected benefits of lower unserved energy.

This approach provides a reasonable estimate of the expected net present value to consumers of network augmentation for planning purposes. However, implicit in its use is acceptance of the risk that there may be circumstances (such as the loss of a transformer at a zone substation during a period of high demand) when the available network capacity will be insufficient to meet actual demand and significant load shedding could be required. The extent to which investment should be committed to mitigate that risk is ultimately a matter of judgment, having regard to:

• the results of studies of possible outcomes, and the inherent uncertainty of those outcomes;
• the potential costs and other impacts that may be associated with very low probability events, such as single or coincident transformer outages at times of peak demand, and catastrophic equipment failure leading to extended periods of plant non-availability; and
• the availability and technical feasibility of cost-effective contingency plans and other arrangements for management and mitigation of risk.
5 Forecasting demand

This chapter sets out the methodology and assumptions for calculating historic and forecast levels of demand for each existing zone substation, sub-transmission system and primary distribution feeder. The spatial forecasts are used to identify potential future constraints in the network.

Please note that information relating to transmission-distribution connection points are provided in a separate report entitled the “Transmission Connection Planning Report” which is available on the United Energy website.3

5.1 Maximum demand forecasts

United Energy has set out its forecasts for maximum demand for each existing zone substation, sub-transmission system as follows:

- zone substations: see Appendix C; and
- sub-transmission systems: see Appendix D.

5.2 Zone substations

This sub section sets out the methodology and information used to calculate the demand forecasts and related information that is set out in Appendix C.

5.2.1 Historical demand

Historical demand is calculated in Mega Volt Ampere (MVA) and is based on actual load and demand values recorded across the distribution network. Determining the actual peak demand for each station is first corrected for system abnormalities (i.e. load transfers).

As peak demand is very temperature dependent, the actual peak demand values shown in Appendix C, are normalised in accordance with the relevant temperatures experienced across any given summer loading period. The temperature correction enables the underlying peak demand growth year-by-year to be estimated, which is used in making future forecast and investment decisions.

The temperature correction for the forecasts presented in this DAPR seeks to ascertain the “10th percentile summer maximum demand”. The 10th percentile demand represents the peak demand on the basis of a weather condition that would lead to a maximum demand that would be considered to be a one in ten year event. This relates to a maximum average daily temperature that will be exceeded, on average, once every ten years. By definition therefore, actual demand in any given year has a 10 per

cent probability of being higher than the 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile demand forecast.\textsuperscript{4} It is often referred to as 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE).

5.2.2 Forecast demand

The historical temperature corrected demand values are trended forward and combined with known or predicted loads that may be connected to the network. This includes taking into account the number of customer connections and the estimated total output of known embedded generating units at a localised level.

United Energy has taken into account information collected from across the business relating to the load requirements of our customers, and the timing of those loads. This includes information of the estimated load requirements for planned, committed and developments under-construction across the United Energy service area and large load planned retirements or reduction.

These bottom-up forecasts for demand have been reconciled with top–down independent econometric forecasts provided by National Institute of Economic and Industry Research (NIEIR) to ensure that historical trend forward is adjusted for changes at the macro-economic level and post-model adjustment disruptors. United Energy also utilises a regression and simulation model developed by AECOM, and forecasts developed by the AEMO, to cross-check and reconcile the output of the NIEIR model.

5.2.3 Definitions for zone substation forecast tables

Appendix C contains other statistics of relevance to each zone substation, including:

- **Total Station Cyclic N rating**: this provides the maximum capacity of the zone substation assuming that the load follows a daily pattern, with a rating calculated using load curves appropriate to the season, and that equipment is in place under system normal conditions;

- **Cyclic N-1 rating**: this provides the cyclic capacity of the zone substation assuming the outage of one transformer. This is also known as the “firm” rating;

- **Hours load is $\geq 95\%$ of maximum demand (MD)**: assesses the load duration curve and the total hours during the year that the load is greater than or equal to 95 per cent of maximum demand;

- **Station power factor at maximum demand (MD)**: the ratio of the active power to the apparent power at maximum demand conditions.

- **Load transfers**: forecasts the available capacity of adjacent zone substations and feeder connections to take load away from the zone substation in emergency situations; and

\textsuperscript{4} Consequently there is also a 10% probability that demand will exceed forecast.
• **Generation capacity**: the total capacity of all embedded generation units greater than 1MW that have been connected to the zone substation at the date of this report.

5.3 **Sub-transmission loops**

This section sets out the methodology for calculating the historical and forecast maximum demands for the sub-transmission loops.

5.3.1 **Historical demand**

The historical actual demand and weather corrected 10% PoE demand for sub-transmission loops are calculated in a similar way to the zone substation methodology.

United Energy typically uses Amps to measure its sub-transmission line/loop demand and ratings and these are converted to MVA in this DAPR and the Systems Limitations template utilising the station nominal voltage and power factor.

5.3.2 **Forecast demand**

To calculate the 10% PoE sub-transmission loop forecast demand presented in this DAPR, United Energy escalates the historical actual loop maximum demand discussed above, using the percentage annual change between the combined actual and 10% PoE maximum demand forecasts for the zone substations contained within the sub-transmission system loop. That is, the sub-transmission loop forecast demand is derived from the diversified forecast demands of the zone substations contained within the sub-transmission loop. These forecasts are set out in Appendix D.

5.3.3 **Definitions for sub-transmission loop forecast tables**

Appendix D contains other statistics of relevance to each sub-transmission loop, including:

- **Loop N rating**: this provides the maximum capacity of the sub-transmission loop with all lines in service expressed in MVA;
- **Loop N-1 rating**: this provides the lowest capacity of the sub-transmission loop with one line out-of-service expressed in MVA;
- **Hours load is ≥ 95% of maximum demand (MD)**: assesses the load duration curve and the total hours during the year that the load is greater than or equal to 95 per cent of maximum demand;
- **Power factor at maximum demand (MD)**: the ratio of the active power to the apparent power at maximum demand conditions.

---

Note that a sub-transmission loop will have a different rating depending on which line is out of service. This is taken into account in any energy-at-risk assessment.
• **Load transfers**: forecasts the available capacity of alternative sub-transmission lines that can carry electricity to the zone substation in emergency situations; and

• **Generation capacity**: the total capacity of all embedded generation units that are greater than 1MW that have been directly connected to the sub-transmission loop at the date of this report.

### 5.4 Primary distribution feeders

This section sets out the methodology for calculating the forecast maximum demands for the primary distribution feeders.

#### 5.4.1 Forecast demand

Primary distribution feeder 10% PoE maximum demand forecasts presented in this DAPR are calculated in a similar way to forecasts for zone substations. The feeder historical demand values are trended forward using the underlying zone substation growth rate and known or predicted loads and embedded generation that may be connected to the network.
6 Approach to risk assessment

This chapter outlines the high level process by which United Energy calculates the risk associated with the expected balance between capacity and demand over the forecast period for zone substations, sub-transmission lines and primary distribution feeders.

This process provides a means of identifying those zone substations or lines where more detailed analyses of risks and options for remedial action are required.

6.1 Energy-at-risk

As discussed in section 4.1, risk-based probabilistic network planning aims to strike an economic balance between:

- the cost of providing additional network capacity to remove any constraints; and
- the potential cost of having some exposure to loading levels beyond the network’s firm capability.

A key element of this assessment for each zone substation and sub-transmission line is “energy-at-risk”, which is an estimate of the amount of energy that would not be supplied if one transformer or a sub-transmission line was out of service during the critical loading period(s).

This statistic provides an indication of magnitude of loss of load that would arise in the unlikely event of a major outage of a transformer without taking into account planned augmentation or operational actions, such as load transfers to other supply points, to mitigate the impact of the outage.

For sub-transmission lines, the same definition applies however, the failure rates and mean duration of an outage will differ. The failure rates and mean duration used for an outage for sub-transmission lines and zone substation transformers is discussed further in sections 6.4.

Although this DAPR focuses on the energy-at-risk for a 10th percentile demand forecast to highlight the risk, as discussed in sections 5.2 and 5.3, when undertaking an economic assessment of projects United Energy uses estimates the energy-at-risk based on a 30% and 70% weighting of the 10th and 50th percentile demand forecasts.

6.2 Interpreting energy-at-risk

As noted above, “energy-at-risk” is an estimate of the amount of energy that would not be supplied if one transformer or sub-transmission line was out of service during the critical loading period(s).

The capability of a zone substation with one transformer out of service is referred to as its “N minus 1” rating. The capability of the station with all transformers in service is referred to as its “N” rating. The relationship between the N and N-1 ratings of a station and the energy-at-risk is depicted in Figure 6.1 below.
Figure 6.1 Relationship between N, N-1 rating and energy-at-risk

Note that:

- under normal operating conditions, there will typically be more than adequate zone substation capacity to supply all demand; and
- the risk of prolonged outages of a zone substation transformer leading to load interruption is typically very low.

The capability of a sub-transmission line network with one line out of service is referred to as the (N-1) condition for that sub-transmission network.

- under normal operating conditions, there will typically be more than adequate line capacity to supply all demand; and
- the risk of prolonged outages of a sub-transmission line leading to load interruption is typically very low and is dependent upon the length of line exposed and the environment in which the line operates.

In estimating the expected cost of plant outages, this report considers the first order contingency condition (“N-1”) only.

6.3 Value of customer reliability (VCR)

For augmentation projects United Energy undertakes a detailed assessment process to determine whether or not to proceed with the augmentation.

In order to determine the economically optimal level and configuration of distribution capacity (and hence the supply reliability that will be delivered to customers), it is necessary to place a value on supply reliability from the customer’s perspective.

Estimating the marginal value to customers of reliability is inherently difficult, and ultimately requires the application of some judgement. Nonetheless, there is information available (principally, surveys designed to estimate the costs faced by
consumers as a result of electricity supply interruptions) that provides a guide as to the likely value.

United Energy relies upon surveys undertaken by the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) to establish the Value of Customer Reliability (VCR). AEMO published the following Victorian VCR values in its final report dated 28 November 2014 which have been escalated using the ratio of March 2014 to March 2018 CPI figures as per the AEMO Application Guide to the following amounts:

Table 6.1 Values of customer reliability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>VCR for 2018 ($/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>$26.45 (Vic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>$47.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>$50.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>$47.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These values are multiplied by the relative weighting of each sector to estimate a composite single value of customer reliability.

This is used to calculate the economic benefit of undertaking an augmentation, and where the net present value of the benefits outweighs the costs, and is superior to other options, United Energy is likely to proceed with the works.

United Energy notes that there has been a significant reduction in the VCR estimates for the commercial and agricultural sectors compared to the results of the 2007-08 VCR study, which was conducted on behalf of VENCorp (AEMO’s predecessor) by CRA International. This has led to a reduction in AEMO’s estimate of the Victorian composite VCR from $63 per kWh in 2013 to $42.20 per kWh in 2018.

From a planning perspective, it is appropriate for United Energy to have regard to the latest available VCR estimates. It is also important to recognise, however, that all methods for estimating VCR are prone to error and uncertainty, as illustrated by the wide differences between:

- AEMO’s VCR estimate for 2013 of $63 per kWh, which was based on the 2007-08 VENCorp study;6
- Oakley Greenwood’s 2012 estimate of the New South Wales VCR7, of $95 per kWh; and
- AEMO’s latest Victorian VCR (escalated from 2014 to 2018) estimate of $42.20 per kWh.

---

6 See section 2.4 of the 2013 Transmission Connection Planning Report.
The wide range of VCR estimates produced by these three studies is likely to reflect estimation errors and methodological differences between the studies, rather than changes in the actual value that customers place on reliability. Moreover, the magnitude of the reduction in the AEMO’s VCR estimates from 2013 to 2018 raises concerns that the investment decisions signalled by applying the 2018 VCR estimate may fail to meet customers’ reasonable expectations of supply reliability. It should be noted that the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) plan to release an update to the VCR estimate by 31 December 2019.

6.4 Plant unavailability

The VCR is only one component in quantifying cost of loss of supply to customers. United Energy combines the VCR with the expected unavailability of distribution network plants based on forced outage rates and outage durations.

The base (average) major fault reliability data adopted by United Energy for its augmentation assessments used in this DAPR and the Systems Limitations template is shown in the following tables. The data is based on the Australian CIGRE Transformer Reliability Survey and United Energy's actual observed network performances. United Energy intends to update this data over time as more recent failure and repair time data become available from assets on the United Energy network.

Table 6.2 Zone substation transformer outage data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major plant item: zone substation transformer</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformer failure rate (major fault)</td>
<td>A major failure is expected to occur once per 200 transformer-years. Therefore, in a population of 100 zone substation transformers, for example, one major failure of any one transformer would be expected every two years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of outage (major fault)</td>
<td>A total of 3 months is required to repair / replace the transformer, during which time the transformer is not available for service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected transformer unavailability per year</td>
<td>[ \frac{\text{Repair time}}{\text{Repair time} + \left(\frac{24 \times 365}{\text{failure rate}}\right)} ] On average, each transformer would be expected to be unavailable due to transformer fault for 0.125% of the time or approximately 11 hours in a year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.3 Sub-transmission line outage data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major plant item: sub-transmission lines</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line failure rate (sustained fault)</td>
<td>The average sustained failure rate of United Energy’s sub-transmission lines is 4.8 faults per 100 km per annum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8 faults per 100 km per annum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of outage (sustained fault)</td>
<td>On average 12 hours is required to repair an overhead line however cable faults can take considerably longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected line unavailability per year</td>
<td>On average, a 10km sub-transmission line is expected to be unavailable due to a fault for about 0.066% of the time, or approximately 6 hours in a year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that in a detailed assessment process all site specific outage scenarios may also be taken into account. For example a 66kV line outage may also cause a transformer to be taken out of service. The base (average) outage data is also not applicable for replacement assessments which will take into account asset specific failure rate based on age and condition.

6.5 Value of expected energy-at-risk

The financial value of expected energy-at-risk is calculated by multiplying the “energy-at-risk”, the “value of customer reliability”, and the “plant unavailability”.

\[
\text{Repair time} = \frac{24 \times 365}{\text{Repair time} + \left( \frac{\text{failure rate} \times \text{length}}{\text{failure rate} \times \text{length}} \right)}
\]
7 Zone substations review

This chapter reviews the zone substations where further investigation into the balance between capacity and demand over the next five years is warranted, taking into account the:

- forecasts for maximum demand to 2023; and
- seasonal cyclic N-1 ratings for each zone substation.

Where the zone substations are forecast to operate with 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE) maximum demands greater than their firm summer rating during 2018/19 and the energy-at-risk is material, or if an augmentation project is planned, then this section assesses the energy-at-risk for those assets.

United Energy sets out possible options to address the system limitations. United Energy may employ the use of contingency load transfers to mitigate the system limitations although this will not always address the entire load-at-risk at times of maximum demand. At other times of lower load the available transfers may be greater. As a result, the use of load transfers under contingency situations may imply an interruption of supply for customers to protect network elements from damage and enable all available load transfers to take place.

Non-network providers may wish to review the limitations and consider whether alternative solutions to those set out in the analysis may be suitable. Solutions may also address sub-transmission constraints at the same time.

United Energy notes that all other zone substations that are not specifically mentioned below have loadings below the firm rating or the loading above the relevant rating results in minimal energy-at-risk.

Finally, new zone substations that are proposed to be commissioned during the forward planning period are also discussed.

7.1 Zone substations with forecast limitations overview

Using the analysis undertaken below in section 7.2, United Energy proposes to augment the zone substations listed in the table below to address system limitations during the five-year forward planning period.
Table 7.1 Proposed zone substation augmentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone substation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Direct cost estimate ($2018 million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Install a feeder from adjacent zone-substation Box Hill in 2020 and install a fourth transformer with two new distribution feeders at Doncaster (DC) in 2024.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Install a third switchboard with three new distribution feeders at East Malvern (EM) in 2023.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KBH</td>
<td>Install a second transformer with two new distribution feeders at Keysborough (KBH) in 2022.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTN</td>
<td>Install a feeder from Mornington (MTN) in 2021 with a third transformer with a new distribution feeder in 2025$^9$.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The options and analysis is undertaken in the sections below.

7.2 Zone substations with forecast system limitations

7.2.1 Bentleigh (BT) zone substation

Bentleigh (BT) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Heatherton Terminal Station (HTS). It supplies the areas of Bentleigh, Bentleigh East and McKinnon.

Currently, BT zone substation consists of two 20/30MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at BT for summer 2017/18 was 30.4 MVA, which was just below the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together

---

$^8$ Partial cost only commissioning is forecast in 2024.

$^9$ Cost of 3rd transformer in years 2024-25.
with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

**Figure 7.1 Forecast maximum demand for BT zone substation**

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 0.8MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of a transformer at BT. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at BT zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of North Brighton (NB), Caulfield (CFD) and Moorabbin (MR) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.8MVA;
- install a third 20/33MVA transformer at BT zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.5 million;
- establish a new zone substation nearby.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the BT zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions should mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.
7.2.2 Bulleen (BU) zone substation

Bulleen (BU) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Thomastown Terminal Station (TSTS). It supplies the areas of Bulleen and Templestowe Lower.

Currently, BU zone substation consists of two 20/30MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at BU for summer 2017/18 was 31.7 MVA, which was above the N-1 ratings for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

Figure 7.2 Forecast maximum demand for BU zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 2.0MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of a transformer at BU. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at BU zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substation West Doncaster (WD) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.8MVA;
• the BU switchboard assets are planned for replacement with modern equivalent assets due to aged and deteriorating condition (see section 14.2). This will result in a minor ratings increase at BU and alleviate the constraints in the short to medium term;
• install a third 20/33MVA transformer at BU zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.5 million;
• convert sections of BU feeders to 22kV and transfer them to Doncaster (DC) zone-substation. This solution assumes that a fourth transformer is installed at DC to alleviate the capacity constraints at DC (see section 7.2.6);
• establish a new zone substation in Templestowe (TSE).

United Energy's preferred network option in the long term is to install a new transformer at the BU zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions should mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.3 Clarinda (CDA) zone substation

Clarinda (CDA) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Springvale Terminal Station (SVTS). It supplies the areas of Clarinda and Oakleigh South.

Currently, the CDA zone substation consists of one permanent 20/33MVA transformer and a relocatable 12/20MVA transformer acting as a hot spare, both operating at 66/22kV.

Prior to summer 2012/13, CDA zone substation was equipped with a single transformer and relied on distribution feeder transfers from adjacent zone substation to cater for an outage of the main transformer. In lieu of installing a second transformer at CDA, United Energy relocated the 12/20MVA relocatable transformer from Dandenong Valley (DVY) zone substation. A larger capacity 20/33MVA transformer was subsequently installed at DVY. The relocatable transformer at CDA may need to be used at another 66/22kV zone substation should a major unplanned outage of a transformer exist during summer maximum demand periods.

The actual maximum demand at CDA for summer 2017/18 was 35.7, which is above the N-1 rating for the zone substation based on the rating of the relocatable transformer. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station's summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 9.2MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of the main transformer at CDA. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of that transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at CDA zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Heatherton (HT), Springvale South (SS) and Notting Hill (NO) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 22.4MVA;
- install a second 20/33MVA transformer at CDA zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.7 million;
- establish a new zone substation nearby.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the CDA zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions should mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.4 Caulfield (CFD) zone substation

Caulfield (CFD) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Malvern Terminal Station (MTS). It supplies the suburbs of Caulfield, Malvern and Glenhuntly including the Monash University Caulfield Campus precinct.
Currently, the CFD zone substation comprises two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at CFD for summer 2017/18 was 48.0MVA which was above the N-1 ratings for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

**Figure 7.4 Forecast maximum demand for CFD zone substation**

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 11.2MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at CFD. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at CFD zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Bentleigh (BT), Gardiner (K), Elsternwick (EL), Ormond (OR) and East Malvern (EM) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 9.9MVA;
- install a third switchboard at adjacent zone substation East Malvern (EM) with 3 new distribution feeders to offload some of the load-at-risk at CFD zone substation at an estimated cost of $7.0 million; or
- establish a new zone substation nearby.
A number of surrounding adjacent zone substations including CFD, EM, Gardiner (K) and Ormond (OR) and the several feeders in the area are exhibiting load-at-risk. United Energy’s preferred network option to address these limitations in the short to medium term is to install a new switchboard with three new distribution feeders at the more lightly loaded EM zone substation in 2023 (see section 7.2.9). In the longer term a 3rd transformer will also likely be required at EM zone substation with further offloads of the adjacent zone substations including CFD occurring as load grows over time.

The use of contingency load transfers and/or non-network solutions will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.5 Carrum (CRM) zone substation

Carrum (CRM) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Cranbourne Terminal Station (CBTS). It supplies the areas of Bangholme, Carrum, Carrum Downs, Chelsea, Patterson Lakes, Skye and Sandhurst.

Currently, CRM zone substation consists of three 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at CRM for summer 2017/18 was 73.5 MVA, which was just below the N-1 ratings for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

Figure 7.5 Forecast maximum demand for CRM zone substation
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United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 14.4MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of a transformer at CRM. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at CRM zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Dandenong Valley (DVY), Frankston (FTN), and Mordialloc (MC) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 14.5MVA;
- install a new 20/33MVA transformer and distribution feeders at an adjacent Frankston zone substation, at an estimated cost of $5.5 million. This may allow minimal offload of CRM;
- establish a new Skye (SKE) zone substation with five new distribution feeders at an estimated cost of $25M.

United Energy’s preferred network option in the long term is to establish a new SKE zone-substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions should mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.6 Doncaster (DC) zone substation

Doncaster (DC) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Templestowe Terminal Station (TSTS). It supplies the areas of Box Hill North, Doncaster, Doncaster East, Doncaster Hill and The Pines precincts, Templestowe and parts of the Box Hill central precinct.

Currently, the DC zone substation is comprised of two 20/27MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV, and one 20/30MVA transformer operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at DC for summer 2017/18 was 81.7MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. Being designated as Principal Activities Centres, the maximum demand in the Doncaster Hill and Box Hill areas is expected to continue to grow steadily over coming years. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 20.3MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at DC. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at DC zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines in the TSTS–DC–TSTS sub-transmission loop would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at DC zone substation.

In addition all of the transformers at the zone substation are over 50-years of age with two of the three transformers in poor condition and have been assessed as being very close to end-of-life. United Energy are considering both the replacement and augmentation needs when developing a solution at DC in order to identify the lowest cost holistic solution.

To address the anticipated system constraint at DC zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Box Hill (BH) and Nunawading (NW) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 18.8MVA;
- install a 20/33MVA fourth transformer and three distribution feeders at DC zone substation before summer 2021/22 at an estimated cost of $7.3 million. This will also address the replacement limitation since there will be additional capacity at DC zone substation to cope with a transformer failure due to poor condition;
• establish a new feeder from BH before summer 2020/21, to offload DC and two of its highly loaded feeders, in order to partially address the risk and defer the need for 4th transformer at DC. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $1.1 million.

This will allow the deferral of the installation of a 20/33MVA fourth transformer at DC zone substation with two new feeders to before summer 2024/25 at an estimated cost of $6.1 million;

• establish a new feeder from BH before summer 2020/21, replace one DC transformer before summer 2022/23, and establish two new feeders out of DC. This option will not address the need for a fourth transformer and will lead to higher overall cost;

• establish a new zone substation at Templestowe (TSE) with new distribution feeders. This is regarded as a longer-term solution to supply the growing electricity demand in this area. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $17 million.

United Energy’s preferred network solution is a staged augmentation approach which involves establishing a new feeder from BH before summer 2020/21, in order to partially address the risk and defer the need for a fourth transformer at DC until summer 2024/25. This option has been assessed as the lowest overall cost. This solution also removes the need to replace a transformer at DC which was stated as under consideration in the 2017 DAPR.

United Energy has already begun engagement with non-network providers to actively seek out demand-side options to potentially enable the deferral of part or all of the preferred network solution in the DC area.

In the interim period, United Energy intends to continue to use contingency plans, and/or non-network solutions, to mitigate the load-at-risk.

7.2.7 Dromana (DMA) zone substation

Dromana (DMA) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Tyabb Terminal Station (TBTS). It supplies the areas of Dromana, Mount Martha, Red Hill and Shoreham.

Currently, DMA zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at DMA for summer 2017/18 was 40.6 MVA, which was just below the N-1 ratings for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 1.6MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of a transformer at DMA. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at DMA zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Rosebud (RBD) and Mornington (MTN) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 21.5MVA;
- install a third 20/33MVA transformer at DMA zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.5 million;
- establish a new zone substation nearby.

United Energy’s preferred network option in the long term is to install a new transformer at the DMA zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions should mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.8 Elsternwick (EL) zone substation

Elsternwick (EL) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Malvern Terminal Station (MTS). It supplies the suburbs of Elsternwick and Caulfield.
Currently, the EL zone substation consists of two 20/27MVA 66/11kV transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at EL for summer 2017/18 was 30.7MVA, which was just below the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For the historic and forecast asset ratings, please refer to the System Limitations Template.

Figure 7.8 Forecast maximum demand for EL zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 0.5MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at EL. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at EL zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into EL would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at EL zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at EL zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Caulfield (CFD), Elwood (EW) and North Brighton (NB) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 7.5MVA;
- install third transformer at adjacent zone substation CFD, NB or EW zone substation along with distribution feeders to offload EL,
install a third 20/33MVA transformer at EL zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.5 million;

United Energy’s preferred option is to use contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, to mitigate the load-at-risk.

### 7.2.9 East Malvern (EM) zone substation

East Malvern (EM) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Malvern Terminal Station (MTS). It supplies the suburbs of Alamein, Carnegie, Chadstone and East Malvern.

Currently, the EM zone substation consists of two 20/27MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at EM for summer 2017/18 was 31.3MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. Being a designated Principal Activities Centre, the demand around the Chadstone area is expected to continue to grow steadily over the coming years. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

#### Figure 7.9 Forecast maximum demand for EM zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 3.1MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at EM. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.
It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at EM zone substation. Consequently, an outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into EM would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at EM zone substation.

It should be noted that a number of surrounding adjacent zone substations including EM, Caulfield (CFD), Gardiner (K) and Ormond (OR) and the associated feeders in the area are exhibiting load-at-risk. There is a combined 30MVA of load-at-risk across these stations in 2019 which rises to 42MVA by the end of the planning period in 2023. Of these zone-substations EM zone substation currently has the least load-at-risk but is a central point between the growth areas with several feeders approaching their limits.

To address the anticipated system constraints at EM zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Oakleigh (OAK) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.2MVA;
- install a third switchboard and three new distribution feeders at EM zone-substation in 2023/24 at an estimated cost of $7.0M. This will alleviate several of the feeder limitations, will offload the more highly loaded CFD and K zone-substations, and provide additional load transfer capacity in the area;
- install a third 20/33MVA transformer at EM zone substation with new distribution feeders;
- establish a new zone substation.

In the 2017 DAPR a third transformer and distribution feeders at EM zone substation was identified as United Energy’s preferred network option. However based on the latest forecast, and assessment of available options, United Energy’s preferred network option to address the limitations in the EM, CFD, K and OR areas, is now to install only a new switchboard with three new distribution feeders at the EM zone substation in 2023. In the longer term a 3rd transformer will also likely be required at EM zone substation with further offloads of the adjacent zone substations occurring as load grows over time.

A regulatory investment test for distribution will likely commence in 2021.

The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period. United Energy invites interested parties to submit their proposal or to engage in joint planning to defer or to avoid the proposed network augmentation.
7.2.10 Frankston South (FSH) zone substation

The Frankston South (FSH) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Tyabb terminal station (TBTS). It supplies the areas of Baxter, Frankston, Frankston South, Mount Eliza and Somerville.

Currently, the FSH zone substation comprises one 20/27MVA transformer and two 20/33MVA 66/22kV transformers, all operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at FSH for summer 2017/18 was 67.3MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

Figure 7.10 Forecast maximum demand for FSH zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 12.5MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at FSH. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there is a 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breaker on the Mornington (MTN) to FSH sub-transmission line, but not on the TBTS–FSH sub-transmission line. Consequently, an unplanned outage on the TBTS–FSH sub-transmission line would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at FSH zone substation.
To address the anticipated system constraint at FSH zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Frankston (FTN), Hastings (HGS), Langwarrin (LWN) and Mornington (MTN), up to a maximum transfer capability of 21.3MVA;
- replace the ageing FSH transformer No.1, which is in poor condition, as part of an asset replacement project with a modern equivalent which will increase the station rating (note that the other aged FSH transformer (No.3) was replaced in 2011) for an estimated cost of $3.0 million;
- install a new third 66/22kV transformer at adjacent Frankston (FTN) zone substation together with long distribution feeders to offload some of the load-at-risk at FSH zone substation;
- establish a new 66/22kV zone substation at Somerville (SVE).

United Energy’s preferred network solution is to replace the aged FSH transformer No.1 with modern equivalent (20/33MVA) transformer, as part of an asset replacement project. However this is not currently expected in the forward planning period. It is anticipated that following the asset replacement, the station’s summer (N-1) rating will to be adequate to supply the maximum demand at FSH zone substation.

The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.11 Frankston (FTN) zone substation

The Frankston (FTN) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Cranbourne terminal station (CBTS). It supplies the areas of Frankston, Frankston North, Seaford and Skye.

Currently, the FTN zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at FTN for summer 2017/18 was 51.9MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 11.6MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at FTN. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at FTN zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Carrum (CRM), Frankston South (FSH), and Langwarrin (LWN) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 18.1MVA;
- install a third 20/33MVA transformer at FTN zone substation at an estimate cost of $5.7 million;
- establish a new 66/22kV zone substation at Skye (SKE) with five new distribution feeders.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the FTN zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.
### 7.2.12 Glen Waverley (GW) zone substation

The Glen Waverley (GW) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Springvale terminal station (SVTS). It supplies the areas of Glen Waverley, Mount Waverley and Wantirna South.

Currently, the GW zone substation consists of two 20/27MVA transformers and one 20/33MVA transformer operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at GW for summer 2017/18 was 63.5MVA, which was just below the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

**Figure 7.12 Forecast maximum demand for GW zone substation**
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United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 2.3MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at GW. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at GW zone substation. Consequently, an outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into GW would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at GW zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at GW zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:
- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of East Burwood (EB), Mulgrave (MGE) and Notting Hill (NO) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 19.1MVA;
- utilise existing and new distribution feeders to permanently transfer load to the adjacent NO zone substation which had a third transformer installed in 2017;
- establish a new 66/22kV zone substation in the Scoresby area with five new distribution feeders at an estimated cost of $17M.

United Energy's preferred network option is to permanently transfer load to NO via existing and new distribution feeders, and then establish a new zone substation in the Scoresby area at a later date. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.13 Hastings (HGS) zone substation

Hastings (HGS) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Tyabb terminal station (TBTS). It supplies the areas of Hastings, Merricks, Somerville and Tyabb.

Currently, the HGS zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at HGS for summer 2017/18 was 47.5MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station's summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 10.5 MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at HGS. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at HGS zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into HGS would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at HGS zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at HGS zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Frankston South (FSH), Langwarrin (LWN) and Mornington (MTN) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 13.9 MVA;
- utilise existing and new distribution feeders to permanently transfer load to the adjacent Dromana (DMA) zone substation which had a second transformer installed in 2016;
- install a third 20/33 MVA transformer at HGS zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.5 million;
- establish a new zone substation at Somerville (SVE).

United Energy’s preferred network option is to permanently transfer load to DMA via existing and new distribution feeders, and then install a third transformer at HGS at a
later date. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will also mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.14 Gardiner (K) zone substation

Gardiner (K) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Richmond terminal station (RTS). It supplies the areas of Glen Iris and Malvern.

Currently, the K zone substation consists of two 20/30MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at K for summer 2017/18 was 37.1MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

**Figure 7.14 Forecast maximum demand for K zone substation**

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 8.9MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at K. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at K zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into K would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at K zone substation.
To address the anticipated system constraint at K zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Caulfield (CFD), Camberwell (CL), Riversdale (RD), Armadale (AR) and East Malvern (EM) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 8.2MVA;

- install a third switchboard at adjacent zone substation East Malvern (EM) with 3 new distribution feeders to offload some of the load-at-risk at K zone substation at an estimated cost of $7.0 million; or

- install a third 20/33MVA 66/11kV transformer at K zone substation;

- establish a new zone substation.

A number of surrounding adjacent zone substations including K, EM, Caulfield (CFD) and Ormond (OR) and the several feeders in the area are exhibiting load-at-risk. United Energy's preferred network option to address these limitations in the short to medium term is to install a new switchboard with three new distribution feeders at the more lightly loaded EM zone substation in 2023 (see section 7.2.9). In the longer term a 3rd transformer will also likely be required at EM zone substation with further offloads of the adjacent zone substations including K occurring as load grows over time.

The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.15 Keysborough (KBH) zone substation

Keysborough (KBH) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Heatherton terminal station (HTS). It supplies the areas of Dandenong, Keysborough and Noble Park.

Currently, KBH zone substation consists of only one 20/33MVA transformer operating at 66/22kV. United Energy commissioned KBH zone substation in 2014/15 to provide load relief for Dandenong South (DSH), Mordialloc (MC) and Noble Park (NP) zone substations, as well as to improve distribution feeder utilisation and supply reliability in these areas.

The actual maximum demand at KBH for summer 2017/18 was 26.3MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and nameplate ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 35.3MVA of load-at-risk at KBH.

The (N-1) rating at KBH zone substation is zero because it is a single transformer zone substation. Therefore, customers supply would be normally restored via the distribution feeder network from neighbouring zone substations at Dandenong South (DSH), Mordialloc (MC) and Noble Park (NP), following the loss of the zone substation transformer or other fault resulting in the total loss of supply to KBH.

Whilst the probability of a transformer failure is very low, the energy-at-risk resulting from a transformer fault is high, because customers supplied from this substation are exposed to such an event all year round.

To address the anticipated system constraint at KBH zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Dandenong South (DSH), Dandenong (DN), Lyndale (LD) and Noble Park (NP) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 35.4MVA;
- install a second 20/33MVA transformer at KBH zone substation with two new distribution feeders at an estimated cost of $6.2 million before summer 2022/23.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the KBH zone substation with two new distribution feeders in 2022. It is expected that a regulatory investment test for distribution will likely commence in 2020.
The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.16 Langwarrin (LWN) zone substation

Langwarrin (LWN) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Cranbourne terminal station (CBTS). It supplies the areas of Cranbourne South, Langwarrin and Pearcedale.

Currently, the LWN zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV. LWN was commissioned in November 2009 with a second transformer installed in 2014 due to ongoing load growth in the area.

The actual maximum demand at LWN for summer 2017/18 was 45.7MVA. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

**Figure 7.16 Forecast maximum demand for LWN zone substation**

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 2.4MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at LWN. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at LWN zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:
• contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Frankston South (FSH) and Frankston (FTN), up to a maximum transfer capacity of 27.3MVA;

• install a third 20/33MVA transformer at LWN zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.5 million;

• establish a new zone substation.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the LWN zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.17 Mordialloc (MC) zone substation

Mordialloc (MC) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Heatherton terminal station (HTS). It supplies the areas of Aspendale, Braeside, Edithvale and Mordialloc.

Currently, the MC zone substation consists of two 20/27MVA transformers and one 20/33MVA transformer operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at MC for summer 2017/18 was 58.5MVA. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. Note that in September 2014, load was transferred from MC zone substation to the newly commissioned Keysborough (KBH) zone substation. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 8.7MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at MC. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at MC zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into MC would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at MC zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at MC zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Carrum (CRM), Noble Park (NP) and Springvale South (SS), up to a maximum transfer capacity of 18.8MVA;
- replace the existing two 20/27MVA transformers (manufactured in the late 1950s) with modern equivalent transformers (20/33MVA) due to age and deteriorating condition at an estimated cost of $6 million;
- establish a new zone substation.

United Energy’s preferred network solution is to replace the two 20/27MVA transformers that are in poor condition with modern equivalent transformers (20/33MVA), as part of an asset replacement project however this is not currently expected in the forward planning period. It is anticipated that following the asset
replacement, the station’s summer (N-1) rating will to be adequate to supply the maximum demand at MC zone substation.

The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 7.2.18 Mulgrave (MGE) zone substation

Mulgrave (MGE) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Heatherton terminal station (HTS). It supplies the areas of Mulgrave, Rowville, Scoresby and Wheelers Hill.

Currently, the MGE zone substation consists of three 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at MGE for summer 2017/18 was 73.4MVA, which was slightly above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

#### Figure 7.18 Forecast maximum demand for MGE zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 10.0MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at MGE. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.
It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at MGE zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into MGE would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at MGE zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at MGE zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Glen Waverley (GW), Lyndale (LD) and Springvale West (SVW, up to a maximum transfer capacity of 23.2MVA;
- permanently load transfer from MGE zone substation to LD zone substation, where a third transformer was installed in December 2012, at an estimated cost of $2.0 million;
- establish a new Scoresby (SCY) zone substation at an estimated cost of $16M.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to transfer load from MGE to LD by augmenting existing, or establishing new, distribution feeders. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will also mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.19 Moorabbin (MR) zone substation

Moorabbin (MR) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Heatherton terminal station (HTS). It supplies the suburbs of Brighton, Hampton East and Moorabbin.

Currently, the MR zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at MR for summer 2017/18 was 46.8MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 10.1MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at MR. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at MR zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Sandringham (SR), Bentleigh (BT), Ormond (OR) and North Brighton (NB) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 14.2MVA;
- install a third 20/33MVA transformer at MR zone substation for an estimated cost of $5.7 million.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the MR zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.20 Mornington (MTN) zone substation

Mornington (MTN) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Tyabb terminal station (TBTS). It supplies the areas of Merricks North, Moorooduc and Mornington.
Currently, the MTN zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The actual maximum demand at MTN for summer 2017/18 was 63.1MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

**Figure 7.20 Forecast maximum demand for MTN zone substation**

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 20.4MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at MTN. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at MTN zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer away load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Dromana (DMA), Frankston South (FSH) and Hastings (HGS), up to a maximum transfer capacity of 15.3MVA;
- establish a new feeder from MTN before summer 2021/22, to offload two of MTN’s highly loaded feeders, in order to partially address the risk in the area and defer the need for 3rd transformer and switchboard at MTN. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $1.0 million.
This will allow the deferral of the installation of a 20/33MVA third transformer and switchboard at MTN zone substation with an additional new distribution feeder, to before summer 2025/26 at an estimated cost of $6.5 million.

In the 2017 DAPR United Energy identified the installation of a third transformer and two new distribution feeders as the preferred network option to be implemented just outside planning period. Based on an increased load forecast and a reassessment of options, United Energy’s preferred network option is to install an additional feeder in 2021, and a subsequent third transformer, switchboard and an additional new distribution feeder at MTN in 2025.

The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will also mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.21 North Brighton (NB) zone substation

North Brighton (NB) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Heatherton terminal station (HTS). It supplies the areas of Brighton and North Brighton.

Currently, the NB zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

Due to age and deteriorating condition of this switchboard, United Energy replaced it with modern equivalent before June 2017. Once replaced, the station’s summer ratings increased marginally as shown below.

The actual maximum demand at NB for summer 2017/18 was 46.4MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
Figure 7.21 Forecast maximum demand against station ratings for NB zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 5.3MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at NB. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at NB zone substation. Consequently, a forced outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into NB would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at NB zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at NB zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Bentleigh (BT), Elwood (EW) and Moorabbin (MR) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 9.7MVA;
- establish a new zone substation at an estimated cost of $20 million.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to establish a new zone substation to offload NB zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.
7.2.22 Ormond (OR) zone substation

Ormond (OR) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Malvern Terminal Station (MTS). It supplies the areas of Bentleigh East, Hughesdale and Murrumbeena.

Currently, the OR zone substation consists of two 20/27MVA transformers operating at 66/11kV.

The actual maximum demand at OR for summer 2017/18 was 36.1MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.

Figure 7.22 Forecast maximum demand for OR zone substation

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 7.0MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at OR. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers at OR zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into OR would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at OR zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at OR zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:
• contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Bentleigh (BT), Caulfield (CFD), East Malvern (EM) and Oakleigh East (OE) up to a maximum transfer capability of 5.6MVA;

• install a third switchboard at adjacent zone substation East Malvern (EM) with 3 new distribution feeders at an estimated cost of $7.0 million. This will allow for more feeder transfer capacity in the area and possible permanent offloading of OR through future distribution feeder works; or

• install a third 20/33MVA transformer at OR zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.1 million.

A number of surrounding adjacent zone substations including OR, EM, Caulfield (CFD) and Gardiner (K) and the several feeders in the area are exhibiting load-at-risk. United Energy’s preferred network option to address these limitations in the short to medium term is to install a new switchboard with three new distribution feeders at the more lightly loaded EM zone substation in 2023 (see section 7.2.9). In the longer term a 3rd transformer will also likely be required at EM zone substation with further offloads of the adjacent zone substations including OR occurring as load grows over time.

The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

7.2.23 Sorrento (STO) zone substation

Sorrento (STO) zone substation is served by sub-transmission lines from the Tyabb terminal station (TBTS). It supplies the areas of Blairgowrie, Portsea, Rye and Sorrento.

Currently, STO zone substation consists of two 20/33MVA transformers operating at 66/22kV.

The maximum demand at STO normally occurs during the Christmas and New Year holiday periods due to increased activities along the tip of the Mornington Peninsula. The actual maximum demand at STO for summer 2017/18 was 47.2MVA, which was above the N-1 rating for the zone substation. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix C or the System Limitations Template.
United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 11.2MVA of load-at-risk if there is a failure of one of the transformers at STO. That is, it would not be able to supply all customers during high load periods following the loss of a transformer.

It is also noted that there are no 66kV sub-transmission line circuit breakers or 66kV bus-tie circuit breaker at STO zone substation. Consequently, an unplanned outage on one of the sub-transmission lines into STO would also result in an outage of one of the transformers at STO zone substation.

To address the anticipated system constraint at STO zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- contingency plans to transfer load away via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations of Dromana (DMA) and Rosebud (RBD) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 12.7MVA;
- install a third 20/33MVA transformer at STO zone substation at an estimated cost of $5.7 million.

United Energy's preferred network option is to install a new transformer at the STO zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.
7.3 Proposed new zone substations

This section sets out United Energy’s plans for new zone substations. These substations are not taken into account in the forecasts that have been set out in appendix C as that only relates to existing substations.

In summary, United Energy does not propose to build any new zone substations during the forward planning period.
8 Sub-transmission loops review

This chapter reviews the sub-transmission loops where further investigation into the balance between capacity and demand over the next five years is warranted, taking into account the:

- forecasts for N-1 maximum demand to 2023; and
- loop ratings for each sub-transmission loop.

Where the sub-transmission loop is forecast to operate with 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE) maximum demands greater than their summer rating under N-1 conditions during 2018/19 and the energy-at-risk is material, or if an augmentation project is planned, then this section assesses the energy-at-risk for those assets.

United Energy sets out possible options to address the system limitations. United Energy may employ the use of contingency load transfers to mitigate the system limitations although this will not always address the entire load-at-risk at times of maximum demand. At other times of lower load the available transfers may be greater. As a result, the use of load transfers under contingency situations may imply a short interruption of supply for customers to protect network elements from damage and enable all available load transfers to take place.

Non-network providers may wish to review the limitations and consider whether alternative solutions to those set out in the analysis may be suitable. Solutions may also address zone substation constraints at the same time.

United Energy notes that all other sub-transmission lines that are not specifically mentioned below either have loadings below the relevant rating or the loading above energy-at-risk the relevant rating results in minimal load-at-risk.

Finally, sub-transmission lines that are proposed to be commissioned during the forward planning period are also discussed.

8.1 Sub-transmission loops with forecast limitations overview

Using the analysis undertaken below in section 8.2, United Energy proposes to undertake one major augmentation on sub-transmission loop as listed in the table below to address system limitations during the forward planning period.

Table 8.1 Proposed sub-transmission line augmentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Direct Cost estimate ($2018 million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGS- RBD</td>
<td>New line established before summer 2022/23</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note that the HGS–RBD project is currently being deferred by a Demand Management solution until 2022/23. United Energy will continue to monitor the demand growth and explore options to potentially extend this solution to deliver further deferment. See sections 8.2.5 and 15.2 for further details.

The options and analysis is undertaken in the sections below.

### 8.2 Sub-transmission lines with forecast system limitations

#### 8.2.1 ERTS-LD-MGE-ERTS

The ERTS-LD-MGE-ERTS sub-transmission system supplies the Lyndale (LD) and Mulgrave (MGE) zone substations from East Rowville terminal station (ERTS) at 66kV.

The actual maximum demand on the ERTS-LD-MGE-ERTS sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 1,072 Amps. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.

**Figure 8.1 Forecast maximum demand for ERTS-LD-MGE-ERTS system**

The figure also shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 15.5MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise:

- on the ERTS-LD sub-transmission line for an outage of the ERTS-MGE sub-transmission line; or
• on the ERTS-MGE sub-transmission line for an outage of the ERTS-LD sub-transmission line.

To address the anticipated system constraints within this sub-transmission loop system, United Energy considers the following network options are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

• maintain contingency plans to transfer load to adjacent sub-transmission systems and the use of dynamic line ratings. Transfer capability away from this system is assessed at 40.8MVA for summer 2018/19;

• establish a new 66kV line from ERTS to connect to the existing MGE-LD line at an estimated cost of $2 million;

• establish a new Scoresby (SCY) zone-substation to offload MGE zone-substation at an estimated cost of $16 million. The timing of this would depend on the zone-substation risk at MGE and the surrounding zone-substations.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to establish the new SCY zone substation. However, given the economic cost of the constraint, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of the contingency plans, including the use of dynamic line ratings and load transfers to adjacent sub-transmission systems, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

8.2.2 HTS-BR-KBH-M-MC-HTS

The HTS-BR-KBH-M-MC-HTS sub-transmission system supplies the Beaumaris (BR), Keysborough (KBH), Mentone (M), and Mordialloc (MC) zone substations from Heatherton terminal station (HTS) at 66kV, as shown below.

Figure 8.2 HTS-BR-KBH-M-MC-HTS sub-transmission system
The actual maximum demand on the HTS-BR-KBH-M-MC-HTS sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 1,211 Amps. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.

It is noted that the Keysborough (KBH) zone substation was commissioned in 2014/15. As part of this project, a second HTS-M 66kV line was established using the previous out-of-service No. 1 sub-transmission line from HTS-Carrum (CRM) zone substation. The exiting 66kV droppers at BR zone substation were replaced to reinforce this system. Load was transferred to KBH from MC, Dandenong South (DSH) and Noble Park (NP) zone substations.

**Figure 8.3 Forecast maximum demand for HTS-BR-KBH-M-MC-HTS system**

The figure also shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 13.1MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise:

- on the HTS-M No.2 sub-transmission line for an outage of the HTS-M No.1 sub-transmission line;
- on the HTS-BR sub-transmission line for an outage of the KBH-M-MC line; and
- on the KBH-M-MC sub-transmission line for an outage of the HTS-BR sub-transmission line.
To address the anticipated system constraints within this sub-transmission line system, United Energy considers the following network options are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- maintain contingency plans to transfer load to adjacent sub-transmission systems and the use of dynamic line ratings. Transfer capability away from this system is assessed at 61.4MVA for summer 2018/19;
- upgrade the HTS-M No.2 sub-transmission line at an estimated cost of $520,000;
- upgrade the KBH-M-MC sub-transmission line at an estimated cost of $520,000.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to upgrade the KBH-M-MC sub-transmission line. However, given the economic cost of the constraints, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of the contingency plans, including the use of dynamic line ratings and load transfers to adjacent sub-transmission systems, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 8.2.3 HTS-MR-BT-NB-HTS

The HTS-MR-BT-NB-HTS 66kV sub-transmission loop supplies Moorabbin (MR), Bentleigh (BT) and North Brighton (NB) zone substations from Heatherton terminal station (HTS), at 66kV.

The actual maximum demand on the HTS-MR-BT-NB-HTS sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 1102 Amps. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the sub-transmission system’s operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.

**Figure 8.4 Forecast maximum demand for HTS-MR-BT-NB-HTS system**
The figure also shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 17.1MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise:

- Predominantly on the BT-MR sub-transmission line for an outage of the HTS-NB sub-transmission line;
- on the HTS-MR sub-transmission line for an outage of the HTS-NB sub-transmission line.

To address the anticipated system constraints within this sub-transmission line system, United Energy considers the following network options are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- maintain contingency plans to transfer load to adjacent sub-transmission systems and the use of dynamic line ratings. Transfer capability away from this system is assessed at 10.9MVA for summer 2018/19;
- thermally up-rate approximately 1.3 km of the BT-MR sub-transmission line at an approximate cost of $500,000.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to thermally up-rate the BT-MR sub-transmission line. However, given the economic cost of the constraints, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of the contingency plans, including the use of dynamic line ratings and load transfers to adjacent sub-transmission systems, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**8.2.4 RTS-CL-K-RTS**

The RTS-CL-K-RTS sub-transmission loop supplies the Camberwell (CL) and Gardiner (K) zone substations from Richmond terminal station (RTS), at 66kV. CL is a CitiPower zone substation, therefore planning on this system is undertaken jointly with United Energy. The ownership of the 66kV assets in this loop is as follows:

- RTS–K sub-transmission line is owned by United Energy;
- RTS–CL sub-transmission line is owned by CitiPower;
- CL–K sub-transmission line is owned by CitiPower.

The actual maximum demand on the RTS-CL-K-RTS sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 842 Amps, which was above the loop N-1 rating. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the sub-transmission system’s operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.
It is noted that the RTS-K sub-transmission line was uprated in 2014. This system is now limited by the station assets at RTS. In 2017, AusNet Transmission Group commenced an asset replacement project at RTS to replace the ageing transformers and other plant, which will address the limiting station assets. This will result in a minor rating increase for summer 2018/19.

**Figure 8.5 Forecast maximum demand for RTS-CL-K-RTS system**

The figure also shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 7.7MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise on the RTS-K sub-transmission line (owned and operated by United Energy) for an outage of the RTS-CL sub-transmission line.

To address the anticipated system constraints within this sub-transmission line system, United Energy considers the following network options are technically feasible to manage the load-at-risk:

- maintain contingency plan to transfer load to adjacent sub-transmission systems and the use of dynamic line ratings. Transfer capability away from K and CL zone substations is assessed at 7.7MVA and 4.3MVA respectively for summer 2018/19;
- permanent offload of sub K or CL to adjacent substations, which may require the establishment of new distribution feeder ties;
- upgrade the RTS-K sub-transmission line at an estimated cost of over $3 million or establish a new sub-transmission line to supply the loop.
United Energy’s preferred network option is to upgrade the RTS-K sub-transmission line. However, given the economic cost of the constraints, this project is not expected to occur in the forward planning period. The use of the contingency plans, including the use of dynamic line ratings and load transfers to adjacent sub-transmission systems, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

### 8.2.5 TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS

The TBTS-FSH-MTN-TBTS sub-transmission system supplies the Dromana (DMA), Frankston South (FSH) and Mornington (MTN) zone substations from Tyabb terminal station (HTS) at 66kV. Rosebud (RBD) and Sorrento (STO) zone substations are connected to DMA as a secondary system, and are supplied through the TBTS-DMA-MTN sub-transmission system as shown below.

**Figure 8.6 TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS sub-transmission system**

Prior to 2014, TBTS-FSH-MTN-TBTS and TBTS-DMA-TBTS were two independent sub-transmission systems. United Energy combined these systems to form the new TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS sub-transmission system to optimise the sub-transmission capacity utilisation in the Mornington Peninsula. However, capacity and voltage limitations remain on this system.
Given the multiple supply routes and voltage limitations in this system, the risk assessment for this system is more complicated compared with other sub-transmission systems. Therefore, load flow results are used to undertake the risk assessment. The analysis is broken down as follows:

- TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS system capacity limitation;
- TBTS-DMA-MTN system capacity limitation;
- DMA-RBD-DMA system capacity limitation;
- RBD-STO-RBD system capacity limitation; and
- voltage collapse limitation in the lower Mornington Peninsula.

**TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS**

The actual maximum demand on the TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 2,261 Amps, which was above the loop N-1 rating. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the sub-transmission system’s operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.

**Figure 8.7 Forecast maximum demand for TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS system**

The figure shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 58.3MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop.
system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise on the TBTS-MTN No.1 sub-transmission line for an outage of the TBTS-DMA sub-transmission line.

**TBTS-DMA-MTN**

This is a subset of the main TBTS-DMA-FSH-MTN-TBTS sub-transmission system. The actual maximum demand on the TBTS-DMA-MTN sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 1,103 Amps. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the sub-transmission system’s operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.

**Figure 8.8 Forecast maximum demand for TBTS-DMA-MTN system**

The figure shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 17.6MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise on the TBTS-DMA sub-transmission line for an outage of the MTN-DMA sub-transmission line, or vice versa.

**DMA-RBD-DMA**

The DMA-RBD-DMA sub-transmission system supplies Rosebud (RBD) zone substation from Dromana (DMA) zone substation, at 66kV. The sub loop from RBD to Sorrento (STO) zone substation is separately assessed and currently has no energy-at-risk.
The actual maximum demand on the DMA-RBD-DMA sub-transmission system for summer 2017/18 was 746 Amps, which was above the loop N-1 rating. The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the sub-transmission system’s operational summer (N) and (N-1) ratings.

**Figure 8.9 Forecast maximum demand for DMA-RBD-DMA system**

The figure shows that maximum demand is expected to exceed its summer (N-1) rating over the forward planning period. For more details please refer to the table in Appendix D or the System Limitations Template.

United Energy estimates that in the summer of 2018/19 there will be 27MVA of load-at-risk in the event of the worst case outage of a sub-transmission line within the loop system during high load periods. Load-at-risk could arise on the DMA-RBD No.1 sub-transmission line for an outage of the DMA-RBD No.2 sub-transmission line, or vice versa.

**Voltage collapse**

United Energy has also identified a risk of voltage collapse in the lower part of the Mornington Peninsula should an unplanned outage of either the MTN-DMA or the TBTS-DMA sub-transmission line occur during maximum demand periods, with the former being the more severe condition. Given the relatively long sub-transmission lines extending to STO from TBTS (approximately 59km), the voltage collapse limitation is considered to be prominent over the thermal capacity limitation.

United Energy already has installed capacitor banks at STO and RBD zone substations to provide reactive power compensation for the load. Although DMA zone substation is not equipped with any capacitor banks, the station also operates near unity power.
factor due to the use of pole-mounted capacitor banks within the 22kV distribution network.

The effectiveness of these devices together with the on-load tap changers (of zone substation transformers) to maintain voltage levels within acceptable levels is diminishing rapidly in the event of loss of one of the sub-transmission lines to DMA zone substation during maximum demand conditions because of the magnitude of the losses along the sub-transmission lines.

United Energy has identified that a DMA-RBD-STO load of 120MVA or greater, together with an unplanned outage of the MTN-DMA sub-transmission line, will likely cause voltage collapse of the loop. This is shown in the figure below.

**Figure 8.10 Forecast voltage collapse limit for DMA-RBD-STO system**

Therefore, pre-contingent load curtailment may be required to maintain regulatory compliance with respect to voltage. The impact of this increases as demand increases over the forward planning period. In 2017-18, United Energy held the demand within the voltage collapse limit by dispatching approximately 1MW of demand management. The actual presented above excludes this demand management.

**Overall assessment**

In May 2016, United Energy published a Final Project Assessment Report (**FPAR**) for the Lower Mornington Peninsula, which was the final stage in the Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution (**RIT-D**) process. The RIT-D assessment recommended a technically feasible and economic solution to mitigate the system constraints in the Mornington Peninsula sub-transmission network. The preferred solution was a combination of network and non-network options, namely:

- use of GreenSync’s four year non-network solution commencing in summer 2018/19 at an estimated cost of $3.7 million,
• construction of a new sub-transmission line from Hastings (HGS) to RBD zone substations by summer 2022/23 at an estimated cost of $28.7 million (direct $2018).

This solution shall:

• reduce energy-at-risk from summer 2018/19 till 2021/22 in the lower Mornington supply area;
• defer network augmentation by two years i.e. from 2020/21 to 2022/23;
• maximise net economic benefits for the electricity market;
• address capacity limitation on the DMA-RBD sub-transmission lines;
• address capacity limitation on the MTN-DMA sub-transmission line;
• address capacity limitation on the TBTS-DMA sub-transmission line;
• address capacity limitation on the TBTS-MTN No.1 sub-transmission line; and
• address voltage collapse limitation in the lower Mornington Peninsula.

United Energy will continue to monitor the load growth and explore options to expand the demand side solutions to potentially deliver further deferment of the network solution. Continued deferment will depend on a number of factors including the success of the current demand management solution to begin this summer.

8.3 Proposed new sub-transmission lines

This section sets out United Energy’s plans for new sub-transmission lines. These lines are not taken into account in the forecasts that have been set out in appendix D as that only relates to existing sub-transmission lines.

The table below provides an overview of the sub-transmission lines that United Energy is proposing to build during the forward planning period.

Table 8.2 Proposed new sub-transmission lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proposed commissioning date</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGS-RBD</td>
<td>Hastings to Rosebud</td>
<td>Nov 2022</td>
<td>Demand and voltage constraints in the lower Mornington Peninsula area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of these lines is described in more detail below.

8.3.1 HGS–RBD sub-transmission lines

A RIT-D has been completed for this project. Please see section 8.2.5 above and chapter 15 for more details.
9 Primary distribution feeder and substation reviews

Where practicable, United Energy has prepared forecasts for primary distribution feeders over the forward planning period that assesses the balance between capacity and demand. This chapter discusses the primary distribution feeders that are:

- currently overloaded; or
- forecast to experience an overload in the next two years.

Under probabilistic planning, distribution feeders are generally loaded to greater than 85 percent utilisation before they are considered for possible augmentation as this represents a typical trigger-point at which feeder augmentations may become economic. The transfer capabilities reserved for maintaining continuous supply to our customers during emergency conditions diminishes with increased distribution feeder utilisation.

United Energy has also prepared listings of distribution substations and LV circuits with constraint limitations. Under probabilistic planning, distribution substations are generally loaded to greater than 120% of their cyclic rating (100% of their short-time rating) and LV circuits loaded to their fuse rating before it is considered for augmentation or a non-network solution.

We invite non-network providers to review the limitations and consider whether alternative solutions to those set out in the analysis may be suitable. United Energy anticipates an increasing number non-network options will emerge over the next few years particularly for distribution feeder and substation limitations, as the market and technology develops.

9.1 Overview of primary distribution feeders with forecast overload

The table below provides information regarding critical distribution feeder limitations where network augmentation to alleviate those limitations are likely to be economic and are currently planned in the next two years.

A number of options are considered in identifying suitable mitigation measures to alleviate thermal capacity and transfer capacity issues on distribution feeders, including:

- permanent load transfers to neighbouring feeders,
- feeder reconductoring,
- thermal uprate,
- reactive power compensation,
- new feeder ties or extensions,
- new feeders,
- non-network alternatives.
The most appropriate option is selected based on practical feasibility and least lifecycle cost.

Table 9.1 Distribution feeder limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeder</th>
<th>Feeder location</th>
<th>MD season</th>
<th>Forecast utilisation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZY 34</td>
<td>Dandenong Frankston Rd, Dandenong South / Sandhurst Area</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW14</td>
<td>St Kilda Rd, Elwood / Brighton Area</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11</td>
<td>Warrigal Road, Cheltenham</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 32</td>
<td>Chapman Road / Park Road, Cheltenham</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE 4</td>
<td>North Road / Warrigal Road, Oakleigh / Murrumbeena</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>102%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 34</td>
<td>Railway Parade, Noble Park</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH 31</td>
<td>Overport Road / Humphries Rd, Frankston</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGE 12</td>
<td>Jells Road / Ferntree Gully Road, Wheelers Hill</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The section below identifies the amount of load reduction that would be required to defer the proposed augmentations by one year. It also identifies the proposed preferred network solution that would be undertaken in the absence of any commitment from interested parties to offer network support services through demand side management initiatives.

9.2 Primary distribution feeders with forecast overload

9.2.1 DZY34

DZY 34 and DZY24 are highly utilised feeders from Dandenong Valley (DZY) zone substation, and supplying growing industrial estates and residential areas in Dandenong South and Sandhurst.

United Energy’s preferred network solution is to build a new feeder DZY 12 from the DZY zone substation to offload these feeders. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $834,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on DZY 24 feeder, between the

<sup>10</sup> Note limitation is on a downstream section of feeder causing the need for investment.

<sup>11</sup> This is an indicative figure only. The amount of load reduction required to defer the proposed augmentations will be finalised via a detailed risk assessment / business case.
hours of 10:00 to 15:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.8MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2019 for summer 2019/20.

The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $33,000. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

### 9.2.2 EW 14

EW 14 is a highly utilised 11kV feeder from Elwood (EW) zone substation, and supplies predominantly residual load in the Elwood and Brighton areas.

United Energy’s preferred solution is to perform a load transfer to an adjacent more lightly loaded feeder NB 34. This includes the establishment of a new remote control gas switch. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $56,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on EW 14 feeder, between the hours of 17:00 to 21:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.3MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2019 for summer 2019/20. The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $2,200. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

### 9.2.3 M 11

M 11 is a highly utilised 11kV feeder from Mentone (M) zone substation, and supplies the Cheltenham area. Loading on a section of the M11 feedback bone is underrated and forecast to be exceeded due to load growth downstream.

United Energy’s preferred network solution is to re-conductor a section of the feeder to alleviate the limitation. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $167,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on the downstream section of the M 11 feeder, between the hours of 16:00 to 21:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.6MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2019 for summer 2019/20. The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $6,600. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

### 9.2.4 M 32

M 32 is a highly utilised 11kV feeder from Mentone (M) zone substation, and supplies the Cheltenham area.
United Energy's preferred network solution is to upgrade the feeder exit cable to increase the overall feeder rating, due to its high loading. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $330,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on M 32 feeder, between the hours of 15:00 to 21:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.5MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2019 for summer 2019/20. The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $13,100. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

### 9.2.5 OE 4

OE 4 is a highly utilised 11kV feeder from Oakleigh East (OE) zone substation, and supplies predominantly residential load in the Oakleigh and Murrumbeena areas.

United Energy's preferred network solution is to establish a new feeder from Oakleigh East zone substation to offload OE 4. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $1,100,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on OE 4 feeder, between the hours of 15:00 to 22:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.7MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2019 for summer 2019/20. The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $44,000. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

### 9.2.6 NP 34

NP 34 is a highly utilised 22kV feeder from Noble Park (NP) zone substation, and supplies predominantly residential load in the suburb of Noble Park. Loading on a section of the NP 34 feedback bone is underrated causing limitations on the restoration of customers after outages.

United Energy's preferred network solution is to survey and thermally uprate a small section of the feeder. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $46,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on NP 34 feeder, after a fault, between the hours of 16:00 to 22:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.5MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2019 for summer 2019/20. The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $1,800. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.
augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

9.2.7 FSH 31

FSH 31 and FSH 33 are highly utilised feeders from Frankston South (FSH) zone substation. They supply the Mount Eliza and Frankston South areas.

United Energy’s preferred network solution is to extend the lightly utilised FSH 12 feeder to offload FSH 31 and FSH 33 to enable better utilisation of assets. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $300,000.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on FSH 31 or FSH 33 feeders, between the hours of 16:00 to 22:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.7MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2020 for summer 2020/21.

The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $12,000. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

9.2.8 MGE 12

MGE 12 is a highly utilised feeder from Mulgrave (MGE) zone substation. It supplies the Wheelers Hill area.

United Energy’s preferred network solution is to establish a new feeder from MGE zone substation to offload MGE 12. The estimated cost of this augmentation is $1,760,000. United Energy have previously used demand response in this area to defer investment which it will once again explore using to once again defer investment while the extent of the anticipated growth is uncertain.

In order to defer the proposed augmentation by twelve months, a non-network solution would need to reduce the summer maximum demand on MGE12 feeders, between the hours of 12:00 to 17:00 on maximum demand days by approximately 0.6MVA. Any solution would need to be implemented by November 2020 for summer 2020/21.

The estimated annualised cost of the solution is around $70,000. This provides a broad upper bound indication of the maximum contribution from United Energy which may be available to non-network service providers for deferring the proposed augmentation by twelve months. For more details please see the systems limitations template.

9.3 Distribution substation and LV circuit limitations

Every year United Energy undertakes a program to rectify and prevent overloads on its distribution substations and LV circuits. In the absence of third-party non-network solutions, United Energy utilises both traditional augmentation and its residential
demand management “Summer Saver” program as options to alleviate limitations on distribution substation and LV circuits. The solution adopted for each site is based on an economic evaluation of costs and benefits.

The table below outlines the summer 2018/19 sites with limitations where the Summer Saver program is being carried out and will likely be continued in future years. The location for each site is also provided via the Google Earth map accompanying this report. United Energy will update and finalise the list of summer 2019/20 sites with limitations around March 2019. As part of our consultation obligations under the Demand Management Incentive Scheme (DMIS), United Energy will publish this list through its contacts on the United Energy Demand Side Engagement Register for non-network service provider’s consideration.

We invite non-network providers to consider whether alternative solutions could be deployed in these areas and to contact us with any enquiries or further details.

Table 9.2 United Energy 2018/19 Summer Saver Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution Substation Name</th>
<th>PARKER-HOLLOWAY</th>
<th>CAM-CROW</th>
<th>KURINGAI BOOMERANG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MURRUMBEENA-KANGAROO</td>
<td>HUTCHISON ASHLEY</td>
<td>PORTER-CHURCH</td>
<td>CARAMUT-GRANDVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENTRE 23-DAVIES</td>
<td>THE CREST JASPER</td>
<td>COOK BASS</td>
<td>LATONA-MILAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEITH-BARTLETT</td>
<td>SPRING-RUSSELL</td>
<td>CRAIG CRANBOURNE</td>
<td>LEMON-EFRON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHITES WATSONS</td>
<td>TYABB ILUKA</td>
<td>CULCAIRN FTN FLIND</td>
<td>LINDRUM HAMPDEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILTSHIRE PEMBROKE</td>
<td>TURNER-PEACE</td>
<td>DARLINGTON JELLS</td>
<td>LUGANO MONACO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAKHAM-MEDHURST</td>
<td>WOODVALE ELWERS</td>
<td>DURBAN ST GEORGES</td>
<td>MAPLE POPPLAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURTIN-DEAKIN</td>
<td>BETTY ELIZA WAY</td>
<td>EDBGASTON STADIUM</td>
<td>MARY BALMORAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQUEDUCT LANGLEWAN</td>
<td>BODLEY-TRAMWAY</td>
<td>ELGAR N464 WHORSE</td>
<td>MCAUTHUR EAST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARADISE MOANA</td>
<td>NORTHERN HAWTHORN</td>
<td>OSBORNE DROMANA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DARVALL BONA</td>
<td>PERMIEN NEPEAN</td>
<td>ELLERINA MT MARTHA</td>
<td>MITCHELL STRACHANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROWNFIELD-WARREN</td>
<td>LIMA BETTINA</td>
<td>ELWOOD-BOISDALE</td>
<td>MONIQUE BREESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILLARDS OLD MTN</td>
<td>LUM SHERRINGHAM</td>
<td>ENTRANCE NEPEAN</td>
<td>MOULE-BAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUTT CORRIGAN</td>
<td>JANFOURD FORSTER</td>
<td>ERINKA SUNRISE</td>
<td>NAGLE-LIBERTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASSIA HILLCREST</td>
<td>MCCULLOCH KIRKWOOD</td>
<td>EXCALIBUR CAPITAL</td>
<td>NORTH UNION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMERSET SURREY</td>
<td>CREST-SPRINGFIELD</td>
<td>FERN MURRAY</td>
<td>NORTHERN-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATSONIA-NORTH</td>
<td>AUSTIN ERWIN</td>
<td>FERNTREE G-VIEWBANK</td>
<td>OSBORNE DROMANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILLEROY-IMBROS</td>
<td>AUSTIN MORGAN</td>
<td>FLORENCE-GERALD</td>
<td>OZONE NEPEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALDWEU KEATS</td>
<td>SIBYL HUMPHRIES</td>
<td>GEOFFREY CAXTON</td>
<td>PELLA-BALCOMBE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTORY-ALAMEIN</td>
<td>LOCHABER HEATHERHILL</td>
<td>GORDON ESPLANADE</td>
<td>QUAT QUATTA-GLEN ERA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINTON N32 GWENDA</td>
<td>SHORE ACRES PINEHURST</td>
<td>GOVERNOR ROYAL</td>
<td>QUEENS-TOORAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YUILLE GEORGE</td>
<td>GLENIFER SOUTH</td>
<td>GREENBUSH BURDEKIN</td>
<td>RAY-BEACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYLVIA BLUFF</td>
<td>HIGHTET NICOL</td>
<td>GREENWOOD TALBA</td>
<td>RECKLESS RIVETTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRUCHY CHELSEA PARK</td>
<td>ALDRIDGE CARDIGAN</td>
<td>HAMMOND-HAMPTON</td>
<td>RICHMOND PEKINA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEMAN CHANDLER</td>
<td>ARTHURS BAYVIEW</td>
<td>HEANY PK GOLDING</td>
<td>SERENITY SAMUEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALEXANDER-THOMAS</td>
<td>AUSTIN DOWNS</td>
<td>HILLTOP RITCHIE</td>
<td>SEYMOUR GLEN SHAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALSAM N1 MAGNOLIA</td>
<td>BADEN POWELL PARKLND</td>
<td>HUXLEY TIVERTON</td>
<td>SHEPPARSON-JERSEY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARRIEDALE MOOROODUC</td>
<td>BARKLY MORVEN</td>
<td>ISLAND SERPENTINE</td>
<td>SMITH CHARLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALLAS-WARRIGAL</td>
<td>BAXTER SWANWALK</td>
<td>JENKINS TREEBY</td>
<td>SOUTHGATE MELALEUCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISNEY COOMA</td>
<td>BAXTER TDN GRACEMERE</td>
<td>KALIMNA ESPLANADE</td>
<td>SYCAMORE POPPLAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELDER-BERYL</td>
<td>BEECH-BROUGHTON</td>
<td>KAROOLA-BRIDGE</td>
<td>TRENTO LANDEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINTON-MDLBORO</td>
<td>BELBIRD FREELANDS</td>
<td>KENT GLEN</td>
<td>VOGUE-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUSTIN ERWIN</td>
<td>FERNTREE G-VIEWBANK</td>
<td>FERN MURRAY</td>
<td>NORTHERN-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINTON-MDLBORO</td>
<td>BELBIRD FREELANDS</td>
<td>KENT GLEN</td>
<td>VOGUE-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUSTIN ERWIN</td>
<td>FERNTREE G-VIEWBANK</td>
<td>FERN MURRAY</td>
<td>NORTHERN-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINTON-MDLBORO</td>
<td>BELBIRD FREELANDS</td>
<td>KENT GLEN</td>
<td>VOGUE-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUSTIN ERWIN</td>
<td>FERNTREE G-VIEWBANK</td>
<td>FERN MURRAY</td>
<td>NORTHERN-HAWTHORN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 Joint Planning

This section sets out the joint planning with DNSPs and TNSPs in relation to zone substations and sub-transmission lines. Transmission connection asset planning is undertaken by United Energy, as a joint exercise, with other Victorian DNSP’s and the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO), in its role as planner for the Victorian declared shared transmission network. Joint planning in relation to terminal stations in isolation is discussed in the Transmission Connection Planning Report.

United Energy has not identified any new projects from our joint planning activities with other DNSPs in 2018. Our joint planning activities have included sharing load forecast information and load flow analysis between Victorian distributors relating to the sub-transmission system. Where a constraint is identified on our network that may impact another distributor, then project specific joint planning meetings are held to determine the most efficient and effective investment strategy to address the system constraint.

United Energy has the following shared sub transmission loops with shared supply:

- ERTS-DN-HPK/DSH-DVY-ERTS shared supply with AusNet Electricity Services,
- RTS-EW-SK-RTS shared supply with CitiPower,
- RTS-K-CL-RTS shared supply with CitiPower,
- SVTS-EB-RD-SVTS shared supply with CitiPower.

Further information on the joint planning can be obtained from contacting United Energy by contacting planning@ue.com.au.
11 Changes to analysis since last year

11.1 Maximum demand forecast

The latest maximum demand forecast for the United Energy service area has been revised upwards by the National Institute of Economic & Industry Research (NIEIR) compared to that published in the 2017 DAPR. This change is predominantly driven by a higher 2018/19 starting point, due to increased uptake of temperature dependent load over the last two years and the inclusion of the latest summer actual and economic data. The 10 year growth rate is similar to last year’s report. This is reflected in the figure and table below.

**Figure 11.1 United Energy 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecasts**

![Graph showing summer maximum demand forecasts from 2016 to 2024, with three lines representing 2016, 2017, and 2018 forecasts.](image)

Table 11.1 below summarises the change in United Energy’s 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE) summer maximum demand forecast.

**Table 11.1 Changes in United Energy’s 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10% PoE Summer maximum demand</th>
<th>2018 Forecast</th>
<th>2017 Forecast</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018-2019 summer</td>
<td>2223 MW</td>
<td>2158 MW</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-2023 summer</td>
<td>2293 MW</td>
<td>2215 MW</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027-2028 summer</td>
<td>2462 MW</td>
<td>2386 MW</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ten-year average growth rate</td>
<td>1.2% pa</td>
<td>1.1% pa</td>
<td>+0.1% pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It should be noted that demand growth will also vary by geographical area. That is some areas will experience stronger demand growth than the network average, with other areas lower or no demand growth forecast.

11.2 Value of Customer Reliability (VCR)

The Value of Customer Reliability (VCR) used by United Energy to calculate the cost of expected unserved energy is provided by Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) each year. Following a review of the national VCR, AEMO published the latest average Victorian VCR on 30 September 2014 which revised the VCR downwards from $63,090 per MWh to $39,500 per MWh (a reduction of approximately 40%).

For the 2018 DAPR, AEMO’s current VCR has been escalated to 2018 dollars in accordance with the escalation method defined in AEMO’s Application Guide. A VCR of $42,200 per MWh is now being used for electricity customers in United Energy’s service area.

11.3 Timing of proposed network augmentations

The network limitation assessment and timing of network augmentations presented in this DAPR are based on United Energy’s 2018 maximum demand forecast and the latest VCR. Given a nominal increase in the maximum demand forecast compared with last year’s forecast the timing of network augmentations or replacement / refurbishment projects identified in this DAPR would be expected to be either similar or brought forward by one to two years on average compared to those published in the 2017 DAPR.

Whilst modest demand growth is expected for the overall United Energy network, there remain pockets of strong growth within United Energy’s service area and these typically occur in the parts of our network that are currently operating well above the average utilisation. The timing of our network augmentations has been determined on a case-by-case basis and may change over time as options are re-evaluated. Table 11.2 below summarises the change in timing of proposed major network augmentations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Major Project</th>
<th>2018 DAPR</th>
<th>2017 DAPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keysborough (KBH) Supply Area: Install a second transformer with two new distribution feeders at Keysborough (KBH) in 2022.</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.4 Timing of proposed asset retirements / replacements and deratings

United Energy are now also required detailed information on its asset retirements / replacement projects and deratings in its DAPR as described in section 14. The timing of these may change subject to updated asset information, portfolio optimisation and
realignment with other network projects, or reprioritisation of options to mitigate the deteriorating condition of the assets.

United Energy have made improvements to the risk assessment quantification. These changes primarily involve a refinement of the estimated failure probability for transformers, taking into account failures and replacements, and the inclusion of analysis at a substation level, considering common-cause failure risk for substations with identical assets. As a result, some asset retirements have been deferred, and other future retirements have been brought forward.

Table 11.2 below summarises the change in timing of proposed major network retirements/replacements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Asset Replacement</th>
<th>2018 DAPR</th>
<th>2017 DAPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheltenham (CM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ormond (OR) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Malvern (EM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elwood (EW) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardiner (K) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doncaster (DC) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyndale (LD) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) 11kV Switchboard</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandringham (SR) 11kV Switchboard</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentleigh (BT) 11kV Switchboard</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elwood (EW) 11kV Switchboard</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumaris (BR) 11kV Switchboard</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springvale (SV) 22kV Switchyard</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>2021/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heatherton (HT) 22kV Switchyard</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Doncaster (DC) and Lyndale (LD) transformer replacements, Elwood (EW) and Beaumaris (BR) switchboard replacements, and Springvale (SV) switchyard works have been deferred as a result of re-prioritisation of asset replacements based on the most recent asset and substation risk analysis.

---

This asset was previously identified as the Ormond (OR) #1 Transformer in the 2017 DAPR.
11.5 Feedback on United Energy’s 2017 DAPR

In February 2017, United Energy held a public forum to discuss and seek feedback on the 2017 DAPR with the interested parties on United Energy's Demand Side Engagement Register. Feedback was very positive with no specific requests for changes or additional information. However the session enabled United Energy to identify an opportunity for improvement in the description of the need for certain projects such as the East Malvern augmentation constraint in order to provide better clarity on the project need.

In addition last year United Energy, CitiPower and Powercor and many other Distribution Businesses developed their own Systems Limitations Template format. This year United Energy, CitiPower and Powercor have decided to adopt a common template developed by Energy Networks Australia in conjunction with the Distribution Business. We hope this will make this easier for non-network providers and other users of the information to analyse and use the information.

United Energy welcomes any feedback on improvements in relation to the DAPR and Systems Limitations Template.
12 Asset Management

This chapter sets out the asset management framework which seeks to demonstrate the governance framework underpinning the Asset Management System to ensure a line of sight of responsibilities for key documentation deliverables.

12.1 Asset management system

United Energy is implementing an improved Asset Management System to align activities and documentation into a comprehensive and integrated framework in accordance with ISO 55000 as shown in Figure 12.1. The Asset Management Strategy and Objectives is highlighted within the overall framework.

The United Energy Asset Management system intends to:

- align with key aspects of the requirements of AS ISO 55000 Asset Management series;
- provide a clear line of sight to ensure integration between customer, business and Asset Management requirements expressed in the following;
  - Corporate Plan and Objectives,
  - Asset Management Drivers,
  - Risk Appetite statement.
- includes the following interdependent document deliverables;
  - Asset Management Policy - provide overarching principles that align with Corporate Objectives and Asset Management drivers.
  - Asset Management Strategy and Objectives for the management of assets that align with the Asset Management Policy.
  - Non-Asset and Asset Class plans that describe how each Non-Asset and Asset Class will achieve the requirements of the Asset Management Strategy and Objectives.
  - Asset Management Plan that provides an overview of Asset Management works programs for a 10 year period.
  - Capex / Opex Works Program (COWP) outlining the annual works program that is used by Service Delivery to deliver projects.
Figure 12.1 Asset Management System
12.2 Asset Management Policy and Strategies

United Energy’s Asset Management Policy defines the principles by which assets are managed.

The Asset Management Strategy and Objectives document expands on the requirements of the asset management policy, but also draws in other key principles and business objectives, which are prudent to consider in decision and strategy making.

United Energy’s Strategic Asset Management Plan includes a discussion on future network scenarios which may occur over the next 20 years. This document serves as guidance for decision making processes within the business to manage future uncertainty, and minimise risk around capital deployment being made redundant.

These three documents serve as the key input guidance for asset and non-asset class strategies, which analyse decision options and outcomes with respect to the objectives and considerations outlined in the aforementioned documents.

12.3 Asset Class Strategies and Plans

A key part of United Energy’s Asset Management System is the development and maintenance of Asset Class Strategies for sub-transmission and distribution asset classes. These strategies describe the management of the various asset classes from creation through to disposal and include the maintenance and replacement strategies applied to each asset. The strategy documents take the high-level asset strategies and objectives and combine them with an in-depth knowledge of the specific assets to identify the requirements that will ensure delivery of optimum outcomes. The group for Asset Class Strategies and Plans are as follows:

- Primary Electrical Assets;
- Secondary Electrical Assets;
- Fleet;
- Metering;
- Operational Property.

12.4 Asset Management Plan and COWP

The Asset Management Plan (AMP) is a rolling 10-year plan that translates the asset strategy and asset performance data into a more detailed investment plan. It strikes a balance between efficient and cost-effective investment, the required level of service from the physical assets and an appropriate level of risk to develop a long-term plan. To develop the AMP, United Energy collects and analyses data, determines the necessary modifications to the network that are required, and then produces a capital plan to deliver the network investments with a rolling 10-year view.

The AMP is list of projects that have been derived from the Strategic Planning process and is outlined in detail in the COWP.
The COWP explains in detail the execution of the AMP and reflects a two-year budget cycle, setting out the actions, responsibilities, resourcing and time scales for the activities in each program. Expenditures are associated with both capital and operational activities.

To optimise investments in replacement, demand and performance capital expenditure when formulating the AMP, United Energy balances three sets of requirements:

1. Customer requirements: analyse customer expectations and current performance in delivering to those requirements.

2. Technical requirements: a range of inputs drive the technical network requirements that need to be adhered to, including:
   
   o Network performance, asset maintenance and replacement programs: Driven by analysis of fault/performance/cost data and based on reliability centred maintenance analysis

   o Safety compliance: Based on United Energy’s Energy Safe Victoria (ESV)-accepted Electricity Safety Management Scheme which lays out United Energy’s risk-based approach to managing electrical safety

   o Capacity planning: Based on probabilistic analysis and contingency planning

   o Risk analysis: Performed to ISO31000 for significant asset risks

3. Economic requirements: All projects are subject to an appropriate level of economic analysis in accordance with regulatory requirements and prudent investment tests.

12.5 Contact for further information

Further information on United Energy’s asset management strategy and methodology can be obtained by contacting planning@ue.com.au. Detailed enquiries may be forwarded to the appropriate representatives within United Energy.
13 Asset management methodology

An overview of United Energy’s asset management system is given in Chapter 12. One of the core components of the system are the Asset management strategies, which define how United Energy manages network assets over their life cycle, from acquisition, operation & maintenance, and retirement in order to meet the asset management objectives (specified in Section 12.2).

In general, United Energy manages assets by adopting a minimum, whole-life, whole-system risk and cost approach (WLWS). As part of this, Reliability-Centred Maintenance (RCM) principles are used to manage all network assets over their normal operating life cycle. The RCM process determines the recommended maintenance of network assets, and what actions should be taken to ensure their cost-effective, reliable operation.

The process involves a number of key steps to analyse equipment and determine prudent maintenance tasks and time intervals, including the following steps;

- breaking down the asset in question to key components and define asset functions;
- performing a Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) to assess how components fail, and the effect of those failures on asset functions;
- determining cost-effective techniques (where possible) to manage failure modes;
- rolling up tasks into maintenance packages for implementation; and
- reviewing asset and maintenance performance, and adjusting as necessary.

Where the performance of equipment changes, or it is no longer capable of performing the required function due to an internal or external system change, the suitable tasks are re-assessed for the asset. The trigger for a re-assessment can be driven from network changes, operational or business changes, as well as learnings from failure investigations and field observations, deterioration in condition or an increase in the likelihood of failure (including likelihood of subsequent common-cause failures). Depending on the outcome of the assessment, asset replacement may be required. This is detailed further below.

13.1 Distribution Assets

The majority of distribution assets (namely ‘poles and wires’ assets) are replaced when condition assessment (including inspections) have identified that the equipment has reached the end of its’ useful life and are no longer fit for service. The measures used to determine this vary between equipment types, and are chosen based on the key measures of asset condition for the particular equipment. Some examples include;

- measurement of sound wood on poles;
- Partial Discharge (PD) testing of substations and cables;
- thermography (overhead assets);
- monitoring of insulation levels (gas/oil).

Upon detection of an issue indicating the asset is near the end of its useful life or no longer fit for service, UE takes a variety of actions to manage risk. Actions taken include:
more frequent condition assessment or inspections;
asset reinforcement (e.g. pole staking);
asset retirement;
overhaul / refurbishment;
non-network solutions;
asset replacement.

The key assumptions and reasons considered in the assessment include technical equipment thresholds, safety, risk and economic assessments, as well as good industry practice. The decision is made based on the least-cost solution that delivers reliability and safety requirements.

13.2 Zone Substation Assets

The design of substations generally allows for a greater breadth of options available to manage risks associated with assets approaching the end of their useful life, due to the increased inter-connectivity and redundancy, and capability to monitor asset condition.

As zone substations provide a greater breadth of information on asset condition, the risk assessments and economic optimisation can be conducted at a more detailed level (compared to other distribution assets).

Additional condition assessments to those conducted for other distribution assets include:

- Dielectric Loss Angle (DLA) testing of bushings (various assets);
- Dissolved Gas analysis (DGA), Sweep Frequency Response Analysis (SFRA), moisture content assessment and insulating paper testing (transformers);
- PD testing and DLA testing of switchgear;
- asset performance history; and
- analysis of load-at-risk.

Given the higher breadth of complexity and structure of larger zone substations, United Energy considers a larger variety of practical options to identify the least-cost solution to manage risk, including:

- increased ongoing condition assessments;
- overhaul / refurbishment;
- retrofit of on-line condition monitoring systems;
- component replacement;
- non-network solutions;
- asset de-rating or retirement;
- load transfers and increased redundancy;
- contingency plans and increased spares holdings.
Assessments of potential solutions are performed over a forward looking period of time (typically the next 10 years). This is then analysed to determine the optimal timing for the works by identifying the least-cost option over the period, and determining when the cost curve has a minimum (this indicates when the least-cost timing occurs).

Various risk mitigation techniques (mentioned above) are employed, where possible, to minimise the need to retire or replace an asset. In general, the asset is retired and/or replaced only when that is the most economically optimised decision that maintains safety and reliability standards. Economic optimisation considers:

- cost of the intervention, task or measures available to address the risk;
- evaluating the risk associated with the asset, including an assessment of:
  - likelihood of occurrence;
  - safety and environmental impact;
  - substation design and redundancy;
  - network economic impact;
  - other costs;
- assessment of how various options reduce the quantified risk by different amounts.

The asset replacements outlined in this document in Section 14 are forecast based on the number of historic asset replacements (typical for high volume assets such as poles), and for specific assets, based on an assessment of currently available condition data for the asset. Section 14 also includes methodologies used for the replacement of each type of asset, where relevant.

**13.3 Investment planning and portfolio optimisation**

United Energy uses portfolio optimisation to perform a comparative analysis of works to optimally allocate funding to network projects to achieve the best network outcomes for the expenditure. The process is iterative, and is shown below in Figure 13.1.
The majority of network projects are put through this process, including replacement, augmentation and reliability-driven projects. This comparative tool not only ranks projects within a given year, but also allows for the movement of projects between years in order to determine an optimal investment approach. As a result, the timing of augmentation or replacement projects is subject to change.
14 Asset Retirements and Deratings

This chapter sets out the planned network retirements over the forward planning period. The reference to asset retirements includes asset replacements, as the old asset is retired and replaced with a new asset.

In addition, this chapter discusses planned asset de-ratings that would result in a network constraint or system limitation over the planning period.

The attached System Limitations Template details a number of asset retirements and de-ratings that result in a system limitation.

All planned network retirements, or planned asset de-ratings that would result in a system limitation, are described individually below. Where more than one asset of the same type is to be retired or de-rated in the same calendar year, and the capital cost to replace each asset is less than $200,000, then the assets are reported together below.

A summary of the individual assets that are planned to be retired/replaced is provided in the table below.

**Table 14.1 Planned asset replacements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Retirement date&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheltenham (CM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Cheltenham (CM) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrey Hills (SH) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Surrey Hills (SH) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ormond (OR) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Ormond (OR) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Malvern (EM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elwood (EW) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Elwood (EW) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandringham (SR) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Sandringham (SR) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>13</sup> Zone substation switchgear is generally replaced across multiple calendar years where more than one bus is required to be replaced.
This chapter also sets out the committed investments to be carried out during the forward planning period worth $2 million or more to address urgent and unforeseen network issues.

### 14.1 Individual asset retirements / replacements

This section discusses planned network retirements, or planned asset de-ratings that would result in a system limitation. For more details and data on these limitations please refer to the attached Systems Limitations Template. Note that the Systems Limitation Template includes a high level risk assessment only. A more detailed and accurate assessment will be carried out at the business case or Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution (RIT-D) stage.

#### 14.1.1 Transformer Retirements / Replacements

Zone substation transformers are critical elements in the distribution network because of their high replacement cost, their strategic impact on customer supply and their long lead time for repair or replacement. An in-service failure will result in significant energy constraints for around 6 months.
The replacement of these assets is driven by multiple condition assessments of the insulating system, including oil, paper and mechanical withstand capability. An analysis of condition and risk is conducted for all transformers on an individual basis to determine a prudent program of proactive replacement.

**Cheltenham (CM) #1 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing CM transformers (#1 and #2) are over 50 years old and assessed as being close to end-of-life.

The #1 transformer at CM is in the poorest condition of the two, based on several condition assessments. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted for the substation, the replacement of the #1 transformer has been economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2020. The #2 transformer shall be monitored and evaluated to determine the exact replacement year, with a separate business case to be developed for its replacement.

The project has now been included in the 5-year program due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of common-cause failure risk at Cheltenham, which has resulted in the re-prioritisation of this asset replacement.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE) summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2020.

**Figure 14.1 Forecast maximum demand with transformer retirement at CM**

The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 28.5MVA of lost load in summer 2020/21, in the event of a failure of the remaining
transformer. Furthermore, the forecast demand is above the rating of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at CM zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Mentone (M), Sandringham (SR) and Beaumaris (BR) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 5.3MVA;

- replace the #1 transformer in 2020 at an estimated cost of $2.5 million. The #2 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the future however, with the replacement of the #1 transformer, it is not expected to be justified within the 5 year planning period;

- refurbishment of the existing #1 and #2 transformers;

- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of transformer failures. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 10.1MVA in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the #1 transformer at CM in 2020. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above.

**Surrey Hills (SH) Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing SH transformers (#2 and #3) are approaching 70 years of age (making them some of the oldest assets installed on the United Energy network). Both transformers are in poor condition.

Due to the isolated nature of the 6.6kV distribution network supplied by SH zone substation, a number of different network options are under consideration by United Energy, which are outlined below. In 2014-15, United Energy replaced the aged 6.6kV switchboard with a new switchboard capable of operating at 11kV or 22kV in the knowledge that conversion of the distribution network to either voltage to allow interconnection to other adjacent substations is a practical option.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer failed and retired in 2020.
The graph shows that retirement would lead to load-at-risk, with up to 8.2MVA of lost load in summer 2020/21, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at SH zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Note: SH has no load transfer capacity;
- replace one of the aged transformers at an estimated cost of $2 million in 2020;
- replace both of the aged transformers at an estimated cost of $3.45 million in 2020 and 2022;
- decommission the substation, convert the distribution network to 11kV, and transfer the load to Burwood (BW) zone substation;
- decommission the substation, convert the distribution network to 22kV, and transfer the load to Doncaster (DC) and Box Hill (BH) zone substations;
- decommission both transformers, convert the SH distribution network to 22kV, and use SH as a 22kV switching substation supplied from the existing 22kV sub-transmission lines;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a transformer failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 1.7MVA.
United Energy’s current preferred network option is to replace one of the transformers at SH in 2020. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above.

**Elsternwick (EL) #3 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing EL transformers (#2 and #3) are over 50 years old and assessed as being very close to end-of-life.

The #2 transformer at EL is in the poorest condition, based on several condition assessments. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted the #3 Transformer is end of life and is economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2021. The #3 transformer shall be subsequently condition tested to determine the exact replacement year, with a separate business case to be developed for its replacement.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2021.

**Figure 14.3 Forecast maximum demand with transformer retirement at EL**

The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 35.8MVA of lost load in summer 2021/22, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at EL zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be
replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Caulfield (CFD), Elwood (EW) and North Brighton (NB) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 7.5MVA;

- replace #2 transformer in 2021 at an estimated cost of $3.0 million. The #3 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the however, with the replacement of the #2 transformer, it is not expected to be justified within the 5 year planning period;
- refurbishment of the existing #2 and #3 transformers;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a transformer failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 10.9MVA.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the #2 transformer at EL in 2021. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**Ormond (OR) #2 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing OR transformers (#2 and #3) are over 50 years old and assessed as being very close to end-of-life. Load transfers are limited, as the substation is located on the boundary of the 11kV and 22kV distribution networks.

The #2 transformer at OR is in the poorest condition, based on several condition assessments. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted the #1 transformer is end of life and is economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2021. The #3 transformer shall be subsequently condition tested to determine the exact replacement year, with a separate business case to be developed for its’ replacement.

The project has now been brought forward in the 5-year program due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of common-cause failure risk at Ormond, which has resulted in the re-prioritisation of this asset replacement.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2021.
The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 39.1MVA of lost load in summer 2021/22, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at OR zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Bentleigh (BT), Caulfield (CFD), East Malvern (EM) and Oakleigh East (OE) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 5.6MVA;
- replace #2 transformer in 2022 at an estimated cost of $2.5 million. The #3 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the future however, with the replacement of the #2 transformer, it is not expected to be justified within the 5 year planning period;
- refurbishment of the existing #2 and #3 transformers;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a transformer failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 5.4MVA.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the #2 transformer at OR in 2021. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.
**East Malvern (EM) #1 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing EM transformers (#1 and #2) are over 50 years old and assessed as being very close to end-of-life.

The #1 transformer at EM is in the poorest condition, based on several condition assessments. Condition assessments thus far indicate that the #2 transformer will be reasonably reliable for service for the next five years. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted the #1 transformer is end of life and is economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2022.

The project has been deferred compared with the 2017 DAPR due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of other asset replacements within the broader asset management program.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2022.

**Figure 14.5 Forecast maximum demand with transformer retirement at EM**

![EM Summer Maximum Demand Graph](image)

The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 38.6MVA of lost load in summer 2022/23, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at EM zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder...
network to adjacent zone substations Oakleigh (OAK) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.2MVA;

- replace the #1 transformer in 2022 at EM at an estimated cost of $2.5 million. The #2 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the future but is not expected to be replaced within the 5 year planning period;

- refurbishment of the #1 transformer;

- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a transformer failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 7.3MVA in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

United Energy's preferred network option is to replace the #1 transformer at EM in 2022. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**Elwood (EW) #2 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing EW transformers (#1 and #2) are over 50 years old and assessed as being very close to end-of-life.

The #2 transformer at EW is in the poorest condition, based on several condition assessments. Condition assessments thus far indicate that the #2 transformer will be reasonably reliable for service for the next five years. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted the #1 transformer is end of life and is economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2022.

The project has now been included in the 5-year program due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of common-cause failure risk at Elwood, which has resulted in the re-prioritisation of this asset replacement.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10% PoE summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2022.
The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 26.9MVA of lost load in summer 2022/23, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at EM zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations North Brighton (NB) and Elsternwick (EL) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 5.4MVA;
- replace the #2 transformer in 2022 at EW at an estimated cost of $2.5 million. The #1 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the future but is not expected to be replaced within the 5 year planning period;
- refurbishment of the #2 transformer;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a transformer failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 11MVA.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the #2 transformer at EW in 2022. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.
**Sandringham (SR) #3 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing SR transformers (#2 and #3) are over 50 years old and assessed as being very close to end-of-life.

The #3 transformer at SR is in the poorest condition, based on several condition assessments. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted the #3 transformer is end of life and is economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2023. The #2 transformer shall be subsequently condition tested and evaluated to determine the exact replacement year, with a separate business case to be developed for its replacement.

The project has now been included in the 5-year program due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of common-cause failure risk at Sandringham, which has resulted in the re-prioritisation of this asset replacement.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE) summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2023.

**Figure 14.7 Forecast maximum demand with transformer retirement at SR**

The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 35.8MVA of lost load in summer 2023/24, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer. Furthermore, the forecast demand is above the rating of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at K zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:
• continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Beaumaris (BR), Moorabbin (MR) and Cheltenham (CM) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 13.5MVA;

• replace #3 transformer in 2023 at an estimated cost of $2.5 million. The #2 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the future however, with the replacement of the #3 transformer, it is not expected to be justified within the 5 year planning period;

• refurbishment of the existing #2 and #3 transformers;

• defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of transformer failures. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 8.4MVA.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the #3 transformer at SR in 2023. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**Gardiner (K) #3 Transformer Retirement/Replacement**

The existing K transformers (#2 and #3) are over 50 years old and assessed as being very close to end-of-life.

The #3 transformer at K is in the poorest condition, based on several condition assessments. Based on the condition and risk assessments conducted the #3 transformer is end of life and is economically justified for retirement and replacement by the end of 2023. The #2 transformer shall be subsequently condition tested and evaluated to determine the exact replacement year, with a separate business case to be developed for its replacement.

The project has been deferred compared with the 2017 DAPR due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of other asset replacements within the broader asset management program.

The figure below depicts the historical actual maximum demands, 10 per cent probability of exceedance (10% PoE) summer maximum demand forecast together with the station’s summer (N) and (N-1) ratings before and with a transformer retired in 2023.
Figure 14.8 Forecast maximum demand with transformer retirement at K

The graph shows that retirement would lead to a significant amount of load-at-risk, with up to 49.7MVA of lost load in summer 2023/24, in the event of a failure of the remaining transformer. Furthermore, the forecast demand is above the rating of the remaining transformer.

To address the anticipated system constraint at K zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a transformer failure this would result in significant load-at-risk for up to 6 months until the failed transformer can be replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Caulfield (CFD), Camberwell (CL), Riversdale (RD), Armadale (AR) and East Malvern (EM) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 8.2MVA;
- replace #3 transformer in 2023 at an estimated cost of $2.5 million. The #2 transformer will be assessed for replacement in the future however, with the replacement of the #3 transformer, it is not expected to be justified within the 5 year planning period;
- refurbishment of the existing #2 and #3 transformers;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of transformer failures. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 13.6MVA.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the #3 transformer at K in 2023. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated
to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options outlined above.

14.1.2 Switchgear Retirements / Replacements

Switchboards are critical infrastructure for the safe operation of a zone-substation. A switchboard allows the transfer of power from the zone-substation transformers through to the distribution feeders. They also provide the electrical protection for the transformer and each distribution feeder.

A switchboard has many failure modes that will lead to different levels of customer impact. The complete failure of a switchboard could lead to its associated feeders and associated power transformer being out of service for between 4 to 8 months while it is being repaired or replaced.

Gardiner (K) 11kV Switchboard Retirement/Replacement

The existing K oil-filled metal-clad switchgear is over 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

The combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that the switchboard is forecast to be no longer economically prudent to operate beyond 2019.

Subsequently in 2018 United Energy commenced a project to implement its preferred option to replace the switchboard in 2019 and 2020 as stated as the preferred solution in the 2017 DAPR. This project is now committed to proceed at an estimated cost of $3.4M and will be completed before August 2020.

Elsternwick (EL) 11kV Switchboard Retirement/Replacement

The assets at the EL zone substation are approaching the end of their life. The existing oil-filled meta-clad switchgear is approaching 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that the switchboard is forecast to be no longer economically prudent to operate beyond 2020.

The project has been brought forward compared with the 2017 DAPR due to a re-evaluation of substation risk, and consideration of other asset replacements within the broader asset management program.

The table below shows the level of load-at-risk at EL in the event of a major failure of a switchboard (in this example, a major failure is assumed to be a probable scenario of affecting one of the three busses at the station).
Table 14.2 EL Switchboard failure load-at-risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% PoE Load-at-risk (MVA)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that in summer 2020/21 there is forecast to be up to 11.8MVA of load-at-risk in the event of a major failure of one bus.

To address the anticipated system constraint at EL zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a switchboard failure, this would result in significant load-at-risk for between 4 to 8 months until the switchgear can be repaired or replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Caulfield (CFD), Elwood (EW) and North Brighton (NB) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.3MVA;
- replace the switchboard in 2020/2021 at an estimated cost of $3 million;
- refurbishment of the existing 11kV switchboard;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of switchgear failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 6.5MVA in the event of a failure a bus at EL.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the 11kV switchboard at EL beginning in 2020. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution that addresses all risks associated with the switchgear when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**Sandringham (SR) 11kV Switchboard Retirement/Replacement**

The assets at the SR zone substation are approaching the end of their life. The existing oil-filled meta-clad switchgear is approaching 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that the switchboard is forecast to be no longer economically prudent to operate beyond 2021.

The table below shows the level of load-at-risk at SR in the event of a major failure of a switchboard (in this example, a major failure is assumed to be a probable scenario of affecting one of the two busses at the station).

Table 14.3 SR Switchboard failure load-at-risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% PoE Load-at-risk (MVA)</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table above shows that in summer 2021/22 there is forecast to be up to 17.7MVA of load-at-risk in the event of a major failure of one bus.

To address the anticipated system constraint at SR zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a switchboard failure, this would result in significant load-at-risk for between 4 to 8 months until the switchgear can be repaired or replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Beaumaris (BR), Cheltenham (CM) and Moorabbin (MR) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 13.5MVA;
- replace the switchboard in 2021/2022 at an estimated cost of $3 million;
- refurbishment of the existing 11kV switchboard;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a switchgear failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 5.4MVA in the event of a failure of a bus at SR.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the 11kV switchboard at SR beginning in 2021. In accordance with United Energy's economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution that addresses all risks associated with the switchgear when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**Bentleigh (BT) 11kV Switchboard Retirement/Replacement**

The assets at the BT zone substation are approaching the end of their life. The existing oil-filled meta-clad switchgear is approaching 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that the switchboard is forecast to be no longer economically prudent to operate beyond 2022.

The table below shows the level of load-at-risk at BT in the event of a major failure of a switchboard (in this example, a major failure is assumed to be a probable scenario of affecting one of the two busses at the station).

**Table 14.4 BT Switchboard failure load-at-risk**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% PoE Load-at-risk (MVA)</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that in summer 2022/23 there is forecast to be up to 16.6MVA of load-at-risk in the event of a major failure of one bus.
To address the anticipated system constraint at BT zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a switchboard failure, this would result in significant load-at-risk for between 4 to 8 months until the switchgear can be repaired or replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations North Brighton (NB), and Caulfield (CFD) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.8MVA;
- replace the switchboard in 2022 and 2023 at an estimated cost of $3 million;
- refurbishment of the existing 11kV switchboard;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a switchgear failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 3.3MVA in the event of a failure of a bus at BT.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the 11kV switchboard at BT beginning in 2022. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution that addresses all risks associated with the switchgear when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**East Malvern (EM) 11kV Switchboard Retirement/Replacement**

The assets at the EM zone substation are approaching the end of their life. The existing oil-filled meta-clad switchgear is approaching 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that the switchboard is forecast to be no longer economically prudent to operate beyond 2023.

The table below shows the level of load-at-risk at EM in the event of a major failure of a switchboard (in this example, a major failure is assumed to be a probable scenario of affecting one of the two busses at the station).

**Table 14.5 EM Switchboard failure load-at-risk**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that in summer 2022/23 there is forecast to be upto 19.3MVA of load-at-risk in the event of a major failure of one bus.

To address the anticipated system constraint at EM zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:
• continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of a switchboard failure, this would result in significant load-at-risk for between 4 to 8 months until the switchgear can be repaired or replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Gardiner (K) and Ormond (OR) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 6.2MVA;

• replace the switchboard in 2023 and 2024 at an estimated cost of $2.7 million;

• refurbishment of the existing 11kV switchboard;

• defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a switchgear failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by approximately 13.1MVA in the event of a failure of a bus at EM.

United Energy’s preferred network option is to replace the 11kV switchboard at EM beginning in 2023. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution that addresses all risks associated with the switchgear when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

**Carrum (CRM) 22kV Switchyard Retirement/Replacement**

The outdoor 22kV switchgear assets at the CRM zone substation are approaching the end of their lives. The existing air insulated bus and associated oil circuit breakers are approaching 50 years old, and are experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply risk indicate that the outdoor switchyard is forecast to be no longer economically prudent to operate beyond 2019.

Subsequently in 2018 United Energy commenced a project to implement its preferred option to replace the outdoor switchyard with modern equivalent assets in 2018 and 2019 as stated as the preferred solution in the 2017 DAPR. This project is now committed to proceed at an estimated cost of $2.11M and will be completed in 2019.

**Mordialloc (MC) 22kV Switchyard Replacement**

A number of assets at MC zone substation are approaching the end of their life. The existing oil-filled circuit breakers are approaching 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that it is economic to undertake works at MC.

The table below shows the level of load-at-risk at MC in the event of a failure of a feeder circuit breaker at MC (in this case the 10% POE feeder loading on MC 5 has been shown as an example).
Table 14.6 MC Switchgear failure load-at-risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% PoE Load-at-risk (MVA)</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that in summer 2020/21 there is forecast to be up to 8.2 MVA of load-at-risk in the event of a major failure at MC.

To address the anticipated system constraint at MC zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of an asset failure, this would result in significant load-at-risk for approximately one month until the switchgear can be repaired or replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to adjacent zone substations Carrum (CRM), Noble Park (NP) and Springvale South (SS) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 18.8 MVA;
- replace all the 22kV buswork and switchgear at an estimated cost of $2 million;
- targeted replacement of 22kV assets which present the highest risk to the station at a cost of $600k;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a switchgear failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by up to approximately 4.1 MVA in the event of specific failures within the substation that will not be able to be transferred.

United Energy’s preferred network option is undertake targeted replacement of 22kV assets at MC beginning in 2020. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution that addresses all risks associated with the switchgear when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

Heatherton (HT) 22kV Switchyard Replacement

A number of assets at HT zone substation are approaching the end of their life. The existing oil-filled circuit breakers are approaching 50 years old, and is experiencing a decrease in reliability and condition.

This combination of decreasing condition, along with the supply impacts to customer reliability and energy supply capability to date indicate that it is economic to undertake works at HT.

The table below shows the level of load-at-risk at HT in the event of a failure of a feeder circuit breaker at HT (in this case the 10% POE feeder loading on HT 11 has been shown as an example).
Table 14.7 HT Switchgear failure load-at-risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% PoE Load-at-risk (MVA)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows that in summer 2022/23 there is forecast to be up to 7.7MVA of load-at-risk in the event of major failures at HT.

To address the anticipated system constraint at HT zone substation, United Energy considers that the following network solutions are technically feasible to manage the risk:

- continuing to operate the station as-is. In the event of an asset failure, this would result in significant load-at-risk for approximately one month until the switchgear can be repaired or replaced. Contingency plans also exist to transfer load via the distribution feeder network to the adjacent zone substation Clarinda (CDA) up to a maximum transfer capacity of 19.1MVA;
- replace all the 22kV buswork and switchgear at an estimated cost of $2 million in 2023;
- targeted replacement of 22kV assets which present the highest risk to the station at a cost of $600k;
- defer proactive replacement by contracting a demand management solution to reduce the load-at-risk in the event of a switchgear failure. To potentially defer the project the solution would need to reduce the station maximum demand by up to approximately 3.9MVA in the event of specific failures within the substation that will not be able to be transferred.

United Energy’s preferred network option is undertake targeted replacement of 22kV assets at HT beginning in 2023. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, this is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution that addresses all risks associated with the switchgear when compared with the other network options outlined above. The use of contingency load transfers, and/or non-network solutions, will mitigate the load-at-risk in the interim period.

14.2 Grouped asset retirements / replacements

This section discusses planned replacements for groups of assets. For more detail on United Energy’s asset management methodologies please refer to sections 12 and 13.

14.2.1 Poles

Poles are located throughout the United Energy territory and replaced each year at various locations and timing depending upon asset condition. The location and the timing of the asset replacement are not known before inspection. United Energy expects to replace a large number of poles during the forward planning period.

Regular condition monitoring and rectification work maintains the general condition of all pole populations in a good condition.
Pole replacement and reinstatement occurs as a result of a cyclic condition monitoring program that involves inspection and testing of poles to ensure that they are fit for purpose. The assessment criteria classifies poles as serviceable, limited life or unserviceable.

### 14.2.2 Pole top structures

Pole top structures consist of cross-arms, insulators, stay wires and associated hardware.

The wooden cross-arm population is aged and consequently the risk of asset failure and pole fires is increasing.

The condition of pole top structures and cross-arms is monitored as part of the routine condition assessment program of asset inspection and replacement occurs based on condition. The location and the timing of the asset replacements are not known until the time of inspection. United Energy expects to replace a large number of pole top structure assets during the forward planning period.

With the exception of targeted pole top fire mitigation programs to address identified high-risk pole fire locations, there are no bulk replacement programs for the replacement of pole top components (insulators, cross-arms etc.).

### 14.2.3 HV fuses

HV Outdoor Fuses consist of Boric Acid (BA), Expulsion Drop Out (EDO) and Powder Filled (PF) type fuses. The HV fuses are located throughout the United Energy overhead electrical distribution system. HV outdoor fuses are sacrificial devices used to provide overcurrent protection to downstream circuits and assets by interrupting high fault currents.

HV Fuses are currently performing at an acceptable level. Their condition is assessed as part of routine pole top asset inspection. The main drivers for replacement of HV fuse holders are:

- damage identified as part of asset inspection,
- in-service failure,
- unacceptable EDO fuse type,
- EDO replacement due to high fault levels.

The location and the timing of the asset replacements are not known until the inspection. Once identified, replacement of HV fuses may be proactive, depending on assessed condition and risk. United Energy expects to replace a large number of HV fuses during the forward planning period.

### 14.2.4 Distribution Switchgear

**Overhead switchgear**

Overhead switchgear comprises of pole mounted air-break switches (ABS), Automatic Circuit Reclosers (ACR), HV isolators and gas insulated switches.
Overhead switchgear is inspected for condition and to identify defects as part of routine 3/5 year overhead asset inspection. Thermal survey of ACRs is undertaken as part of the regular distribution overhead feeder thermal surveys. ACRs undergo 5-yearly maintenance which involves testing of current interrupting contacts, verification of insulating medium integrity, functional testing, and verification of protection time-current characteristics and general cleaning and tightening of components.

ABS ceased to be installed on network in approximately 1994 and since then gas insulated switches are the standard. These switches are demonstrating an increasing rate of functional failure as a percentage of the population, indicating that their condition is deteriorating. Maintenance on these switches has proved ineffective as switch misalignment and maloperation persist. As a result of their ineffective operation and potential health and safety issues posed to operators there is a reluctance to use these switches.

To address the ABS issues, United Energy strategy is to proactively replace all ABS with gas-insulated switches over a 12 year period with completion in year 2025 approximately. Switches are replaced as part of proactive programs and on failure. The location of replaced switches will be randomly distributed throughout the United Energy network.

LV switchgear installed on the overhead network comprises the two main groups i.e. LV open blade isolators or LV fused/switch disconnectors housed in an insulated enclosure. The condition of these switches is routinely determined as part of asset inspection and replacement scheduled based on condition.

LV switches are simple devices and do not have many working parts or maintainable/replaceable elements. It is not possible or cost effective to replace individual components or to undertake maintenance of these assets. Therefore, these assets are currently replaced when identified to be defective.

There is an increasing number of LV switches that have reached or exceeded their expected life. This has been experienced on the network as an increase in the number of LV switch replacements.

**Ground Mounted Switchgear**

Ground mounted switchgear is mostly a component of non-pole distribution substations but may also be standalone switchgear. HV switchgear provides load switching functionality plus transformer protection. There has been an array of switchgear on the network ranging from physically separated air-break gear with limited switching capacity through to fully integrated gas insulated switches with full network load-break/fault-make capabilities. The number and types of switching technology used has been progressively rationalised.

The condition of switchgear is assessed as part of routine six monthly asset inspections and where required corrective maintenance or replacement undertaken. The location and the timing of the asset replacement is not known until the inspection.
Modern current standard switchgear comprises gas-insulated ring main units (RMU). These are of varying ages with the oldest units up to 35 years old. The performance is in general considered satisfactory and no preventative maintenance is undertaken.

There are also varying non-preferred switchgear employing older air-break technology or having particular reliability issues and no longer supported by the manufacturer with spares. There are approximately 180 non-pole substations with non-preferred switchgear types. Non-preferred switchgear (particularly the indoor wall mounted air-break type) suffer from misalignment and maintenance is ineffective. Due to this and the potential H&S issues they are seldom used.

United Energy has a strategy to replace all non-preferred switchgear with modern gas insulated RMU technology over a 10 year period. The replacements will occur through targeted proactive programs in conjunction with replacements due to faults.

### 14.2.5 Pole mounted HV line capacitors

Line capacitors are assets that are located on HV feeders attached to poles, and consist of three single-phase capacitor cans and three single-phase switches. The switches control the connection to the distribution network, via a manual or automated switch, to manage power factor and voltage levels on local areas of the network. These are located throughout the distribution network.

The main deterioration drivers for line capacitors are:

- accumulated electrical stress causing degradation and insulation failure of capacitor cans;
- exposure to network harmonics results is accelerated aging of capacitors cans;
- degradation of control box housing, resulting in failure of the control box and the capacitor bank failing to operate correctly, remaining either switched in or out;
- accumulated switching operations deteriorates HV switches due to high in rush currents and may lead to switch failure; and
- damage from lightning strikes.

The condition of line capacitors is assessed as part of:

- the 3/5 year inspection of overhead assets;
- detailed pre-summer checks of all fixed capacitors; and
- switched capacitors (controlled by time, temperature or VAR) are checked remotely by using the information system PI. The system will look for step changes in feeder reactive power when the capacitors are switched.

Replacement drivers for line capacitors are based on in service failures or replacements due to condition or defects as identified by inspection. Location and the timing of the asset replacement are not known until the inspection.
14.2.6 Overhead conductor

United Energy has approximately 10,200 route km of overhead line, the large majority of which is bare conductors. Lines were initially hard drawn stranded copper or galvanized steel which now represents the oldest conductors in the overhead network. Steel Reinforced Aluminium Conductor (ACSR) was introduced in about 1960 followed by “All Aluminium” Conductor (AAC) in about 1975. Stranded Aluminium conductor now represents the predominant conductor in the network.

Aerial Bundled Cable (ABC) was introduced in the late 1980s and this is the current overhead line standard for new and replacement LV lines.

High voltage ABC has been used in special applications for managing fire risk and protecting trees of significant community value.

Overhead conductor condition is assessed as part of routine overhead asset inspection including use of elevated camera inspections. Replacement of conductor where poor performance is identified is based on results of elevated camera inspections or investigation of condition and tensile strength of in-service samples. The location and the timing of the asset replacement are not known until the time of the inspection. United Energy expects to replace a large number of kilometres of overhead lines during the forward planning period.

The condition of existing copper and aluminium conductors is generally considered to be good. For the majority of the network there are no planned replacements considered necessary. The conductor that is replaced is identified via inspection or other condition monitoring to be in poor condition, requiring prompt replacement to address the risk of failure. The required replacement timeframe from detection of the defect to replacement is between 3 to 6 months.

The performance of existing am pact connectors is deteriorating. United Energy has a strategy to replace a portion of these connectors over a 5-year time period. The replacements will occur through targeted proactive risk-based replacement programs in conjunction with replacements due to faults.

14.2.7 Underground cables

The majority of underground cables on the United Energy network consist of cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE). XLPE cables have a generally good performance. Most of the issues are in the earliest vintage single core single jacket cables from the former Doncaster and Templestowe Council. These are up to 37 years of age and are suffering from water treeing related insulation failure. Elsewhere most cable failures are in joints on cables of early manufacture. The 66kV cables are not posing any issues.

Replacement decisions on underground cables are based on condition assessment, fault history and economic evaluation. It is expected that the majority of underground cable replacement will occur in the Doncaster and Templestowe region of United Energy during the forward planning period, however the timing of these replacements is not known at present.
14.2.8 Low voltage services

Services are network assets that connect a customer, from their residence to the electricity network. They can be overhead conductors or underground cables and supply all residential, industrial and commercial customers.

There are various types of services on the network reflecting a broad time span. They include:

- Neutral Screen (aluminium & copper);
- PVC grey twisted;
- XLPE black;
- other.

The condition of LV services is assessed as part of:

- the 3/5 year inspection of overhead assets;
- monitoring of neutral integrity through smart meters;
- Neutral & Supply Testing (NST) of non-smart meter premises.

The performance of neutral screened services has deteriorated with age and resulted in a relatively high number of electric shock incidents, commonly due to a broken or high impedance neutral connection. Large scale bulk replacement programs were initiated as a result and approximately 95% of neutral screen services have been replaced.

Replacement drivers for LV services include:

- replace on failure or as identified to be faulty after inspection;
- replacement due to high neutral resistance as determined by smart meter or NST test;
- replacement of neutral screen services at sites without a smart meter;
- replacement as a result of service not meeting minimum required ground clearance;
- often a service breakaway device is installed on a low service or a service with a potential tree hazard; and
- replacement due to property crossing issues.

United Energy's policy is that any non-preferred service cable encountered during maintenance works is replaced. Specifically this includes Twisted Pair, Neutral Screen Services and any services that do not conform to current height standards. Currently all services other than ABC services are considered to be “non-preferred” and will be opportunistically replaced with the current standard, when undertaking other LV planned works on a pole, or based on inspection or condition assessment.

Location and the timing of the asset replacement are not known until the inspection or condition assessment. United Energy expects that it will replace a large number of services during the forward planning period.
14.2.9 Distribution Transformers

Distribution substations are categorized as indoor, kiosk, ground mounted (compound) or pole mounted type substations. They are supplied via the High Voltage Distribution network from zone substations.

Distribution substations are comprised of high voltage switchgear and associated protection equipment (high voltage fuses or protection relays controlling high voltage circuit breakers), a transformer or transformers, and low voltage switchgear and associated protection equipment (generally fuses but can include low voltage circuit breakers). It includes an earthing system and is constructed to ensure unauthorised access to the equipment by the general public is prevented.

The condition of distribution substations is monitored via visual inspection and thermal (infra-red) scanning. This is carried out on a routine basis. Pole top substations are inspected as part of the pole and line inspection program. Indoor and kiosk substations are inspected as part of a separate program aimed at ensuring the condition and security of these installations is maintained and the grounds and easements they are installed in are maintained in good condition.

Defects identified in these inspections are to be repaired in a timeframe commensurate with the severity of the defect or with the scheduled switchgear preventive maintenance where applicable, or scheduled for later repair in logical work packages to minimise the number of times customers are off supply.

There is no programmed replacement of substations as a whole. Replacement is triggered generally by load increase, failure, regulatory requirements or condition assessment.

Distribution transformers have a long life expectancy, and, except when replaced for increased load reasons are normally run to failure. Nevertheless, a small number, approximately thirty, are replaced each year for various reasons including minor oil leaks and internal winding failure. The location and the timing of these replacements are not known until condition inspection or monitoring.

14.2.10 Surge Arresters

Surge arresters are located throughout the United Energy overhead electrical distribution system. Surge arresters are a sacrificial protective device. Their function is to protect other valuable assets from the high voltage spikes, such as those caused by lightning and switching surges. The location and the timing of the replacement are therefore not known in advance.

There is no corrective or preventative maintenance undertaken and surge arresters are run to failure.

The main drivers for replacement of surge arresters are:

- replace on failure or as identified to be faulty after inspection;
targeted replacement of high risk surge arrester types, such as the brown porcelain surge arresters that are prone to cause fire starts in HBRA and can explode, or those with a known fault issues; and

- bulk replacement as part of Rapid Earth Fault Current Limiter (REFCL) projects of surge arresters that do not meet the required overvoltage duty.

### 14.2.11 66kV Transformer bushings

There have been a number of 66kV bushing failures on United Energy transformers over the past 15 years. The condition of all transformer bushings is monitored by a program of routine condition assessment. A bushing replacement program is in place driven by the results of these condition assessments and assessments of the failure consequence.

The replacement of bushings is not planned over the forward planning period, with a replacement required immediately, or within 6 months depending on the assessed condition of the plant. United Energy is not able to predict which specific substations will have a constraint introduced beyond the period specified. Therefore the location and the timing of the replacement cannot be planned, and United Energy is not able to predict which specific substations will have a retirement and at which time.

### 14.2.12 Protection Relays

Protection and control systems are critical to the safe and reliable operation of the network. These systems are designed to detect the presence of power system faults and/or other abnormal operating conditions and to automatically isolate the faulted network by the opening of appropriate high voltage circuit breakers. Failure to isolate power system faults will invariably result in severe damage to network plant and equipment, presents a serious health and safety hazard to the public, and greatly increases risk of fire starts.

The relaying technology used to implement these protection and control systems has evolved and changed significantly over the past 50 years and can be classified chronologically as electro-mechanical, analogue electronic and digital electronic (including numerical) technologies.

The decision to retire and renew protection and control systems is based on a number of factors broadly including:

- Adopting a programmed preventative maintenance (per RCM) coupled with planned relay replacement prior to failure based on asset condition and most economic lifecycle cost (determined by risk and consequence of failures) and

- aligning with other works at the zone substation where it is economical to do so (e.g. align with switchboard or switchyard replacement works).

Protection and control relays at a number of zone substations are approaching end of life. The table below summarises United Energy’s forecast replacement activity over the next five year period. In accordance with United Energy’s economic assessment framework, asset replacement is demonstrated to be the least-cost technically acceptable network solution when compared with the other network options including do nothing (replace on
failure) and increased maintenance (replace on failure). The timing of each project is subject to an economic assessment using the most current input data.

**Table 14.8 Relay Replacement summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone Substation</th>
<th>Replacement Driver</th>
<th>Forecast Replacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrum (CRM)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical relay replacement aligned with planned 22kV outdoor switchgear</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>replacement works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardiner (K)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical relay replacement, aligned with planned 11kV indoor switchboard</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>replacement works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box Hill (BH)</td>
<td>Analogue electronic relay replacement</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mordialloc (MC)</td>
<td>Digital relay replacement driven by 22kV outdoor switchgear and control building</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>replacement works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsternwick (EL)</td>
<td>Digital relay replacement driven by 11kV indoor switchboard replacement works</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulleen (BU)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical and analogue electronic relay replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandenong (DN)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical, analogue electronic and digital relay replacement aligned with</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control building retirement works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandringham (SR)</td>
<td>Digital relay replacement driven by 11kV indoor switchboard replacement works</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings (HGS)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical, analogue electronic and digital relay replacement aligned with</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>control building replacement works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankston South (FSH)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical, analogue electronic and digital relay</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>replacement aligned with control building replacement works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentleigh (BT)</td>
<td>Digital relay replacement driven by 11kV indoor switchboard replacement works</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heatherton (HT)</td>
<td>Electro-mechanical, analogue electronic and digital relay replacement aligned with 22kV outdoor switchgear and control building replacement works</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Malvern (EM)</td>
<td>Digital relay replacement driven by 11kV indoor switchboard replacement works</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.2.13 D.C. Systems

D.C. supply systems are critical to the safe and reliable operation of the zone substation and is required to support the operation of protection and control systems amongst other things. The D.C. supply system consists of battery banks, battery chargers, distribution boards and associated management and monitoring systems.

The life of a battery bank is largely determined by its design and actual operating conditions. As well as the age of the battery bank, the condition of the battery bank based on maintenance and condition assessment reports is a key driver for replacement. A battery capacity less than 80% of the nominal capacity means the battery is at end of life. Each battery bank is kept on charge via the application of a battery chargers which has a typical life expectancy between 15-20 years. The drivers for replacement of the battery charger includes age, condition and functionality.

Replacement of zone substation battery banks and chargers are considered in-conjunction with other asset replacement works at the zone substation where it is considered economic and consistent with United Energy’s economic assessment framework.

The battery bank replacement works identified over the next five years are shown in the table below:

**Table 14.9 Battery Bank Replacement summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone Substation</th>
<th>Replacement Driver</th>
<th>Forecast Replacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRM, MR</td>
<td>Battery age and condition assessment</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW, DVY, OR, SV</td>
<td>Battery age and condition assessment</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBD, BU</td>
<td>Battery age and condition assessment</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTN, SH, HGS</td>
<td>Battery age and condition assessment</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD, M, HT</td>
<td>Battery age and condition assessment</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The battery charger replacement works identified over the next five years are shown in the table below.
Table 14.10 Battery Charger Replacement summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone Substation</th>
<th>Replacement Driver</th>
<th>Forecast Replacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrum (CRM)</td>
<td>Age assessment aligned with planned relay replacement</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardiner (K)</td>
<td>Age assessment aligned with planned relay replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mordialloc (MC)</td>
<td>Aligned with planned 11kV indoor switchboard and control room replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandenong (DN)</td>
<td>Age assessment aligned with planned relay replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentleigh (BT)</td>
<td>Age assessment</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyndale (LD)</td>
<td>Age assessment</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulleen (BU)</td>
<td>Age assessment aligned with 22kV outdoor switchgear and control building replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarinda (CDA)</td>
<td>Age assessment</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheltenham (CM)</td>
<td>Age assessment</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Waverley (GW)</td>
<td>Age assessment</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.3 Summary of planned asset deratings

The rating of an asset is the rating at which the asset can operate reliably. Typically, this is generally set by the manufacturer of the asset, based on design criteria. However, where assets are operating beyond their design life, their condition may deteriorate such that a de-rating may be required to ensure reliable operation. This may be a prudent and more cost-effective option than replacing the asset.

United Energy’s asset management strategies include for some assets (namely power transformers) the requirement to constantly monitor the asset condition, and to revise the cyclic rating based on;

- Observed differences between expected and actual asset performance
- Identified condition assessment resulting in a different parameter to that assumed during the previous rating allocation
- Plant modifications;
- Changes in load profile affecting asset performance

United Energy constantly undertake plant condition assessment, of which some assessments will be of key parameters that are used to determine the asset’s rating. These assessments typically involve electrical, mechanical, moisture or thermal analysis. Any de-rating is promptly applied to manage risk once identified; thus, de-ratings are normally reactive in nature.

United Energy has no planned asset deratings in the forward planning period.

14.4 Committed projects

This section sets out a list of committed investments worth $2 million or more to address urgent and unforeseen network issues.

United Energy does not have any committed projects to address urgent and unforeseen network issues.
15 Regulatory tests

This section sets out information about large network projects that United Energy has assessed, or is in the process of assessing, using the Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution (RIT-D) during the forward planning period.

This chapter also sets out possible RIT-D assessments that United Energy may undertake in the future.

Large network investments are assessed using the RIT-D process. The RIT-D relates to investments where the cost of the most expensive credible option is more than $6 million. The RIT-D has historically been used for large augmentation projects, and was extended to include replacement projects from 18 September 2017.

Transitional arrangements apply for the introduction of the RIT-D for replacement projects that have been “committed” to by a distributor on or prior to 30 January 2018. The excluded projects are listed in this chapter, as well as published on our website.\(^{14}\)

15.1 Current regulatory tests

The table below provides an overview of the regulatory test projects that are underway or completed by United Energy in 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Regulatory test status</th>
<th>Proposed commissioning date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

All RIT-D consultation reports, including submissions received during the consultation period are available from United Energy’s website.\(^{15}\)

The RIT-D projects are further described below.


15.2 Lower Mornington Peninsula Supply Area RIT-D

In May 2016, United Energy published its Final Project Assessment Report (FPAR) relating to constraints in the lower Mornington Peninsula area.

The purpose of the RIT-D was to address the following network limitations:

- from summer 2016/17, an unplanned outage of the sub-transmission line from Mornington (MTN) to Dromana (DMA) zone substation during summer maximum demand conditions was expected to lead to voltage collapse in the lower Mornington Peninsula. This could lead to supply interruption to approximately 50,000 customers;

- from summer 2016/17, an unplanned outage of critical sub-transmission lines during summer maximum demand condition was expected to lead to supply interruptions in the lower Mornington Peninsula due to thermal overload of remaining in-service sub-transmission lines.

The table below sets out the options and net economic benefit of each option.

Table 15.2 Credible options considered in this RIT-D assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>PV of estimated total cost ($million)(^{16})</th>
<th>PV of Gross Market Benefits ($million)(^{17})</th>
<th>NPV of Net Economic Benefit ($million)(^{18})</th>
<th>Ranking under RIT-D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Installing approx. 53 km of new 66kV line from HGS to RBD by 2020-21.</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>54.77</td>
<td>31.87</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Implementing GreenSync’s 4 year DM solution starting Nov 2018. Installing approx. 53 km of new 66kV HGS to RBD before Dec 2022.</td>
<td>23.07</td>
<td>55.21</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Implementing Aggreko’s 5 year EG solution at RBD from 2020 to 2024.</td>
<td>24.52</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>29.81</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{16}\) Includes capital and operating costs.

\(^{17}\) Gross Market Benefits under the base case scenario.

\(^{18}\) Net Market Benefits under the base case scenario.
Installing approx. 53 km of new 66kV line from HGS to RBD by 2024-25.

The preferred option, Option 2, is to implement GreenSync’s 4-year demand management proposal by summer 2018/19 and establish a 53km new sub-transmission line from Hastings (HGS) to Rosebud (RBD) zone substation before December 2022. The total project cost, inclusive of operating costs, was estimated at $23.07 million (in present value terms).

United Energy has signed a network support agreement with GreenSync Pty Ltd. The RIT-D project has no material impacts on the connection and distribution use of system charges for the Network Users.

Delivery of the network support service commences this summer and will operate for four years.

### 15.3 Notting Hill Supply Area RIT-D

The purpose of the RIT-D is to address the following network limitation:

- from summer 2016-17, an unplanned outage of one Notting Hill zone substation 66/22kV transformer during summer maximum demand conditions is expected to lead to supply interruptions in the Notting Hill electricity supply area due to thermal overload of remaining in-service zone substation 66/22kV transformer.

United Energy published the NNOR in April 2016. In response to this report, United Energy received one submission from a non-network service provider - Energy Developments Ltd (EDL). This submission proposed a viable non-network solution to defer the network solution by at least one year.

The table below sets out the credible options considered in this RIT-D assessment. It also summarises the Net Present Value (NPV) analysis of each option.
Table 15.3 Credible options considered in this RIT-D assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>PV of estimated total cost ($million)(^{19})</th>
<th>PV of Gross Market Benefits ($million)(^{20})</th>
<th>NPV of Net Economic Benefit ($million)(^{21})</th>
<th>Ranking under RIT-D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Install a 3(^{rd}) 20/33MVA 66/22kV transformer, a 66kV bus tie CB and a 3(^{rd}) 22kV bus at NO with 2 new 22kV distribution feeders, commissioned by Summer 2017-18.</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Contract EDL for non-network support for Summer 2017-18, followed by Option 1 ready for service by Summer 2018-19.</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Contract EDL for non-network support for 4 years starting Summer 2017-18 with partial Option 1 (3(^{rd}) 22kV bus at NO with 2 new 22kV distribution feeders). The remaining Option 1 ready for service by Summer 2021-22.</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The preferred option (Option 1 in Table 15.3 above) is to install a third 20/33MVA 66/22kV transformer, one 66kV bus tie circuit breaker and a third 22kV bus at Notting Hill zone substation with two new 22kV distribution feeders commissioned by December 2017. The

\(^{19}\) Includes capital and operating costs.

\(^{20}\) The Gross Market Benefits under the base case scenario.

\(^{21}\) The Net Market Benefits under the base case scenario.
total project cost, inclusive of operating costs, is estimated at $4.99 million (in present value terms).

The FPAR was published in December 2016 declaring Option 1 as the preferred solution. The RIT-D project has no material impacts on the connection and distribution use of system charges for the Network Users.

United Energy has completed the project and commissioned the third NO transformer prior to summer 2017/18 as planned.

15.4 Future regulatory investment tests

Based on the information contained within sections 7 and 14, United Energy expects to commence reviewing options to address the identified system limitations. The table below sets out the possible timeframes for consideration of RIT-D under clause 5.17 of the NER relating to investments where the cost of the most expensive credible option is more than $6 million.

Table 15.4 Future RIT-D projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Proposed RIT-D start date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keysborough zone substation second transformer</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Install a second transformer with two new distribution feeders at Keysborough (KBH) in 2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Malvern zone substation third transformer</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Proposed third switchboard and three new distribution feeders to address forecast load-at-risk at Caulfield (CFD), East Malvern (EM), Gardiner (K) and Ormond (OR) zone substations, as well as on the associated feeder network in 2023.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RIT-D consultation documents will be made available from the United Energy website and notified to participants registered on the Demand Side Engagement Register.

15.5 Excluded projects

The table below provides a list of the excluded projects from the RIT-D under the transitional arrangements relating to the extension of the RIT-D to replacement projects.
Table 15.5 Excluded RIT-D projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scheduled completion date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replacement works at Dandenong South (DSH) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement of the building, transformers and relays at Dandenong South (DSH) zone substation. Involves replacement of three end-of-life zone substation transformers, aged relays, and control and protection equipment. In addition, involves demolishing the existing building, which was in poor condition, and replacing with a new prefabricated control building housing the new control and protection equipment.</td>
<td>Project completed in 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16 Network Performance

This section sets out United Energy’s performance against its targets for reliability and quality of supply, and its plans to improve performance over the forward planning period.

16.1 Reliability measures and performance

United Energy is subject to a range of reliability measures and standards.

The key reliability of supply metrics to which United Energy is incentivised under the Service Target Performance Incentive Scheme (STPIS) are:

- system average interruption duration index (SAIDI): Unplanned SAIDI calculates the sum of the duration of each unplanned sustained interrupted customer minutes off supply (CMOS) divided by the total number of distribution customers. It does not include momentary interruptions that are one minute or less;
- system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI): Unplanned SAIFI calculates the total number of unplanned sustained interrupted customers divided by the total number of distribution customers. It does not include momentary interruptions that are one minute or less; and
- momentary average interruption frequency index (MAIFI): calculates the total number of momentary interrupted customers divided by the total number of distribution customers (where the distribution customers are network or per feeder based, as appropriate).

The reliability of supply parameters are segmented into urban and rural short feeder types.

The table below shows the reliability service targets set by the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) for United Energy in its Distribution Determination for the 2016-2020 regulatory period. United Energy reported to the AER its 2017 calendar year performance against those targets in its 2017 Regulatory Information Notice (RIN), and these figures are included in the table. In addition, United Energy has also forecast its outturn performance for the 2018 calendar year, based on actual performance for the period from 1 January 2018 to 30 September 2018, and then projected forward taking into account seasonal factors.

---

22 AER, United Energy distribution determination 2016 to 2020, Final, May 2016.
Table 16.1 Reliability targets and performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>SAIDI</td>
<td>61.188</td>
<td>41.11</td>
<td>42.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAIFI</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAIFI</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural short</td>
<td>SAIDI</td>
<td>151.602</td>
<td>65.37</td>
<td>169.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAIFI</td>
<td>2.018</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAIFI</td>
<td>2.980</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2017, United Energy achieved below target results for SAIDI and SAIFI for both urban and rural short parameters, and achieved above target results for MAIFI.

In 2018, United Energy is forecast to achieve below target results for all urban parameters but is expected to achieve above target results for all rural short feeder parameters. With approximately 7% of UE customers classified as supplied from Rural Short feeders, reliability results for such a small population can vary dramatically from year to year with small variations in the number of outage events.

Actual network performance is also often influenced by external events such as storms, heat, flood, or third party damage which may be outside of United Energy’s control. The influence of these factors on network performance can also vary significantly from one year to the next.

### 16.1.1 Corrective reliability action undertaken or planned

Actual network reliability performance is the result of many factors and reflects the outcomes of numerous programs and practices right across the network. To achieve long term and sustainable reliability improvements, United Energy continues to refine and target existing asset management programs as well as reliability specific works.

The processes and actions which United Energy undertakes to sustain reliability include (but are not limited to):

- undertaking the various routine asset management programs, including:
  - inspection of nearly 215,600 poles and pole tops;
  - testing of lines such as high-voltage feeder cables;
  - maintenance and replacement programs for overhead and underground lines, primary plant (for example, United Energy replaced a number of circuit breakers and current transformers) and secondary systems (such as replacement of ageing protection relays at zone substations);
• targeted installation of smart technologies to improve network monitoring, control and restoration of supply including automatic circuit reclosers (ACRs) and remote control gas switches (RCGSs), at strategic locations;

• targeted reduction of the exposure to faults on the distribution network by using:
  o thermography programs to detect over-heated connections;
  o Partial Discharge detection program for assets in zone substations, such as indoor switchgear;
  o condition-monitoring equipment in zone substations to proactively identify impending faults;
  o vegetation management programs to improve line clearances;
  o strategic distribution animal and bird proofing and conductor clashing mitigation measures to reduce the risk of ‘flash-overs’ and bushfire risk;
  o targeted pole-top fire mitigation to reduce the risk of pole fires.

• network reconfiguration of the worst performing feeders to reduce the impact of faults;

• conduct fault investigations of significant outages and plant failures to understand the root cause, in order to prevent re-occurrences;

• continual improvements to outage management processes; and

• undertake asset failure trend analysis and outage cause analysis to identify any emerging asset management issues and to mitigate those through enhancing the related asset management plans, maintenance policies or technical standards.

Evaluation of the 2018 reliability improvement initiatives should be considered in the context of the longer term goals stipulated above and the volatility caused by uncontrollable events such as severe storms and the effect of third party events.

16.2 Rapid Earth Fault Current Limiters (REFCLs)

This section sets out United Energy’s existing installations and plans to install further Rapid Earth Fault Current Limiters (REFCLs) in the network. The primary purpose of installing REFCLs is to provide safety benefits to the community through reduced risk of electrical assets contributing to starting a fire.

A REFCL is a network protection device, normally installed at a zone substation, that can reduce the risk of a fallen powerline or a powerline indirectly in contact with the earth causing a fire-start. It is capable of detecting when a powerline falls to the ground and almost instantaneously reduces the voltage to near-zero on the fallen line.

Customers that are, or plan to be directly connected, to United Energy’s high voltage (HV) network may need to take action in response to United Energy’s REFCL deployment program. On 20 August 2018, the Essential Services Commission of Victoria (ESCV) amended the Distribution Code which had the impact of transferring responsibility from distributors to HV customers for ‘hardening’ of the HV customer asset to withstand the higher REFCL voltages or isolating the connection from the network when a REFCL operates.
The zone-substations for which United Energy has REFCLs installed, or has plans to install a REFCL in the next 5 years, are as per the below:

- Frankston South (FSH) installed in 2009;
- Mornington (MTN) installed in 2018;
- Dromana (DMA) planned for installation in 2019;

A map showing the zone-substation supply areas for the zone substations can be found in Appendix A.

Generally, the REFCLs will only impact the HV feeders directly connected to the REFCL zone substation. However during contingent events, the open points on the network may change resulting in feeders connected to non-REFCL zone substations being served from a REFCL zone substation and thus experiencing the higher voltages associated with the operation of a REFCL. As such HV customers connected or connecting nearby to these supply regions may also be impacted.

The impacted HV customers will need to take action to:

- ensure that their assets are compatible with the operation of a REFCL; and
- complete any required works prior to the commissioning of the relevant REFCL zone substation.

United Energy will work with affected customers to provide the relevant information and advice on the possible impacts and mitigation measures.

16.3 Power Quality Standards and Measures

United Energy is committed to not only a reliable supply for all customers but also ensuring power is delivered at a high quality. The projects and initiatives on power quality by United Energy address power quality regulatory compliance requirements and maintain quality of supply levels on United Energy’s network.

The regulatory obligations are to measure network power quality and to correct power quality where it is not within the codified limits. United Energy performs this by targeting power quality programs towards the worst-served customers first where there is an economically prudent case to do so. Furthermore, an increase in expenditure in some areas is required in response to increasing numbers of installed solar photovoltaic (PV) systems at customers’ premises.

Power quality encompasses the parameters of steady-state voltages, voltage sags (dips), voltage swells (surges), flicker, harmonic distortion and unbalance of voltage for three-phase supply.

The main quality of supply measures that United Energy control are voltage and harmonics and are detailed further below.
16.3.1 Voltage

Voltage requirements are governed by the Electricity Distribution Code and the National Electricity Rules (NER).

The NER essentially requires that United Energy adheres to the 61000.3 series of Australian and New Zealand Standards.

In addition, the Electricity Distribution Code requires that United Energy must maintain nominal voltage levels at the point of supply to the customer's electrical installation in accordance with the Electricity Safety (Network Assets) Regulations 1999 or, if these regulations do not apply to the distributor, at one of the following standard nominal voltages:

a) 230V;
b) 400V;
c) 460V;
d) 6.6kV;
e) 11kV;
f) 22kV; or
g) 66kV.

The Electricity Safety (Network Assets) Regulations 1999 were revoked on 8 December 2009 by regulation 104 (Schedule 1) of the Electricity Safety (Installations) Regulations 2009. Therefore the standard nominal voltages specified in the Code apply. Variations from the standard nominal voltages listed above are permitted to occur in accordance with the following table.

Table 16.2 Permissible voltage variations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage Level in kV</th>
<th>Steady State</th>
<th>Voltage Range for Time Periods</th>
<th>Impulse Voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 1 minute</td>
<td>Less than 10 seconds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.0</td>
<td>+10% -6%</td>
<td>+14% -10%</td>
<td>Phase to Earth +50% -100% Phase to Phase +20% -100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6.6</td>
<td>±6% (±10% Rural Areas)</td>
<td>±10%</td>
<td>Phase to Earth +80% -100% Phase to Phase +20% -100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>±10%</td>
<td>±15%</td>
<td>Phase to Earth +50% -100% Phase to Phase +20% -100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As required by the Electricity Distribution Code, United Energy uses best endeavours to
minimise the frequency of voltage variations listed in Table 16.2 above for periods of less than one minute.

United Energy is able to measure voltage variations at zone substations, as many have power quality meters installed. This enables United Energy to address any systemic voltage issues.

**16.3.2 Harmonics**

Voltage harmonic requirements are governed by the Electricity Distribution Code and the National Electricity Rules (NER).

The NER essentially requires that United Energy adheres to the 61000.3 series of Australian and New Zealand Standards.

In addition, United Energy is required under the Electricity Distribution Code to ensure that the voltage harmonic levels at the point of common coupling (for example, the service pole nearest to a residential premise), with the levels specified in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage at point of common coupling</th>
<th>Total harmonic distortion</th>
<th>Individual voltage harmonics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1kV</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1kV and ≤ 66kV</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**16.4 Power Quality performance**

**16.4.1 Steady state voltage**

Power quality monitoring installed at our zone substations, has revealed that in some instances the steady-state supply voltage is outside the regulatory limits. Recently the Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) metering has identified that there are a large number of customers experiencing steady-state voltages outside the high side of the regulatory limit. This issue was previously unknown due to the absence of continuous voltage monitoring on the low-voltage network and has been revealed by United Energy’s population of smart meters. It is likely this issue has been in existence for many decades, but it is suspected that issues have been exacerbated recently by the increasing penetration of roof-top solar photovoltaic (PV) cells at customer premises.

As per the independent power quality report (PQCA) prepared by University of Wollongong FY2017-18 indicates, about 6% of LV sites exceeds the upper voltage limit of 1.1 per unit (253V), at certain times during the year while 18% of the medium-voltage sites exceed the upper voltage limit of 1.06 per unit as shown in the figures below. For the voltage
To proactively respond to non-compliance steady-state voltages, United Energy query the AMI metering by exception, reporting only those customers outside the regulatory limits. These customers are then aggregated by common asset class to determine if the voltage problems are occurring in clusters. United Energy then remedies the voltage by prioritising according to the number of customers in each cluster and the duration for which the voltage excursions are occurring, then implement an ongoing programme to remedy these situations which includes:
- adjusting the tap position at the distribution substation;
- adjusting the voltage set-point at the supply zone substation;
- compensating the reactive power by installation of pole-mounted capacitor banks;
- installing LV regulators;
- augmenting the LV network (LV feeder or distribution substations);
- augmenting the medium-voltage network (MV feeder);
- undertaking MV or LV open point changes or load balancing.

In 2017, United Energy was provided a grant from the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) to deploy dynamic voltage management technology for the purposes of demand response. This deployment will also see step change improvements in steady state voltage compliance across the United Energy network. This deployment is expected to be completed by December 2018.

### 16.4.2 Voltage unbalance

Voltage unbalance is known to cause overheating in transformers and customer motors due to negative-sequence components created in the unbalance.

According to FY2017-18 PQCA results, the voltage unbalance at 99% of the monitored United Energy sites is below the requirements of the NER. However, some United Energy sites exceed the regulatory limits as shown below.

**Figure 16.2 Voltage unbalance LV sites during FY2017-18**
The worst performing zone substations for voltage unbalance include those that supply rural areas via two-phase or SWER systems.

16.4.3 Voltage harmonic distortion

Voltage harmonic distortion can vary significantly across the network. According to the FY2017-18 PQCA results, all monitored LV sites are within the regulatory limits. However, the voltage harmonic distortion at some MV sites are not within the regulatory limits.

Figure 16.5 Voltage harmonics distribution for LV sites FY2017-18
United Energy has observed fuse operations of capacitor banks on the network in the past which is directly attributed to harmonic resonance. Harmonic resonance can occur between capacitor banks and network reactance when the resonance frequency coincides with a harmonic frequency generated by non-linear loads. United Energy identified a number of problematic sites and installed various combinations of harmonic filtering and detuning reactors to address these issues.

16.4.4 Flicker

In general, any load connected to the electricity network which generates significant voltage fluctuations can be the origin for flicker. Such voltage fluctuations are a result of significant cyclic variations, especially in the reactive component. According to the FY2017-18 PQCA results, the flicker levels at all monitored United Energy medium-voltage sites are within the regulatory limits.

United Energy considers the cause of emerging voltage fluctuations would be from micro-generation such as roof-top solar photovoltaic systems and micro-wind generation schemes where the connection requirements of the NER may not apply. It is critical that the impact of these systems on our network is well understood. To this end, our long-term objective is to establish voltage monitoring in the low-voltage network, investigate new technologies that can reduce voltage fluctuations and establish process / plans to monitor and control flicker levels.
Figure 16.6 Short-term flicker index during FY2017-18

Figure 16.7 Long-term flicker index during FY2017-18

16.4.5 Voltage sags

Voltage sags, caused mainly by network faults depressing voltage levels across the network, are the main concerns customers have regarding power quality. According to the FY2017-18 PQCA results, the voltage sag SAIFI\(^23\) at LV sites are well within limits. 98% of the monitored MV sites are within the PQCA limit.

\(^{23}\) The index used in the PQCA reports to assess sags.
United Energy has attempted to address the issue of voltage sags with a number of initiatives including improving reliability, limiting fault current, and dynamically changing the point of common coupling. United Energy intends to further address this issue by introducing a number of new technologies to minimise the severity of voltage sags experienced by customers by reducing the current flowing on the distribution feeders during a fault.

United Energy has also implemented an economic network solution that helps to improve network performance with regard to voltage sags during network faults. United Energy has successfully implemented the automatic Bus-Tie Open Scheme at a number of zone substations supplying major industrial customers. This scheme improves voltage-sag performance without compromising system reliability. Given this, United Energy intends to further deploy the scheme over the next few years.
16.4.6 Power quality corrective actions and initiatives

United Energy plans to undertake a number of initiatives in the area of power quality as discussed below.

**Zone substation dynamic voltage regulation**

United Energy is presently utilising grant funding provided by ARENA to deploy this technology across the United Energy network. The technology has the benefits of delivering step change improvements in steady-state voltage compliance and delivering demand response capability.

Dynamic voltage regulation (DVM) works by taking AMI voltage data for all customers and assessing this data (grouped by zone substation) using a data analytics engine. The float voltage of each zone substation is then adjusted dynamically to position the voltage profile within the regulatory limits for most customers. At the time of writing the DAPR, most of our zone substations have this capability.

**Low-voltage regulation**

Many renewable energy generators such as roof-top solar photovoltaic and micro-wind generation schemes are intermittent in their power output. This requires the need to investigate localised impacts on network flicker and steady-state voltage profiles.

Application of a LV regulator can potentially tighten voltage spread and provide faster response to sudden changes in voltage. They facilitate the connection of intermittent renewable generation by smoothing out flicker impacts and, when available with remote control functionality, they can be used as a demand reduction / energy conservation measure by reducing the voltage towards the bottom of the regulatory voltage band. At present, the range of sizes for this equipment is limited and they are limited in their use to specific areas of the low-voltage network. Nevertheless, these devices have been installed in areas of the low-voltage that exhibit both steady-state under-voltage and over-voltage issues. United Energy plans to monitor this development to determine if there is potential migration path to a more localised voltage regulation strategy in the future.

**Trial distribution transformers with on-load tap changer (OLTC) capability**

The distribution transformers on the United Energy distribution network operate on fixed discrete taps and do not operate from an OLTC. However, there are some types of distribution transformer available on the market with an OLTC capability. Therefore, United Energy plans to trial such transformers to evaluate their performance at regulating the low voltage and mitigating steady-state voltage variations as well as other benefits.

**Develop terminal station power quality monitoring capability**

Power quality monitoring is required at terminal stations to better understand power quality at transmission connection points and correlate this performance in the distribution network. Knowing the power quality levels at the connection points will enable United Energy to determine the components of power quality attributed to the transmission
system, other DNSPs sharing connection points or distribution assets, or United Energy’s own network. This will assist with a better identification of sources of power quality problems, enable United Energy to confirm power quality simulation models and identify common-mode power quality trends. It will also allow reporting of power quality levels at transmission connection points in the future if required.

This work will be coordinated with AusNet Services Transmission Group.

**Develop AMI power quality metering**

The rollout of AMI meters has enabled United Energy to monitor basic power quality levels at individual customer premises. United Energy has developed query and reporting tools to aggregate the data into meaningful sets of information and provide exception reporting to better manage the quality of supply to customers such as steady-state voltages, voltage sags and swells and phasing information. United Energy intends to enhance the AMI architecture to provide an engineering user interface for customer power quality information and to facilitate investigations into poor power quality performance.

**Harmonic filtering**

Harmonic filters are needed to manage the high levels of voltage harmonic distortion at some zone substations with the capacitor banks out of service or where multiple harmonic frequencies are problematic and where replacement of the inrush reactor alone does not achieve desired detuning effects. United Energy has already completed installation of harmonic filters at a number of zone substations and plan to monitor the need for additional filters at a number of zone substations with high levels of voltage harmonic distortion caused by resonance conditions that exceed regulatory limits.

**Bus-tie open scheme**

This scheme limits the severity of voltage sags created by faults on the medium-voltage network by isolating the healthy parts of the network from faulted parts by switching circuit breakers. While this scheme does not reduce the number of faults on the network, it does limit the number of customers exposed to severe voltage sags during a fault, without compromising overall system reliability and plant utilisation. United Energy plans to install similar schemes at zone substations which are currently experiencing high number of voltage sags.

**AMI-based load balancing technique**

Due to the dynamic nature of a residential distribution network, it is not possible to achieve a completely balanced load in a low-voltage circuit. This is because the residential customer usage pattern varies at different times of the day. System planners calculate optimum load across the three phases during transformer installation. However, due to network augmentations and changes in customer usage patterns, the low-voltage balance may drift over time.

Unbalance requires more attention to be taken when the distribution transformer has long overhead lines due to higher level of voltage drop and consequently, unbalance which
causes more customer to be impacted by the associated detrimental impacts. Therefore, United Energy needs to regularly rectify voltage unbalance operating conditions via load balancing which are identified by using AMI meter data, customer complaints and information from the field.

In order to perform load balancing, first the current phases of the customers supplied by the distribution transformer are identified using an AMI-based technique and then, the customers whose phases need to be changed are selected by taking into account their peak demands and average energy consumptions.

**Correction of loose connections**

A common cause of over/under-voltages in distribution networks is loose connections which can even result in fatal electric shocks. Correcting loose connections will reduce line resistance and losses. In addition, failing to fix a loose connection is potentially costly as it may lead to a fault in the network and thus to a power outage. In other words, if a loose connection is left uncorrected, it runs the risk of having to compensate its customers for the damage caused.

Therefore, United Energy investigates and rectify the potential loose connections using the AMI data. Similar to load balancing, this option will assist to accommodate a higher number of solar photovoltaic systems into the distribution network and reduce the number of customers’ voltage complaints in a proactive manner.

**Victorian Solar Home Program**

The Victorian government recently announced its Solar Homes Program offering a rebate on solar PV systems to eligible homes. United Energy is anticipating a significant increase in solar PV penetration leading to increased localised issues. At this stage United Energy is yet to fully assess the impact of this change however it will look to support the program in its planning and management of the network. Initially to assist with accommodating the solar PV uptake United Energy intends to develop optimised setting configurations for solar PV inverters. This coupled with the DVM system is expected to increase the solar PV hosting capacity of the network.

**16.5 Distribution Losses**

Distribution losses refer to the energy used in transporting itself across distribution networks. In 2016/17, 4.45 per cent of the total energy into the United Energy network were calculated to be made up of losses. This is essentially calculated as the difference between the energy that United Energy procures and that which it supplies. These losses represent 83 per cent of United Energy’s total greenhouse gas emissions, as defined under the *National Greenhouse and Energy Report Act*.

United Energy has a process to identify, justify and implement augmentation plans to address network constraints. Whilst loss reduction alone is not the main contributing factor in the decision of the preferred option, it is seen as the deciding factor if all other factors are equal. United Energy, as part of its plant selection process takes into account the cost of losses in its evaluation for transformer purchases.
17 Embedded generation and demand management

This section sets out information on embedded generation as well as demand management activities during 2018 and over the forward planning period.

17.1 Embedded generation

17.1.1 Connection of Embedded Generation (EG) units

On 1 October 2014, the Australian Energy Market Commission (AEMC) established new requirements in Chapter 5 of the NER. These changes require the distribution businesses to better facilitate the connection of embedded generation in the NEM and to report on the following matters in the DAPR:

- key issues from applications to connect EG units over the past year; and
- a quantitative summary of connection enquiries and applications to connect EG units received since 1 October 2014.

United Energy undertakes the connection process for embedded generator connections in accordance with Chapter 5 and Chapter 5A of the NER.

Chapter 5

- Applicable for all embedded generation with capacity above 5MW.
- Applicable where the connection applicant chooses the Chapter 5 connection process for embedded generation with capacity below 5MW.
- These generators must be registered (as per NER definition) or apply for an exemption with AEMO.
- This process is generally for larger embedded generation connections at distribution and or transmission high voltage level such as wind farms or peaking synchronous generators.

Chapter 5A

- Applicable for majority of below 5MW capacity embedded generation.
- These are non-registered generators (as per NER definition).
- This process is generally for smaller embedded generation connections at distribution high and or low voltage such as solar or small scale co/tri-generation systems.

A connection applicant with a generator connection below 5MW may choose to use the Chapter 5 connection process. This must be requested in writing to United Energy.

---

24 For connection application greater than 5 MW.
Further details on these matters are provided in Section 4.2.3 of United Energy Demand Side Engagement Document.  

17.1.2 Key issues from applications to connect EG units in the past year

The potential issues that can be encountered in the connection of embedded generation are numerous, and depend greatly upon the specific location and proposed project. United Energy has identified the following issues associated with the application process to connect embedded generation units over the last year:

- Market developments are significantly influencing the economic viability of all generation type and scale. Proposal capacity and complexities have also been observed to be gradually increasing. Forecasting of project volume in this arena has, historically been difficult and highly unpredictable. As a consequence, the ability to facilitate proposals are constantly challenged, in particular from a resource perspective due to the specialist technical nature involved and evolution of project complexities.

- Obligations and liabilities need to be assessed on a case-by-case basis and negotiated with the proponents. For example, requirements and / or potential impact to other non-embedded generator customers as well as proponent’s own installations can vary with each connection application.

- Technical standards and the regulatory framework have not been evolving to keep pace with market developments and the introduction of new technologies (especially in the area of energy storage) are significantly lagging. As a result, an industry wide initiative to bridge these gaps are emerging, but these developments will take time to filter through.

- The fast evolving nature of the EG industry along with many new market entrants is increasing the diversity and spectrum of proponent and their capabilities. Consequently the technical nature of EG connection process challenges some proponents more than others with some projects requiring an increased engagement with the proponent. Such projects typically extend longer than originally envisaged with multiple iterations not uncommon accompanied by periods of hiatus for the proponent to appreciate and digest the technicalities to successfully comply with the connection criteria.

- Project coordination challenges are not uncommon particularly for complex proposals given multiple parties are involved at various stages of the connection application process (i.e. United Energy /Connection Applicant /Design consultants /Primary constructor and sub-contractors etc.).

17.1.3 Quantitative summary of connection application to connect EG units

The table below provides a quantitative summary of the connection enquires under chapter 5 of the NER and applications to connect EG units received between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018.

---

Table 17.1 Summary of embedded generation connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity (&gt; 5MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connection enquires under 5.3A.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications to connect received under 5.3A.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The average time taken to complete application to connect</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17.2 Demand Management Activities

Demand management is part of a broader range of non-network solutions designed to deliver lower cost solutions for the management of network constraints associated with peak electricity demand. United Energy defines non-network solutions as projects or programmes undertaken to meet customer demand by shifting or reducing demand on the network in some way, rather than increasing supply capacity through network augmentation. United Energy has led the industry with the implementation of several successful non-network solutions over the last few years and is keen to actively increase the implementation of non-network solutions over the forward planning period.

17.2.1 Network Support Agreements in the past years

Through our actions to promote non-network solutions, in the last four years United Energy has identified three economic demand management solutions to successfully defer the proposed augmentation on two distribution feeders, CRM 35 and MGE 12 and on the lower Mornington Peninsula sub-transmission network.

United Energy signed a Network Support Agreement with non-network services provider GreenSync Pty Ltd for two years, to provide 1.0 MW of demand management support on distribution feeder CRM 35, commencing from summer 2014-15. This service was called upon by United Energy when the network capacity in the area was insufficient to meet the peak demand (during summer periods). The agreement ended after two years of support due to a reduction in the VCR following AEMO’s 2014 VCR survey.

United Energy also signed a second Network Support Agreement with non-network services provider GreenSync Pty Ltd for one year, to provide 0.8 MW of demand management support on distribution feeder MGE 12, for summer 2015-16. This service was called upon by United Energy when the network capacity in the area was insufficient to meet the peak demand (during summer periods). The agreement has now ended after one year of support due to a reduction in the VCR. United Energy will continue to explore the use of this solution as demand grows in the area.

As detailed in section 15.2 United Energy also signed a third Network Support Agreement with non-network services provider GreenSync Pty Ltd for four years, to provide up to 13

26 The reporting period is over 12 months commencing from 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017.
MW of network support on the lower Mornington Peninsula, from summer 2018-19. This solution was identified through a Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution (RIT-D) consultation that concluded in 2016. United Energy has been working closely with GreenSync Pty Ltd to ensure the network support solution is ready for implementation by this summer.

### 17.2.2 Demand Management Innovation Allowance initiatives in 2018

The Demand Management Innovation Allowance (DMIA) provides a limited regulatory allowance for United Energy over the regulatory period to fund projects that lead to the development of efficient non-network solutions to defer planned network augmentation.

For the 2016-2020 regulatory control period, United Energy has been allocated $400,000 per annum in the AER’s regulatory determination ($2 million over five years) as an ex-ante allowance under the DMIA. We encourage non-network service providers approach United Energy (Refer to Section 9 of United Energy Demand Side Engagement Document for further detail) to enquire about opportunities to use DMIA funding for joint planning activities requiring specific studies, investigations or trials that may lead to the establishment of a non-network solution within the United Energy service area, in preparation for a future RIT-D. The non-network proponent should provide United Energy an explanation of the non-network project for which DMIA funding is sought including:

- the nature and scope of the project;
- the aims and expectation of the project;
- information on how the project will be implemented;
- identification of benefits arising from the project, including any off-peak or peak demand reductions;
- information on the costs of the project, including business case for the project and consideration of any alternatives;
- a description on how the proposal helps to meet the objectives of the DMIA.

The DMIA-funded projects United Energy has successfully undertaken in recent years are discussed in detail below.

**Virtual Power Plant Pilot**

In 2014, United Energy commenced the Virtual Power Plant (VPP) Residential Pilot Project. The project explores behind the meter installation of solar PV and controlled battery storage technology to incrementally address immediate capacity shortfalls on the LV Distribution Network. Thirteen VPP units were installed on the United Energy network as part of the pilot project to test the following objectives:

---

1. Validate the use of solar PV and controlled battery storage technology at residential customer premises to shave peak demand and defer traditional network augmentation;

2. Assess if battery storage technology can be used as an incremental approach to address immediate capacity shortfalls on the LV Distribution Network;

3. Test the current state of the technology and its ability to scale;

4. Identify the risks and test the controls;

5. Develop an understanding of the economics of the solution and validate the solution is a viable load management tool by exploring and then testing the business model(s), taking the generation, retail and distribution aspects into consideration; and

6. Explore and test the contractual and commercial agreements with third parties and residential hosts.

Key project milestones accomplished by United Energy include:

- **Pre-implementation study:** The pre-implementation study involved developing predictive, economic and commercial models to evaluate the long term feasibility of the project.

- **Technology:** A review of different technologies and suppliers was done with selection based on the most appropriate solution for Australian conditions and the United Energy network.

- **Risk Assessment:** A full technical and commercial assessment was conducted in line with United Energy’s risk management process.

- **Site Selection:** Detailed selection criteria were developed by United Energy to ensure that customer sites selected to participate in the pilot program maximised the lessons learnt.

- **Installation:** Experience gained during the rollout has improved and refined United Energy’s installation process which has resulted in increased efficiencies. Minor issues encountered early in the trial caused minor delays and increased cost.

- **Operational Update /Learnings:** The thirteen sites have been operational since July 2014, with over a year of operational data recorded. United Energy simulated a peak network load event in Jan 2015 where the batteries were discharged in ambient temperature conditions of 40°C to shave peak load. The systems operated successfully as per United Energy’s high temperature control strategy on those peak demand days.

- **Temperature Testing:** Lab testing was undertaken to understand how the VPP units operated in extreme temperature conditions. This testing was based on the conditions from the summer of 2009. The lab testing showed that VPP systems could operate as expected over consecutive extreme temperature days.

Since the pilot, United Energy has deployed ARENA grant funding to help further develop the VPP capability. United Energy used the VPP technology to demonstrate its feasibility to manage voltage and demand on the LV network.

In 2018, United Energy continued with the VPP Project to achieve the following:
With the completion of the original trial, 4 VPP units were decommissioned from existing customer sites in late 2017 with an additional 4 units decommissioned in April 2018. These 8 units will be deployed in 2018/2019 as part of an on grid storage trial at United Energy’s constrained distribution substations. Installation of the battery storage systems will assist in shaving peak demand at the constrained distribution substations.

Continued testing and collection of more operational data from the installed units. United Energy is keen to collect more operational data from the Solar Storage units installed in the field to assess the suitability of utilising battery technology for the provision of network support during extreme temperature events.

Explored ongoing improvement in software functionality, usability and execution.

Explored the suitability of battery technology for voltage management and other network support applications.

For the Residential Solar Storage Program (funded by ARENA), United Energy has deployed solar storage systems at customers’ premises which are supplied by constrained distribution substations and controlled the storage units in concert to defer investment in planned augmentation projects. This project has completed 42 residential Solar and Storage installations.

The key objectives of the Residential Solar Storage Program are to:

- Validate the ability of solar PV and storage technology to defer or eliminate the requirement for traditional network augmentation. This will be undertaken by controlling and scheduling the residential Solar and Storage systems during the hotter summer months to reduce summer peak demand across constrained substations thus deferring network augmentation.
- Evaluate and report on the commercial and operational viability of the solar PV and storage technology and its ability to be integrated into business-as-usual network operations.
- Quantify the magnitude of the different benefits generated through the installation of solar PV and storage for utilities and customers.
- Demonstrate and provide data on the success of operation of the Solar and Storage systems and associated control algorithms.

Grid Side Storage Trial

United Energy is seeking to explore the use of grid-side controlled-battery energy storage technology as a network support asset, in developing an incremental approach, to address immediate capacity shortfalls and defer traditional network augmentation solutions. In 2018, United Energy submitted a DMIA request to the AER to trial medium sized battery energy storage systems over 2018/2019 and received in principle approval.

A trial of this type is considered innovative by United Energy for the following reasons:

- The trial will deploy medium sized battery energy storage systems which will range in size between 50 kWh and 100 kWh on the LV network adjacent to distribution substations (indoor and pole mounted, where practical). This will result in increasing
Distributed Energy Resources (DER) hosting capacity by matching the battery size to achieve maximum DER integration on the distribution substations.

- The battery energy storage system will be customised to address specific network constraints (e.g. localised network issues) at the chosen distribution substations to achieve the least cost solution.

- High penetrations of solar PV can cause thermal overloads of distribution equipment, reverse power flows, and voltage, and power quality issues. These networks issues can limit further DER from being connected to the network. Deploying storage technology on the distribution network solves a number of these issues permitting higher numbers of DER to be connected. Grid side storage is a better outcome for all customers as it does not rely on end customers to install battery systems to solve the network problem.

- The battery energy storage system is decoupled from a PV system providing a storage facility for multiple renewable generators in a distribution substation. It will provide benefits to customers without the cost and complexity of installing it on their site. The battery energy storage systems can be installed anywhere within the distribution network using existing infrastructure.

- The successful demonstration of the Storage systems will enable a wide range of benefits across the industry moving into the future. The main benefit arising out of this trial is the establishment that Storage systems can be an economic network augmentation alternative. By deploying the new technology, United Energy will explore how to extend the lifespan of Network Assets through energy storage. As a result, it will help to defer asset replacement and reduce costs on the upgrading of infrastructure. Once proven, this technology could be scaled to achieve peak demand reduction to economically defer many larger network augmentation projects for greater network infrastructure cost savings.

- This trial will broaden the knowledge base and capability for many stakeholders including distributors, equipment suppliers, retailers and customers. Key learnings for industry will include identification of value streams that can be generated through the installation of the technology, as well as development of technical standards around design, installation, operation and control of storage units.

- It will involve undertaking an economic assessment of medium sized battery energy storage systems as an alternative solution compared to traditional augmentation solutions.

**Deakin Summer Saver Study**

United Energy has been building capability in residential demand response over the last few years, particularly with the development of the Summer Saver Programme. This Programme was originally funded in its entirety during the establishment and trial phase from DMIA. It has now developed to a point where it is being supported predominantly by United Energy’s operational expenditure by way of deferral of capital augmentation. To date, the Summer Saver Programme has been successful in meeting its objective of addressing localised constraints on the United Energy Network. It has also received international recognition as the recipient of the 13th Annual PLMA Awards Technology Pioneer Award for 2015.
In 2018, United Energy submitted a DMIA request to the AER to undertake a study with Deakin University to enhance the programme. United Energy received in principle approval.

Under the study United Energy sought to assess the uptake of the current digital solution and test the development of additional digital platforms in supporting the following:

- Ensure that the growing number of residential customers with DERs (including solar PV systems) have access to digital communications channels for the Program.
- Improve the demand response event performance by customers in both increasing the amount curtailed (kW) as well as the duration of curtailment (kWh).
- Investigate localised hosting considerations.

United Energy intends to implement the outcomes from the Deakin Summer Saver Study for the summer 2018-19 Summer Saver Programme.

The objective of this project is to identify improvements to the design and features of the current Summer Saver digital technology solutions to improve customer participation and experience, and optimise their demand response performance for the Summer Saver demand management program.

**Monash Demand Management Study**

ClimateWorks Australia approached United Energy in early 2018 to discuss their proposed Demand Management Study at Monash University (one of United Energy’s major customers). There was a clear opportunity for United Energy to learn how Summer Saver Programme could be expanded into the high-density residential apartments, and short-term rental segments of the market, to provide greater coverage of the Programme.

In 2018, United Energy submitted a DMIA request to the AER to facilitate this study with ClimateWorks Australia at Monash University to enhance the programme. United Energy received in principle approval.

The study involved ClimateWorks Australia, BehaviourWorks Australia and Seed Advisory collaborating on a demand management study with a trial to be conducted at Monash University (Monash) commencing from March 2018. Monash is undergoing an energy transformation as it aims for net zero greenhouse gas emissions, and plans to use this transformation as a ‘living laboratory’, providing a space to trial new initiatives and technologies that are relevant to the broader electricity grid. The lessons learnt will be shared with industry and government, and through DMIA reporting. Monash provides an ideal environment to test demand management initiatives, as it is a private network with a large pool of potential study participants across a range of customer types, including residential, commercial and industrial.

The initial demand management trial will focus on high-density residential demand. The trial will focus on two halls of residence at the Monash Clayton campus, which together house 600 students in multi-unit, single occupant accommodation. Students in the residential halls are charged a flat rate for accommodation that does not identify or directly charge the students for their individual energy usage. These students are analogous to
residents in short-term rental properties. The demand management study will include separate trials in Semester One and Semester Two, enabling the investigation of different demand management interventions. Prior to each trial, students will be surveyed about their environmental values and demographics.

**City Smart Summer Saver**

The current Summer Saver program has proven to be effective in attracting sufficient customers to deliver demand management for typical hot weather days by offering the right level of customer financial incentives, with the program achieving customer uptake rates of 6% up until 2016/17. Recognising the lack of awareness of the United Energy brand with customers, in 2017, United Energy partnered with local councils to participate in the marketing of the Summer Saver Program. With customer recognition of council branding, this increased customer participation in the program to more than 9% for summer 2017/18.

In 2018, United Energy submitted a DMIA request to the AER to undertake a study with CitySmart to further enhance the uptake to the programme. United Energy received in principle approval.

United Energy believes there is further opportunity to increase customer uptake rates without increasing financial incentives to customers (and hence keep the program competitive against our traditional network investments), by undertaking this proposed initiative with CitySmart. Increasing customer uptake rates will provide United Energy with greater flexibility in the dispatch of this demand management capability, particularly in managing abnormal hot weather days as was observed on 28th January 2018 when temperatures remained high well into the late evening. A higher customer uptake will allow United Energy to rotate blocks of customers in sequential 3 to 4 hour windows to sustain the demand response for longer periods of time, while maintaining customer engagement.

CitySmart have developed 8 customer segments for residential households in Australia based on behaviour. This research proposes to take those segments and understand how to effectively engage each household across 5 different load profile archetypes. CitySmart will survey customers and engage existing and potential customers across several channels to test and refine the value proposition and key messaging for each. This includes identifying the optimal value for compensation of energy reduction by segment and load curve. The outcomes of study will be then tailored towards the Summer Saver Programme. The application of this study for United Energy would be as follows:

- Improving uptake and participation in the Summer Saver program by using defined messaging through segmentation.
- Increasing participation in other residential peak load management programs.

**Summer Saver Program**

United Energy transitioned the DMIA-funded Summer Saver Trial into a business-as-usual programme from summer 2016-17. The Program targeted constrained areas with highly utilised distribution transformers and low-voltage circuits that were at an elevated risk of overload outages during summer to defer network augmentation.
Once registered, participants were requested to voluntarily reduce their power usage on a small number of hot weather ‘event days’ which typically were on weekdays over the summer period. Customers were notified at least two days in advance of an ‘event day’ so they could plan how to reduce their energy consumption. Customers who lowered their energy consumption were rewarded with if they successfully lowered their energy consumption for the event period.

The Summer Saver Program was only partially funded via DMIA to trial several new elements such as the development of a smart phone application in order to gain learnings and improve the program’s success. The bulk of the funding from this programme is now sourced from the deferral of network augmentation projects which would otherwise have been required in the absence of the Summer Saver Program.

Summer Saver Program is utilising the capabilities of the Advanced Metering Infrastructure to encourage customer participation and engagement whilst lowering implementation costs.

900 customers registered for Summer Saver Program in Summer 2017-18 which is an uptake rate of approximately 9.14% from a targeted customer base of 9,852. 70% of the customers registered for Summer 2017-18 had not participated in a previous Summer Saver Program.

United Energy called four events in summer 2017-18 with the event days predominantly falling on weekdays with one weekend event day. United Energy noted 75% average participation rate per event. The Average Energy Usage Reduction per event was 1.036MWh. The Total Energy Reduction across the four events was 4.14 MWh. Customers earned $50 on average for their participation in the Program.

United Energy is continuing and expanding the Summer Saver Program for summer 2018-19.
17.3 Actions taken to promote non-network solutions in the past year

United Energy has undertaken the following actions to promote non-network proposals in the last twelve months:

- United Energy has maintained our Demand Side Engagement (DSE) Register for customers, interested groups, industry participants and non-network service providers who wish to be regularly informed of our planning activities. United Energy has also continued an initiative with CitiPower / Powercor to expand each distribution businesses respective DSE registers by identifying where a potential businesses could provide non-network services across multiple areas.

- As at 30 September 2018, United Energy now has 99 registered organisations including 122 individuals on our DSE Register. Interested parties who wish to be included on our register should fill out their details on our website at: https://www.unitedenergy.com.au/contact-us/demand-side-engagement-registration/

- United Energy notified all registered participants on our DSE Register of non-network opportunities identified in our 2017 DAPR and invited alternative proposals to defer or avoid the proposed network augmentations.

- United Energy invited all registered participants on our DSE Register to a public forum held in February 2018 to discuss the identified network limitations in our DAPR and non-network opportunities in further detail.

- United Energy continued its active involvement in a number of workshops and rule changes related to demand management including, the design of a scheme to encourage efficient demand management, the development of a Distributed Energy Resources (DER) register, and changes to the Regulatory Investment Test guidelines.

- United Energy has established a joint planning Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with one new entity in 2018. United Energy currently has 9 MOUs in place. The MoUs facilitate a partnering arrangement which allows the free exchange of information and joint planning between parties well before a RIT-D to formulate alternative options for augmentation projects. This framework has led to United Energy signing three network support agreements with one of the parties to defer planned distribution feeder augmentations.

- United Energy hosted discussions and shared information with a non-network provider to facilitate early engagement for the development of potential demand side solutions in areas of future limitations.

- United Energy has published metered zone substation demand data for a 10-year period on our web-site to facilitate development of non-network solutions.

- United Energy has published a constraint map on United Energy’s website to highlight the geographical location of Sub-transmission, Zone Substation and Distribution Feeder limitations for the non-network service providers.

- United Energy has worked with the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) on updating and establishing significant improvements in the Australian Renewable Energy Mapping Infrastructure (AREMI) online maps which provides access to data to
promote renewable energy and demand side opportunities. This included incorporating replacement constraints into the maps.

17.4 Plans for future non-network solutions

United Energy recognises early engagement with non-network service providers is critical for successful and efficient implementation of non-network solutions. United Energy anticipates an increasing number non-network options will emerge over the next few years particularly for distribution feeder and substation limitations, as the market and technology develops. In order to promote non-network proposals in the future, United Energy is committed to:

- Informing all registered participants on our DSE Register of non-network opportunities identified in this DAPR.
- Inviting all registered participants on our DSE Register to a public forum to be held in early 2018 to discuss identified non-network opportunities in further detail.
- Informing generator connection applicants at the enquiry stage of potential non-network opportunities.
- Exploring the use of joint planning MoU with other interested parties.
- Maintaining our DSE Register.
- Actively engaging with interested parties to submit credible alternative proposals to address identified network limitations in this DAPR.
- Actively investigating and evaluating each non-network solution (including network augmentations) using identical criteria that reflect both the regulatory requirements under the NER and our desire to implement the least lifecycle cost solution to address the identified need. This process is set out in more detail in Section 4.1 of our Demand Side Engagement Document.
- Exploring the use of Demand Management Incentive Scheme (DMIS) through broader consultation with industry to encourage uptake of demand management to defer or avoid network augmentation.
- Exploring the Demand Management Innovation Allowance (DMIA), a regulatory allowance over this regulatory period, to fund projects that lead to the development of efficient non-network solutions to defer planned network augmentations.
- Exploring the use of smart meters which have been rolled out across the United Energy network to enable customers to actively participate in the management of their energy use through the provision of timely, relevant information and control options. Smart meters give the ability to apply enhanced tariff arrangements, energy management, customer signalling and more sophisticated power usage monitoring.

17.5 Demand side engagement strategy and register

United Energy updated its published Demand Side Engagement Strategy in July 2016. The strategy is designed to assist non-network providers in understanding United Energy’s framework and processes for assessing demand management options. It also details the
consultation process with non-network providers. The Demand Side Engagement Strategy is available from:


United Energy have also established and updated their Demand Side Engagement Interested Parties Register since 2013. To register as a Demand Management Interested Parties should fill out their details on our website at:

18 Information Technology and communication systems

This section discusses the investments we have undertaken in 2018, or plan to undertake over the forward planning period 2019-2023, relating to information technology (IT) and communications systems.

18.1 Security Program

Our IT security program continues to refine and update our response to the ever-changing risk landscape that is unique to digitalised utility networks. Our ongoing program of works introduces increasingly sophisticated processes and systems that align with our commitment to proactively identify security threats and reduce information security vulnerabilities.

In 2018 we built on work undertaken in 2017 in developing a security program of work as well as introducing a number of changes identified as essential by the Australian Signals Directorate (ASD) and similar frameworks. These changes address targeted cyber intrusions (e.g. executed by advanced persistent threats such as foreign intelligence services), ransomware and external adversaries with destructive intent, malicious insiders, business email compromise and industrial control systems.

During the forward planning period we will continue to invest in protecting our network and customer information from increasingly sophisticated and persistent cyber threats. We will continue to co-ordinate security initiatives in line with industry standards such as National Energy Reliability Corporation Critical Infrastructure Protection (NERC CIP) and ASD recommendations to introduce additional protection to our systems. A key part of the program is to provide effective security between our Operational Technology and IT systems and enhance security monitoring.

Furthermore, we will undertake IT security initiatives, through our best practice program, focusing on the capabilities of identify, detect, monitor, protect and govern. This program seeks to maintain our current capability and proactively look forward to new and emerging threat protection.

18.2 Currency

We routinely undertake system currency upgrades across the IT landscape in line with vendor software release life cycles and support agreements. These refresh cycles are necessary to ensure system performance and reliability are maintained and that the functional and technical aspects of our systems remain up-to-date.

In 2018 we completed a number of activities including to:

- complete a refresh for the Distribution and Outage Management Systems (DMS/OMS), the principal tools for the control room to manage and oversee the electricity distribution network in real time
• continue to maintain currency with an infrastructure and version upgrade of the Utility IQ (UIQ) and Sensor IQ (SIQ) applications, which form the network management system for our advanced interval meters

• complete the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Network Viewer upgrade, which was carried forward from 2017, to map and visualise infrastructure assets in our network.

• Update the Market Systems suite (SAP ISU) to meet Power of Choice regulatory obligations.

During the forward planning period, we will continue to maintain the currency of our systems so that we can continue to provide fully supported systems that underpin the operation of our network. Other key systems due for life cycle replacement include the Enterprise Service Bus used for Application to Application (A2A) integration, and commencing an upgrade to the SAP system that was originally installed in 1996.

### 18.3 Compliance

We are focused on ensuring that, as regulated businesses, our IT systems support all regulatory, statutory, market and legal requirements for operating in the National Electricity Market (NEM). This is achieved via investment in systems, data, processes and analytics to provide the functionality and reporting capability to efficiently comply with statutory and regulatory obligations.

In 2018, we reconfigured the meter data management system and associated market transaction suite. This was done to facilitate the ‘Power of Choice’ program mandated by the Australian Energy Market Commission (AEMC) through changes to the NER. The Power of Choice program seeks to provide consumers with more opportunities to make informed choices about electricity products and services.

Other initiatives involve making changes to system and data controls to ensure customer, employee and asset data is hosted in Australia and ensuring systems and that processes comply with strengthened obligations for life support customers.

We are also implementing 5 minute settlement, under which the settlement period for the electricity spot price is altered from 30 minutes to 5 minutes. The first stage of the implementation was achieved with the provisioning of advanced interval meters capable of recoding 5 minute data from December 2018.

To continue to comply with statutory and regulatory obligations during the forward planning period, we will continue with the implementation of 5 minute settlement. The scope of this project includes enhancing storage to handle significantly more data, and changes to system architecture (e.g. Market Transaction System, Itron Enterprise Edition, CIS/OV, UIQ, Salesforce, SAP) as well as business and operational processes (e.g. billing, contract centres, reporting, network, AMI Operations and network analytics).
18.4 Infrastructure

We have an ever-growing need to store and recall data as well as to support applications, processes and functions within our IT systems. To support this, we must refresh our IT infrastructure to meet technical currency requirements and proactively manage the maintenance of the IT infrastructure to meet service level requirements.

In 2018, we undertook technical refreshes, server hardening, firmware updates, capacity uplifts and upgrading of firewalls and IT environments in accordance with our IT infrastructure life cycles.

During the forward planning period, we will focus on upgrading our underlying infrastructure that supports the IT environments to ensure ongoing capacity, performance and availability to ensure continuity of (IT) service and a comprehensive business continuity capability.

We are also implementing a strategy to move some key and supporting applications to the cloud. This will provide us with greater ability to scale our IT capabilities and to accordingly reduce reliance on infrastructure in future.

In addition, we are in the process of migrating United Energy business applications off what is known as the “Supercluster”, an Oracle platform that has proven to be unreliable. The target platform is a combination of technologies that have proven to be very reliable in the CitiPower/Powercor IT environment, which includes Oracle Exadata (Oracle databases), Hitachi Data Systems (HDS) storage (non database data) and Hewlett Packard (HP) servers. This solution will provide reliable and high performance production and storage platforms, a reliable disaster recovery solution and a non-production environment that offers consistent performance to support UE IT projects.

18.5 Customer Enablement

The customer engagement stream incorporates our response to ongoing changes and demands from our customers for greater access and greater choice in electricity services.

In 2018, we delivered on the following:

- Changes mandated as part of the Metering Contestability initiative.
- Improvements to data management, data quality resulting in better compliance.
- The ability for greater volumes of customer transfers between retailers.
- Demand response initiatives that help to keep the grid stable in peak usage periods.
- Enhanced Customer channels and Integrated Voice Recognition (IVR) to improve consistency and accessibility of information for consumers.
- Relocated the UE contact centre for faults from an outsourced service provider to our in-house Customer Service Group. In doing so the customer-facing technology stack was refreshed to provide a best-in-class customer experience through implementing an automated IVR solution.
• Established a Supply Interruption Notification platform to proactively notify customers of unplanned outages and reduce call centre volumes.

In the forward planning period we will continue to proactively respond to anticipated industry and regulatory changes, including those that are designed to encourage greater demand side participation, a more flexible network to enable customers to export solar as well as allowing customer greater access to their data. We will also improve customer self-service capabilities through establishing initiatives including eConnect, Website Click to Chat, online AMI Communications checks, and a unified customer Gateway for all customer apps.

18.6 Other communication system investments

To facilitate and maintain the protection, control and supervision of the network, we have continued to invest in Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) and associated network communication and control equipment. This is used to monitor and control the distribution network assets, including zone substations and feeders.

In 2018, we have continued to invest in SCADA, in particular:

• modernising the communications network and transitioning protection and SCADA services from mostly aerial copper supervisory cables to optical fibre and private IP/Ethernet network infrastructure.

• continued the replacement programs for aged remote telemetry units (RTUs) and associated Local/ Metropolitan Area Networks (LAN/ MAN) assets in zone substations to continue to reliably monitor primary and second equipment, and load tap changes (OLTC) for voltage regulation (remote telemetry and control).

• started a Victorian Government sponsored initiative to implement network wide dynamic voltage management replacing legacy On Load Tap Changer (OLTC) RTUs with modern voltage regulation relays.

• established a demand-response scheme on the Mornington Peninsula so third party generation and load aggregation can be dispatched to defer augmentation of sub transmission lines.

• trialled modern digital radio networks as candidates to replace the aging private SCADA radio networks.

Over the forward planning period, our investment in SCADA will continue to increase, consistent with the growth and complexity of the network. Our SCADA expenditure will continue to modernise the communications network and ensuring adequate capability and capacity by installing larger systems.

In addition, we will continue to replace old communications systems with newer up-to-date systems. In some cases, this will be to address technical obsolescence where the manufacturer no longer supports the equipment, which we are no longer able to upgrade and there is a reduced pool of skilled workers able to maintain the system.

We will also modernise systems that rely on communications systems. For example, as Telstra is intending to switch off its 3G network, we will upgrade remote communications
devices using the 3G network, such as Automatic Circuit Reclosers (ACRs) and remote controlled HV switches, to 4G and 5G. UE has also commenced replacing the legacy ADSL communications services at three zone substations, which are outside the reach of UE’s private fibre optic infrastructure, with Telstra National Ethernet services for SCADA telemetry.

Furthermore, we will utilise new technologies, where appropriate and if it aligns with our strategy, such as the Internet of Things (IoT), and continue to leverage existing capabilities and AMI smart meter functionality.
Appendix A  Network Maps
Zone Substations
Appendix B  Maps with forecast system limitations

B.1. Zone-substation and sub-transmission limitations (Augmentation)
B.2. Distribution Feeder Limitations in northern part of network (Augmentation)
B.3. Distribution Feeder Limitations in southern part of network (Augmentation)
B.4. Zone-substation transformer and switchgear limitations (Replacement)
The tables below set out numbering for the limitations in the maps above. Note this also aligns with the Systems Limitations Template.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limitation No.</th>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Project type</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Doncaster (DC) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mornington (MTN) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Keysborough (KBH) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) Zone Substation</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DVY-34 (Dandenong Valley) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EW-14 (Elwood) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M-11 (Mentone) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M-32 (Mentone) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>OE-4 (Oakleigh East) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NP-34 (Noble Park) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>FSH-31 (Frankston South) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>MGE-12 (Mulgrave) HV Feeder</td>
<td>Augmentation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cheltenham (CM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Surrey Hills (SH) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ormond (OR) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) #1 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Elwood (EW) #2 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Sandringham (SR) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gardiner (K) #3 Transformer</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Elsternwick (EL) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sandringham (SR) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2021/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bentleigh (BT) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2022/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>East Malvern (EM) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mordialloc (MC) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Heatherton (HT) zone substation</td>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C  Maximum demands– zone substations

The tables below set out the forecasts for maximum demand for each United Energy zone substation and their capacity. These forecasts are used to identify potential future constraints in the network.

Please note that the availability of load transfer and generation capacity at maximum demand times cannot be guaranteed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone substation</th>
<th>Station N Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Station N-1 Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Hours load is 95% of MD</th>
<th>Station Power Factor at MD</th>
<th>Load Transfer Capability (MVA) (2018/19)</th>
<th>Embedded Generation Capacity (MVA)</th>
<th>Actual Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</th>
<th>10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</th>
<th>% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BU</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDA&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFD</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRM</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSH</td>
<td>144.9</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>28</sup> Based on the relocatable transformer rating.

<sup>29</sup> Demand data shown in table excludes embedded generation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone substation</th>
<th>Station N Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Station N-1 Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Hours load is 95% of MD</th>
<th>Station Power Factor at MD</th>
<th>Load Transfer Capability (MVA) (2018/19)</th>
<th>Embedded Generation Capacity (MVA)</th>
<th>Actual Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</th>
<th>10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</th>
<th>% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVY</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTN</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGS</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KBH</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWN</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGE</td>
<td>111.6</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTN</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone substation</td>
<td>Station N Rating (MVA)</td>
<td>Station N-1 Rating (MVA)</td>
<td>Hours load is 95% of MD</td>
<td>Station Power Factor at MD</td>
<td>Load Transfer Capability (MVA) (2018/19)</td>
<td>Embedded Generation Capacity (MVA)</td>
<td>Actual Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</td>
<td>10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</td>
<td>% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAK</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OE</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBD</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV/SVW</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>116.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>30</sup> Demand data shown in table excludes embedded generation.
Appendix D  Maximum demands– sub-transmission lines

The tables below set out the forecasts for maximum demand for each United Energy sub-transmission line and their capacity. These forecasts are used to identify potential future constraints in the network.

Please note that the availability of load transfer and generation capacity at maximum demand times cannot be guaranteed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>Loop N Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Loop N-1 Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Hours load is 95% of MD</th>
<th>Power Factor at MD</th>
<th>Load Transfer Capability (MVA) (2018/19)</th>
<th>Embedded Generation Capacity (MVA)</th>
<th>10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA) 2018-19</th>
<th>2019-20</th>
<th>2020-21</th>
<th>2021-22</th>
<th>2022-23</th>
<th>% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CBTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBTS-CRM-FTN-LWN-FTS-CBTS</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>190.0</td>
<td>190.8</td>
<td>189.7</td>
<td>189.5</td>
<td>191.3</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERTS-DSH-DVY-DN-HPK-ERTS 31</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>292.3</td>
<td>294.5</td>
<td>294.3</td>
<td>295.1</td>
<td>299.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERTS-MGE-LD-ERTS</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>142.9</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-KBH-M/MC-BR-HTS</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>159.5</td>
<td>161.6</td>
<td>162.3</td>
<td>163.0</td>
<td>165.2</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-MR-BT-NB-HTS</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>136.3</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SR-CM-HT-HTS</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS 22 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS-CFD-EL-EM-MTS</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31 Demand data shown in table excludes embedded generation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>Loop N Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Loop N-1 Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Hours load is 95% of MD</th>
<th>Power Factor at MD</th>
<th>Load Transfer Capability (MVA) (2018/19)</th>
<th>Embedded Generation Capacity (MVA)</th>
<th>10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</th>
<th>% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTS-OR-OAK-MTS</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS-SH-MTS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS-BW-MTS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS-EW-SK-RTS</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS-K-CL-RTS</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>109.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWTS-BH-NW-RWTS</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>118.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVTS-EB-RD-SVTS</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>116.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVTS-GW-NO-SVTS</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>125.1</td>
<td>127.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVTS-NP-SS-SVTS</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>108.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVTS-GE-CDA-SVTS</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVTS-SV-SVW-SVTS</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBTS-HGS-TBTS</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBTS-FSH-MTN-DMA-TBTS</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>287.7</td>
<td>288.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBTS-DMA-MTN</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>146.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32 Demand data shown in table excludes embedded generation.
33 Sub loop constraints of TBTS-FSH-MTN-DMA-TBTS loop.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>Loop N Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Loop N-1 Rating (MVA)</th>
<th>Hours load is 95% of MD</th>
<th>Power Factor at MD</th>
<th>Load Transfer Capability (MVA) (2018/19)</th>
<th>Embedded Generation Capacity (MVA)</th>
<th>10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)</th>
<th>% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBTS-DMA-TBTS 33</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>140.6</td>
<td>141.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(voltage collapse)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>142.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA-RBD-DMA 33</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBD-STO-RBD 33</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBTS 66 kV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSTS-BU-WD-TSTS</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSTS-DC-TSTS</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>102.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10% PoE Forecast Summer Maximum Demand (MVA)

140.6, 141.3, 141.4, 142.2, 145.5

% Load Above N-1 Rating (2018/19)

100.0% 38.4%

-
### Appendix E  Glossary and abbreviations

#### E.1. Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kV</td>
<td>kilo Volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amps</td>
<td>Ampere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Mega Watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh</td>
<td>Mega Watt hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>mega volt ampere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Cyclic Rating</td>
<td>The station output capacity with all transformers in service. Cyclic ratings assume that the load follows a daily pattern and are calculated using load curves appropriate to the season. Cyclic ratings also take into consideration the thermal inertia of the plant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-1 Cyclic Rating or “Firm Rating”</td>
<td>The cyclic station output capability with an outage of one transformer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity of Line (Amps)</td>
<td>The line current rating which takes into consideration the type of line, conductor materials, allowable insulation temperature, effect of adjacent lines, allowable temperature rise and ambient conditions. It should be noted that United Energy operates many types of underground cables in its sub-transmission system. The different types of underground cables have varying operating parameters that in turn define their ratings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Above Capacity</td>
<td>The percentage by which the forecast maximum demand exceeds the N-1 cyclic rating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy-at-risk</td>
<td>The amount of energy that would not be supplied if a major outage of a transformer or sub-transmission line occurs at the station or sub-transmission loop in that particular year, and no other mitigation action is taken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual hours per year at risk</td>
<td>The number of hours in a year during which the 10th percentile demand forecast exceeds the zone substation N-1 Cyclic Rating or sub-transmission line rating.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### E.2. Zone substations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone substation</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
<th>Shared supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Box Hill</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumaris</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentleigh</td>
<td>BT</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulleen</td>
<td>BU</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burwood</td>
<td>BW</td>
<td>22/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarinda</td>
<td>CDA</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caulfield</td>
<td>CFD</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheltenham</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrum</td>
<td>CRM</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doncaster</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dromana</td>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandenong</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandenong South</td>
<td>DSH</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandenong Valley</td>
<td>DVY</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Burwood</td>
<td>EB</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsternwick</td>
<td>EL</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Malvern</td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elwood</td>
<td>EW</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankston South</td>
<td>FSH</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankston</td>
<td>FTN</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Waverley</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>HGS</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heatherton</td>
<td>HT</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardiner</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>CitiPower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keysborough</td>
<td>KBH</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyndale</td>
<td>LD</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langwarrin</td>
<td>LWN</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentone</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mordialloc</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulgrave</td>
<td>MGE</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moorabbin</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mornington</td>
<td>MTN</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Brighton</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notting Hill</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Park</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
<td>Shared supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunawading</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakleigh</td>
<td>OAK</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakleigh East</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ormond</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosebud</td>
<td>RBD</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrey Hills</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>22/6.6 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandringham</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>66/11 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springvale South</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorrento</td>
<td>STO</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springvale</td>
<td>SV</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springvale West</td>
<td>SVW</td>
<td>66/22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Doncaster</td>
<td>WD</td>
<td>66/11/6.6 kV</td>
<td>CitiPower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E.3. Terminal stations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminal station</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Supply voltage</th>
<th>Shared supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cranbourne</td>
<td>CBTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>AusNet Electricity Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Rowville</td>
<td>ERTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>AusNet Electricity Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankston</td>
<td>FTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>AusNet Electricity Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(switching station)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heatherton</td>
<td>HTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvern</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvern</td>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>22 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>RTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>CitiPower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringwood</td>
<td>RWTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>AusNet Electricity Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringwood</td>
<td>RWTS</td>
<td>22 kV</td>
<td>AusNet Electricity Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springvale</td>
<td>SVTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>CitiPower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Templestowe</td>
<td>TSTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>AusNet Electricity Services,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CitiPower, Jemena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyabb</td>
<td>TBTS</td>
<td>66 kV</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>